

FLOW HIJACKED · MASTER LECTURE

DEPRESSION: WHEN POSSIBILITY BECOMES COMPRESSED

*A compassionate systems monograph on body,
brain, mind, relationship, world, and future*

How can a life retain value while losing felt reach?

CORE SENTENCE

Depression is not a defect in a person. It is a real and often severe condition in which the routes between value, energy, action, relationship, and future can become progressively harder to enter.

FLOW HIJACKED

Depression: When Possibility Becomes Compressed

English edition · 5 September 2026

This book-length lecture is written for clinicians, researchers, interested readers, people living with depression, and people living with co-occurring mental-health and substance-use conditions. It is an educational synthesis, not a diagnosis or an individual treatment recommendation.

The work distinguishes established findings, interpretive synthesis, and explicit Flow Hijacked hypotheses. The Possibility Compression Cascade, Semantic–Affective Gating, and the proposed role of highly non-normal dynamics in depressive transitions are research hypotheses, not validated diagnostic constructs.

The Flow Hijacked brain plate reproduced in this edition is the project's official conceptual atlas visual. It is not an individual scan, diagnosis, prognosis, or treatment recommendation. The interactive atlas is available at flowhijacked.com/atlas.

THE SCALE OF THE WEATHER

Depression in the World

Current scale; never a portrait of one person

332 million

people estimated to be living with depression
worldwide

4.0%

of the total global population

5.7%

of adults globally

4.6 / 6.9%

adult men / adult women in WHO estimates

about 1 in 3

receive mental-health treatment even in
high-income countries

about 9%

receive minimally adequate care globally under
a stricter definition

SEPARATE BUT URGENT WHO estimates 727,000 all-cause suicide deaths in 2021. This is not a count of deaths caused only by depression. Suicide has multiple pathways; immediate danger requires immediate local help.

Sources: WHO Depression Fact Sheet (29 August 2025); WHO Suicide Fact Sheet (28 August 2026); WHO *World Mental Health Today* (2025). Estimates are model-based and context-dependent.

Contents

Prologue	ix
0.1 The Scale of the Weather	ix
How to Read This Book	xi
Evidence Language, Clinical Boundaries, and Safety	xiii
Atlas of the 24 Flow Hijacked Concepts	xiv
1. Reduced Navigability	xiv
2. Identity Entrapment	xv
3. Salience Collapse	xv
4. Cognitive Reynolds Number	xv
5. Adaptive Compression Engine	xv
6. Pathological Compression	xv
7. Navigability Margin	xvi
8. Flow Map	xvi
9. Dynamical Deformation Taxonomy	xvi
10. Re-expansion of State-Space	xvi
11. Embodied State-Space	xvi
12. Social State-Space	xvii
13. Therapeutic Vector-Field Reweighting	xvii
14. Multimodal Control Matrix	xvii
15. Dynamical Flow Fingerprint	xvii
16. Reward–Relief Compression	xviii
17. Agency Re-Expansion	xviii
18. Flat Valley	xviii
19. Reward Relearning Gradient	xviii
20. Temporal Compression	xviii
21. Recovery Decision Margin	xix
22. Reciprocal Reachability Field	xix
23. REACH	xix
24. Possibility Compression Cascade	xix
Part I • Person, Safety, Development, and World	1
1 The Person and the State	2
1.1 A person, not a mechanism	2
1.2 Syndrome, episode, trait, context, and meaning	3

1.3	Symptoms as adaptive signals and sources of suffering	4
1.4	Function, disability, dignity, and hidden labour	5
1.5	The Flow Hijacked lens: reach, constraint, and return	6
1.6	What this monograph will and will not claim	7
2	Disciplined Differentiation and Safety	7
2.1	Major depression and its heterogeneous presentations	7
2.2	Bipolar depression, mixed features, and activation risk	8
2.3	Psychosis, catatonia, agitation, and severe functional collapse	9
2.4	Substance-induced, withdrawal-related, and medication-related states	9
2.5	Sleep, endocrine, neurologic, inflammatory, pain, and reproductive contexts	10
2.6	Suicidality, safeguarding, crisis planning, and escalation	11
3	Developmental Trajectories	12
3.1	Development is a trajectory, not a retrospective verdict	12
3.2	Predictability, attachment, stress calibration, and learning	13
3.3	Childhood maltreatment and later depression burden	13
3.4	Adolescence, puberty, sex/gender, and cohort effects	14
3.5	Shared genetic architecture and environmental modulation	15
3.6	Prevention, sensitive periods, and reversible pathways	15
4	The Social and Material Ecology of Depression	16
4.1	Social determinants inside the causal model	16
4.2	Loneliness, rejection, shame, and social pain	17
4.3	Work, scarcity, debt, housing, and administrative burden	18
4.4	Culture, migration, discrimination, gender, and power	19
4.5	Digital worlds, comparison, interruption, and sleep displacement	20
4.6	Social prescriptions, rights, resources, and reliable handoffs	20
	Part II · Mind, Body, and Brain without Reduction	22
5	Identity, Cognition, Meaning, and the Future	23
5.1	Negative automatic thoughts and core beliefs	23
5.2	Rumination, abstraction, and the loss of problem-solving movement	24
5.3	Hopelessness and the collapse of episodic future thinking	25
5.4	Shame, guilt, self-criticism, and moral injury	25
5.5	Meaning, values, grief, and existential disorientation	26
5.6	From identity verdicts to revisable self-models	27
6	Body–Brain Interfaces	27
6.1	Allostasis, energy regulation, and sickness behaviour	28
6.2	Sleep, circadian timing, and bidirectional risk	28
6.3	Pain, fatigue, psychomotor change, and interoception	29

6.4	Immune and metabolic subgroups	30
6.5	Gut–brain, endocrine, reproductive, and medication contexts	30
6.6	Exercise, nutrition, light, and medical care without lifestyle blame	31
7	Brain Geography: From Regions to Networks	34
7.1	Why no single depression centre exists	34
7.2	Cingulate and medial prefrontal systems: BA25, BA24/32, and BA10	35
7.3	Dorsolateral and orbitofrontal systems: BA9, BA46, and BA11/47	35
7.4	Insula, amygdala, hippocampus, striatum, habenula, thalamus, hypothalamus, brainstem, and cerebellum	36
7.5	Default, salience, frontoparietal, sensorimotor, and limbic networks	37
7.6	Individual precision mapping and the limits of group averages	37
8	BA45 and Semantic–Affective Gating	40
8.1	Anatomy: pars triangularis and its BA44/46/47 borders	40
8.2	Controlled semantic retrieval and selection	41
8.3	Inhibition, reappraisal, inner speech, and rumination	41
8.4	Depression findings: EEG centrality, thickness, and connectivity	42
8.5	BA45 within salience, control, language, and orbitofrontal systems	43
8.6	Testable CBT and longitudinal predictions	44
	Part III · Reward, Time, and Dynamical Form	46
9	Reward, Effort, Anhedonia, and Future Credibility	47
9.1	Anticipatory and consummatory pleasure	47
9.2	Effort cost, response vigor, and action initiation	48
9.3	Prediction error, uncertainty, and reward learning	49
9.4	Social and eudaimonic reward	50
9.5	Temporal discounting, prospection, and future-self continuity	51
9.6	Reward portfolios and the Reward Relearning Gradient	52
9.7	Measuring reward without turning life into a test	52
10	Depression as a Complex Dynamical System	55
10.1	State variables, parameters, inputs, and observation	55
10.2	Symptom networks and feedback loops	56
10.3	Attractors, basins, barriers, and return paths	57
10.4	Multiscale coupling and slow–fast dynamics	57
10.5	Within-person measurement and state estimation	58
10.6	What a dynamical formulation adds to clinical care	58
10.7	A worked formulation: one presentation, several dynamical accounts	59
11	Nonlinearity, Thresholds, Hysteresis, and Early Warning	60
11.1	Nonlinearity and interaction	60
11.2	Bifurcation and threshold crossing	61

11.3 Hysteresis and why reversal is not rewinding	62
11.4 Critical slowing down and rising autocorrelation	62
11.5 Evidence, failed predictions, and methodological fragility	63
11.6 Safe use of early-warning ideas in care	64
11.7 A prospective research programme for thresholds and return paths	64
12 Highly Non-Normal Dynamics and Transient Amplification	67
12.1 Normal versus non-normal operators	67
12.2 Eigenvalues, numerical abscissa, singular values, and transient gain	68
12.3 Pseudospectra, resolvent gain, and robustness	69
12.4 Balanced amplification in neural systems—and the evidential boundary	70
12.5 Candidate depression mechanisms: aligned perturbations	70
12.6 Measurement: perturbation response and within-person gain	71
12.7 Reachability, control energy, and distributed protection	72
12.8 Cognitive Reynolds Number: a bounded heuristic	73
12.9 The decisive boundary: proposal, prediction, and falsification	73
12.10 Interpretive guardrails for a high-gain model	74
Part IV · Coupled Capture, Treatment, and Restoration	75
13 Dual Diagnosis as Coupled Capture	76
13.1 Beyond co-presence: reciprocal causal coupling	76
13.2 Depression and alcohol use disorder	77
13.3 Depression, cannabis, nicotine, opioids, stimulants, and sedatives	78
13.4 Depression, trauma, and PTSD	79
13.5 Anxiety, pain, sleep, and physical illness	79
13.6 Integrated sequencing, shared outcomes, and one safety plan	80
14 CBT, David Burns, and Therapeutic Reweighting	81
14.1 Beck’s cognitive model and behavioural activation	81
14.2 Burns’s accessible translation: distortions, written methods, and bibliotherapy	82
14.3 TEAM: Testing, Empathy, Assessment of Resistance/ Agenda Setting, and Methods	83
14.4 Positive reframing without romanticizing suffering	84
14.5 CBT as Therapeutic Vector-Field Reweighting	84
14.6 Evidence boundary: CBT efficacy versus TEAM-specific claims	85
15 A Treatment Portfolio Across Scales	88
15.1 Shared decision-making and preference-sensitive care	88
15.2 Antidepressants, continuation, withdrawal, and switching	88
15.3 Psychotherapies, behavioural activation, sleep, movement, and social intervention	90
15.4 Ketamine, esketamine, psychedelic-assisted treatment, and ECT	90
15.5 TMS, accelerated TBS, tDCS, VNS, MST, and DBS	91
15.6 Sequencing, combination, access, adverse effects, and maintenance	92

16 Recovery, the Reciprocal Reachability Field, and the Possibility Compression Cascade	95
16.1 The seven-stage Possibility Compression Cascade	95
16.1.1 Stage 1: Distributed loading	95
16.1.2 Stage 2: candidate non-normal transient amplification	96
16.1.3 Stage 3: nonlinear threshold crossing	96
16.1.4 Stage 4: landscape rewriting	96
16.1.5 Stage 5: reciprocal reach collapse	97
16.1.6 Stage 6: coupled capture	97
16.1.7 Stage 7: distributed restoration	97
16.2 RRF as the map; PCC as the generative account	97
16.3 How the Novel Concepts form one architecture	98
16.4 REACH formulation and treatment handoffs	99
16.5 Predictions, falsifiers, rival explanations, and measures	100
16.6 Recovery as a life becoming reachable again	101
Afterword	102
16.7 The Future Is Not an Argument	102
Help and Safety	104
16.8 If this has become immediate	104
16.9 If the danger is not immediate but the burden is growing	104
Research and Evidence Appendices	105
16.10 Appendix A — Evidence architecture: how claims are allowed to speak	105
16.10.1 A.1 The purpose of an evidence architecture	105
16.10.2 A.2 Source roles rather than a single hierarchy	105
16.10.3 A.3 Calibrated claim language	106
16.10.4 A.4 Evidence, interpretation, synthesis, hypothesis	106
16.10.5 A.5 Person-level boundaries	107
16.11 Appendix B — The acquisition pipeline actually used	107
16.11.1 B.1 Corpus recovery and provenance	107
16.11.2 B.2 The initial structured gap review	107
16.11.3 B.3 Expansion to a claim-level audit	108
16.11.4 B.4 Contradiction and null-result search	108
16.11.5 B.5 What this pipeline can and cannot establish	108
16.12 Appendix C — The transparent acquisition backlog	109
16.12.1 C.1 Earlier Flow Hijacked material	109
16.12.2 C.2 Reference assets not yet recovered	109
16.12.3 C.3 Search work required for a systematic update	109
16.12.4 C.4 Human and clinical review still required	110
16.13 Appendix D — A prospective research programme for the Possibility Compression Cascade	110
16.13.1 D.1 From synthesis to testable model	110
16.13.2 D.2 Six preregisterable predictions	110

16.13.3 D.3 Explicit model-level falsifiers	111
16.13.4 D.4 Measurement, analysis, and ethics	111
16.14 Appendix E — Visual atlas boundaries	112
Brain and Network Reference Atlas	112
Consolidated Reference Register	115
Recovered Prior-Lecture Reference Portfolio	123

Prologue

0.1 The Scale of the Weather

Depression is often introduced as a list: low mood, loss of interest, disrupted sleep or appetite, exhaustion, guilt, slowed movement or agitation, difficulty thinking, thoughts of death. The list is clinically necessary, but it is not yet the phenomenon. It does not show what happens when morning ceases to contain an invitation, ordinary tasks acquire the weight of negotiations, and the world remains visible without arriving with enough force to move a person toward it. Nor does it show the hidden labour of remaining alive, employed, attentive, responsive, or apparently well while the inner field of action narrows.

The scale is enormous. In its updated depression fact sheet of 29 August 2025, the World Health Organization estimated that approximately 332 million people were living with depression: about 4 per cent of the global population and 5.7 per cent of adults. The corresponding adult estimates were 4.6 per cent among men and 6.9 per cent among women. More than one in ten pregnant women and women who have recently given birth experience depression worldwide (WHO, 2025a). These are modelled estimates of depressive disorder, not counts of transient sadness. They draw on studies conducted with different instruments, languages, health systems, and degrees of visibility. The number is not a census of souls. It is a current measure of a burden that remains partly unseen.

The wider mental-health picture is larger still. The Global Burden of Disease 2023 analysis, published in 2026, estimated that 1.17 billion people lived with one of twelve modelled mental disorders in 2023. Together, those disorders accounted for approximately 171 million disability-adjusted life years and 6.1 per cent of global DALYs. Mental disorders collectively were the leading cause of years lived with disability, accounting for 17.3 per cent of global YLDs (GBD 2023 Mental Disorder Collaborators, 2026). These are class-level findings; they must not be misquoted as a depression-only ranking. They locate depression within a defining health reality of this century: a vast amount of suffering and lost participation measured less through immediate mortality than through years in which life is constrained.

Disability is a technical word and a human one. In burden studies, it names health loss within a model. In a kitchen, it may mean that making food requires a sequence of decisions the nervous system cannot currently finance. At work, it may mean reading the same paragraph six times while concealing the effort. In a relationship, it may mean caring deeply yet being unable to produce the signal by which care becomes visible. The statistics matter because every unit of burden is lived somewhere; the lived scenes matter because a statistic can be true and still fail to communicate what it measures.

Suicide belongs in this opening, with equal precision. WHO reports that 727,000 people died by suicide in 2021, that suicide was the third leading cause of death among people aged fifteen to twenty-nine, and that 73 per cent of these deaths occurred in low- and middle-income countries (WHO, 2026). Depression is an important context for suicide risk, but 727,000 is an all-cause suicide estimate, not a count of deaths caused by depression. Suicidal crises occur through many pathways, including unbearable psychic pain, agitation, trauma, substance intoxication or withdrawal, psychosis, bipolar states, shame, violence, isolation, loss, and chronic pain. The practical conclusion is direct: thoughts of suicide require compassionate assessment and an actual safety response. Theory must never delay the next safe action.

The treatment gap is part of the burden. WHO's depression fact sheet states that, even in high-income countries, only about one third of people with depression receive mental-health treatment (WHO, 2025a). The 2025 *World mental health today* report uses a stricter threshold—minimally adequate care rather than any treatment contact—and estimates that only about 9 per cent receive adequate care globally (WHO, 2025b). These estimates answer different questions. A visit is not necessarily effective treatment; access is not continuity; availability is not cultural safety; and starting care is not having enough support to remain in it.

Effective treatments nevertheless exist. Psychological therapies, antidepressant medication, exercise, electroconvulsive therapy for selected severe states, and newer forms of neuromodulation and rapid-acting treatment create a real clinical portfolio (WHO, 2025a; Cuijpers et al., 2023; Noetel et al., 2024; Lam et al., 2024). Average efficacy, however, is only one component of effectiveness. A therapy cannot help if it is unaffordable,

linguistically alien, geographically unreachable, or offered after a dangerous delay. Medication cannot be judged fairly when diagnosis is wrong, treatment is inadequate, adverse effects are unheard, or discontinuation is abrupt. Even sensible advice can injure when it ignores night work, pain, unsafe housing, caregiving, poverty, or disability.

The system boundary of this book is therefore deliberately wide. Depression occurs in a person, but the person does not end at the skull. Sleep alters cognitive control and reward learning. Pain changes effort cost. Inflammation may contribute to a subgroup without being necessary or sufficient for depression as a whole. Hormonal transitions, medication effects, neurological illness, withdrawal, grief, trauma, and chronic disease can alter the state. Relationships can regulate or destabilise. Debt can make a feared future objectively likely. Housing can determine whether rest is possible. Discrimination can repeatedly signal threat. Institutions can lower friction or demand impossible competence before help is released. Body, brain, mind, relationship, material world, culture, history, and future are coupled levels of one life.

The same width is necessary for dual diagnosis. Depression and substance use do not obey one temporal order. Alcohol may generate or intensify depressive symptoms; depression may increase the appeal of immediate relief; both may arise from trauma, isolation, pain, sleep disruption, or shared vulnerability; each may then sustain the other. Cannabis may be used to sleep, quiet anxiety, escape rumination, or feel something, while longitudinal observational evidence associates its use with higher later depression risk. Current randomised evidence does not establish cannabinoids as a primary treatment for depression (Churchill et al., 2025; Wilson et al., 2026). “Comorbidity” can sound like two boxes beside one another. In lived time it is often coupled capture: processes learning each other’s routes.

The central proposal of this book is that depression can be understood as a compression of possibility. Possibility here is not a demand that a person imagine a happier future. It includes the actions the body can initiate, alternatives attention can detect, outcomes treated as worth their effort, interpretations cognition can generate, relationships that remain reachable, help that can reach inward, and futures credible enough to compete with immediate relief or withdrawal. When routes contract across scales, the person may experience not only sadness but an altered geometry of life.

Compression can begin as protection. Under sustained threat or depletion, a system may reduce exploration, conserve energy, narrow attention, and prefer reliable relief. The tragedy begins when contraction becomes self-maintaining: less action produces less reward; less reward makes action appear irrational; withdrawal weakens incoming invitations; shame obstructs help; sleep disruption amplifies effort and threat; substances supply fast relief while weakening slower alternatives. What defended the organism against overload can become the mechanism by which overload persists.

Complex dynamical systems offer disciplined language for this process: feedback, delay, thresholds, memory, multiscale coupling, alternative stable patterns, and the possibility that the route out differs from the route in. Nonlinearity means equal inputs need not produce equal changes. Hysteresis means removing an initial stressor may not restore the earlier state after learning, physiology, habits, and relationships have changed. In mathematical systems with non-normal operators, interacting modes can transiently amplify a disturbance even when each eigenmode is asymptotically stable. That mechanism is established in mathematics and theoretical neuroscience; its direct role in depression is not. Here it remains a testable candidate, never a clinical fact disguised by notation.

The umbrella hypothesis developed here is the **Possibility Compression Cascade**: distributed loading, candidate non-normal transient amplification, nonlinear threshold crossing, landscape rewriting, reciprocal reach collapse, coupled capture, and distributed restoration. It is not a diagnosis, score, or claim that every depression follows one sequence. It earns its place only if it yields measurements and predictions that outperform simpler accounts.

That standard governs the brain chapters. Brodmann area 45 is examined because controlled semantic retrieval, selection, inhibition, language-mediated reappraisal, and inner speech make it an interesting candidate interface between words and affect. Yet depression-related findings involving the inferior frontal gyrus and BA45 remain task-, atlas-, and laterality-dependent, and often indirect. BA45 is not a “depression centre.” Dopamine is not pleasure; inflammation is not depression; a scan is not a diagnosis; a symptom network is not automatically causal; an elegant metaphor is not evidence.

The stance is demanding because the subject is human. Compassion without accuracy can make promises that break trust. Accuracy without compassion can turn suffering into an object. Flow Hijacked refuses that division. The person is not a malfunctioning diagram. Context does not make illness imaginary; biology

does not make meaning peripheral; one failed method does not make treatment futile; recovery is not a test of moral strength. The aim is to see enough of the system to reduce blame, locate leverage, protect safety, and reopen routes.

The chapters therefore distinguish established findings, interpretive syntheses, and explicit hypotheses. Depression is heterogeneous; effective treatments exist; development, sleep, pain, substance use, and social and material adversity matter. Reduced Navigability, Reward–Relief Compression, Temporal Compression, and the Reciprocal Reachability Field are organising interpretations. Highly non-normal depressive dynamics, a BA45-related semantic-affective interface, and the full Possibility Compression Cascade are research hypotheses. Keeping these categories visible makes synthesis trustworthy.

No book can restore a life by itself, but a book can improve the map. It can help a clinician name an unseen pattern, a researcher locate the experiment inside a metaphor, a family recognise that silence is not indifference, or a person understand that an inaccessible future is a state of the system rather than reality's verdict. Recovery often begins not with conviction but with conditions: a safe night, a medication review, a meal, a call answered, distance from lethal means, a reduction in withdrawal, a task small enough to start, an appointment that does not require ten impossible steps. These are changes in the field through which psychological work becomes possible.

The numbers show the scale of the weather. They do not tell us who any one person is, how their depression formed, or where an exit lies. For that we need a closer map—one able to hold illness and personhood, mechanism and meaning, evidence and uncertainty, body and world. That is the work of the chapters that follow.

How to Read This Book

This is a lecture written at the scale of a small book. It is meant to be heard in the mind as well as consulted on the page. A reader may move through it from beginning to end, but the material is organised so that several different readers can enter without having to master every layer at once.

The first route is the **human and clinical route**. Chapters 1 through 5 begin with the person, establish differential diagnosis and safety, then follow development, social and material conditions, cognition, identity, meaning, and the future. Readers living with depression may find these chapters closest to immediate experience. Clinicians may use them to prevent a systems formulation from becoming an abstraction. The central question is not only, "Which symptoms are present?" It is, "What has become difficult to reach, what can no longer reach this person, and which constraints are real?"

The second route is the **body and brain route**. Chapters 6 through 9 examine sleep, pain, autonomic and endocrine state, inflammation and metabolism, brain networks and regions, BA45, reward, effort, anhedonia, and future credibility. These chapters deliberately refuse the familiar contest between biological and psychological explanations. A biological finding is not more serious because it can be imaged, nor is an experience less biological because it is described in words. The relevant question is what level a claim actually supports. A group difference in cortical thickness is not an individual diagnostic marker. A treatment effect on a scale is not proof of one mechanism. An anatomical region matters through its connections, timing, task, and place in a whole organism.

The third route is the **mathematical and dynamical route**. Chapters 10 through 12 formalise depression as a potentially complex dynamical system, introduce nonlinearity, thresholds, hysteresis, early-warning ideas, and the candidate role of highly non-normal dynamics. The equations are not ornaments and are not required to receive the book's clinical argument. Each mathematical section has three layers: an intuitive description, a formal statement, and a boundary explaining what has and has not been shown in depression. A reader who does not work with differential equations can read the prose and return to the notation later. A mathematically trained reader should demand more: defined variables, identifiable contrasts, estimable quantities, and falsifiers.

The fourth route is the **dual-diagnosis and treatment route**. Chapters 13 through 16 examine coupled capture, CBT and David Burns's contribution, the treatment portfolio across scales, recovery, reciprocal reach, and the Possibility Compression Cascade. These chapters are not a recipe in which everyone proceeds through the same sequence. They are an argument for coordinated treatment. When depression is entangled with alcohol, cannabis, opioids, trauma, anxiety, chronic pain, sleep disruption, or material danger, parallel isolated plans can work against one another. Integration means that the care team monitors the coupled system and does not make relief from one condition the price of admission for treating another.

Throughout the book, four evidential voices appear. **Finding** marks what a study or convergent literature directly reports. **Interpretation** marks what investigators or a field think a finding may mean. **Flow Hijacked synthesis** connects findings across domains without borrowing their empirical certainty. **New hypothesis** marks a specified proposition that requires prospective testing against rivals. The Possibility Compression Cascade belongs to this fourth level. So does the proposition that non-normal transient gain will improve prediction of some depressive transitions. Keeping the four voices distinct protects the reader from false certainty and protects a hypothesis from being diluted into metaphor.

The Novel Concepts are gathered in a concise atlas immediately before Chapter 1. Their capitalisation signals that they are defined terms inside the Flow Hijacked framework, not that the scientific literature has already validated them as instruments. Each entry contains four elements: the problem the concept names, the mechanism it proposes, the mistake it prevents, and its relation to the umbrella cascade. Readers encountering a term mid-chapter can continue with the surrounding definition or consult the atlas. The concepts are designed to connect, not multiply. If two terms do the same work, they should eventually be merged. If a term cannot generate a measurement or a better clinical question, it should be retired.

Readers with depression do not owe this book uninterrupted attention. Cognitive fatigue is part of the illness for many people. It is reasonable to read one section, stop at a core sentence, use the concept atlas, or move directly to treatment and safety. If a passage about suicide, trauma, addiction, severe illness, or relapse increases distress, the right response is not to force completion. Pause. Move away from the material. Contact a person or service able to help. The final safety page offers globally framed routes, but local clinical and emergency services remain authoritative.

Clinicians should not use the framework to redescribe every difficulty as an internal dynamical deformation. If a person cannot pay rent, the constraint is not merely perceived. If a relationship is violent, cognitive reappraisal is not the primary intervention. If bipolar disorder, psychosis, catatonia, medication toxicity, withdrawal, endocrine illness, neurological disease, or imminent suicide risk is plausible, differentiation and safety come before conceptual elegance. The broad system boundary increases responsibility; it does not dissolve it.

Researchers are invited to treat the new concepts adversarially. The relevant question is not whether the language is evocative but whether it discriminates among models. Does reciprocal reach add information beyond activity and social-contact counts? Does the Recovery Decision Margin predict behaviour beyond delay discounting and symptom severity? Does a non-normal state-space model forecast perturbation responses better out of sample than a normal model with equal complexity? Do bottleneck-matched treatment portfolios outperform diagnosis-only sequencing? Where the answer is no, the framework must change.

Family members and interested readers may find one distinction especially useful: inability is not indifference. Depression can reduce the outgoing capacity to initiate contact and the incoming capacity to register care as usable. A message may be seen and not answered. An invitation may be wanted and still feel impossible. Support is therefore not measured only by how warmly it is offered but by whether it can cross the current threshold. Reliable, low-friction, nonpunitive contact often matters more than intensity.

Evidence Language, Clinical Boundaries, and Safety

Scientific prose can become misleading without making a single false statement. An association can be placed beside a mechanism until the reader experiences causality. A group average can be illustrated as an individual brain. A statistically significant change can be described as clinically transformative. A new treatment can be called rapid without discussing durability; an old treatment can be called established without discussing access, adverse effects, or who was excluded from trials. This book uses explicit evidence language to make those moves visible.

When the text says **is associated with**, it means that two measured features vary together after the adjustments used in that study. It does not automatically mean that one caused the other. When it says **predicts**, it means prediction in the stated sample and time horizon, not inevitability and not necessarily causal explanation. When it says **mediates**, readers should inspect whether mediation was temporal and experimentally identified or merely estimated from observational paths. When it says **effective**, the comparator, outcome, follow-up, and population matter. Waiting-list superiority, placebo superiority, active-treatment noninferiority, and real-world effectiveness are different claims.

Evidence strength is judged claim by claim. Randomised trials are powerful for estimating assigned treatment effects, but can be small, selectively reported, unrepresentative, or too brief. Meta-analyses increase precision only when their underlying studies and model assumptions permit it. Observational cohorts can reveal long trajectories and real-world harms that trials cannot, but confounding remains. Neuroimaging can localise and characterise systems at the group level, but a colourful map is not a diagnosis. Qualitative work can reveal meanings and barriers that a scale misses, but does not estimate prevalence. Lived experience is not a decorative supplement to science; it supplies questions, outcomes, and failure modes. It also varies, and no individual narrative represents everyone.

The book distinguishes **finding**, **interpretation**, **synthesis**, and **hypothesis**. A finding may be that a trial group improved more than a control group. An interpretation may concern the mechanism of that improvement. A synthesis may connect the finding with results from sleep, reward, and social-contact research. A hypothesis may predict that a particular within-person dynamical measure changes before recovery. These layers can support one another, but they are not interchangeable.

Novel Concepts in this book are therefore propositions under development. They are not recognised diagnoses, billing categories, validated scales, or instructions for self-treatment. **Cognitive Reynolds Number** is a heuristic analogy, not a measured fluid-dynamical property of thought. **Highly Non-Normal Dynamics** describes a candidate mechanism derived from linear algebra and theoretical neuroscience; direct evidence that depression itself is characteristically highly non-normal is not yet established. **Possibility Compression Cascade** is an umbrella research model. Its equations make assumptions inspectable; they do not convert the model into a fact.

The same restraint applies to anatomy. Brodmann areas are historical cytoarchitectonic divisions whose boundaries do not map perfectly onto every living brain or contemporary atlas. BA45, usually associated with the pars triangularis of the inferior frontal gyrus, participates in language and cognitive-control processes that may matter for semantic selection, reappraisal, rumination, and verbal self-models. The depression literature involving this region is emerging and indirect. No reader should infer that symptoms reveal a BA45 abnormality, that a BA45 image explains a person, or that the region is a standalone treatment target.

Clinical boundaries are firmer. Depression-like states can occur in bipolar disorder, substance intoxication or withdrawal, medication effects, sleep disorders, endocrine and neurological illness, reproductive transitions, grief, trauma, psychosis, catatonia, pain, and other medical conditions. A lecture cannot perform the history, examination, collateral assessment, laboratory testing, or longitudinal observation needed to distinguish them. New agitation, markedly reduced need for sleep, unusual activation, psychotic experiences, severe self-neglect, inability to eat or drink, catatonic signs, abrupt cognitive change, intoxication, dangerous withdrawal, or escalating suicidal intent require direct professional assessment.

No treatment section is a recommendation for an individual. Medication choice and discontinuation require a qualified prescriber who can consider diagnosis, age, pregnancy, interactions, previous response, adverse effects, and medical context. Abrupt antidepressant discontinuation can produce genuine discontinuation symptoms and may destabilize treatment; changes should be planned with a prescriber rather than improvised. Ketamine, esketamine, ECT, TMS, tDCS, VNS, MST, psychedelic-assisted interventions, and invasive

stimulation have different evidence bases, regulations, contraindications, monitoring needs, and degrees of availability. “Promising” never means appropriate outside trained, lawful care.

Suicide safety is not delegated to a score. Risk instruments have limited person-level predictive power, especially for rare outcomes (Franklin et al., 2017; Belsher et al., 2019). Good care asks directly and listens without punishment. Systematic-review evidence has not found that direct inquiry increases suicidal thoughts or behaviours, self-harm, or psychological distress, although asking is an entry into assessment rather than a safety intervention by itself (Polihronis et al., 2022). Assessment identifies current intent, planning, access to lethal means, recent attempts, intoxication, agitation, psychosis, losses, protective relationships, and what has changed. Collaborative safety planning and follow-up can reduce suicidal behaviours in some high-risk settings, but a safety plan is not the entire treatment and evidence differs across populations (Stanley et al., 2018; Weinstock et al., 2025; Albaum et al., 2025).

If reading this material activates an immediate risk of self-harm, suicide, overdose, or harm from another person, stop reading and seek real-time help. Contact local emergency services or go to the nearest emergency department if danger is immediate. If possible, tell a trusted person clearly that you need them to stay with you or help you reach care, and create distance from lethal means without putting yourself or anyone else at risk. Country-specific crisis lines can be found through the International Association for Suicide Prevention, Befrienders Worldwide, or Find A Helpline. These directories supplement; they do not replace local emergency care. The final page repeats this information in a form designed to be found quickly.

The purpose of these boundaries is not defensive publishing. It is fidelity to the subject. A compassionate systems account should leave the reader with more routes to care, not with the impression that a framework can carry responsibilities that belong to an actual clinical and social response.

Atlas of the 24 Flow Hijacked Concepts

The following atlas is a map of defined ideas, not a second set of diagnostic criteria. The concepts form a nested system. Some describe experience, some describe mechanisms, some organise assessment, and some define recovery. The Possibility Compression Cascade is the umbrella hypothesis that connects them while remaining open to revision.

The numbered entries are the **24 core atlas concepts**. Other named phrases have narrower roles and are not additional numbered concepts. **Semantic–Affective Gating**, **candidate non-normal transient amplification**, and **Coupled Capture** are supporting hypotheses or mechanisms. **Attractor Revision Corridor**, **Relational Vector Field**, and **Trauma-Time Capture** are subsidiary clinical constructs or design principles. This nomenclature prevents a useful mechanism from being mistaken for a validated instrument and prevents the architecture from expanding simply because a phrase is memorable. Within PCC, Stage 2 is named consistently as **candidate non-normal transient amplification**; it is not a separate construct called “Hidden Amplification.”

1. Reduced Navigability

Reduced Navigability names the contraction of states, actions, relationships, and futures that feel or functionally remain reachable. It is more specific than saying that a person has “low motivation.” Motivation can be low because anticipated reward is weak, effort cost is high, action initiation is impaired, the environment is punitive, the body is depleted, or the future has ceased to look causally connected to the present. Navigability asks which routes are missing, blocked, dangerous, invisible, or too expensive. The concept originated in the early depression sequence and remains the closest phenomenological summary of the framework. In the Possibility Compression Cascade, reduced navigability is both an output of multiscale compression and a feedback source: fewer traversed routes mean fewer corrective experiences from which the system can learn.

2. Identity Entrapment

Identity Entrapment occurs when a state becomes a verdict about the self: not “I am exhausted,” but “I am incapable”; not “this attempt failed,” but “failure is what I am.” Identity is treated here as a relatively slow variable, built through memory, language, social response, role, and repeated prediction. It can preserve continuity and meaning, but it can also make change feel like self-betrayal or impossibility. Identity Entrapment prevents the mistake of treating self-criticism as merely a detachable thought. It asks how the thought has become authorised by biography and current conditions. Within the cascade, identity can deepen the basin after threshold crossing. Recovery therefore involves revisable self-models and experiences of authorship, not compulsory positive affirmations.

3. Salience Collapse

Salience Collapse describes a field in which fewer cues recruit interest, care, curiosity, or action while failure, threat, effort, and loss acquire disproportionate priority. It does not mean that the brain has a single “salience fault,” and it should not be confused with the anatomical salience network alone. Salience is distributed across learning, interoception, attention, value, context, and culture. A child’s voice may still matter while failing to penetrate exhaustion; a previously loved activity may be remembered as valuable but no longer produce an actionable signal. In the cascade, salience collapse helps convert distributed loading into behavioural narrowing. It also identifies a recovery target: not forcing pleasure, but increasing the probability that small safe signals can again win selection.

4. Cognitive Reynolds Number

Cognitive Reynolds Number is a heuristic analogy for the relationship among cognitive load, recurrent amplification, damping, and the stability of mental flow. It asks when thought remains flexible and directed, when it becomes rigidly laminar, and when it turns turbulent through rumination, interruption, conflict, or poorly damped switching. Unlike the Reynolds number in fluid mechanics, it is not a validated scalar with agreed units, thresholds, or clinical cut-offs. Its usefulness is conceptual: it forces the model to specify load and damping rather than calling all repetitive thought “overthinking.” In the Possibility Compression Cascade it is a precursor to the more formal transient-gain account. If it cannot be operationalised beyond metaphor, the term should remain pedagogical rather than clinical.

5. Adaptive Compression Engine

Adaptive Compression Engine protects the distinction between function and pathology. Under threat, depletion, uncertainty, grief, pain, or overwhelming demand, narrowing can conserve energy, reduce exposure, and prioritise reliable actions. A person who withdraws is not necessarily behaving irrationally; the system may be reducing variance because variance has become dangerous. Compression becomes problematic when it persists after conditions change, generalises beyond its useful domain, or blocks the experiences required for updating. This concept therefore opposes moral judgment and simplistic symptom removal. In the cascade, adaptive compression is one possible response during distributed loading. The transition to disorder is not the presence of narrowing alone, but its rigidity, cost, self-maintenance, loss of reversibility, and collision with what the person values.

6. Pathological Compression

Pathological Compression is protective narrowing after it has become self-maintaining and excessively costly. The repertoire shrinks; failed attempts receive more weight than partial successes; withdrawal reduces incoming reward and support; and the lack of corrective experience appears to confirm the system’s pessimistic model. The term does not declare that the person is pathological. It describes the geometry of a process. It also does not imply that the original danger was unreal. A compressed system may have learned accurately that some environments punish exploration. The clinical task is to distinguish realistic constraint from overgeneralised closure. Within the cascade, pathological compression emerges after feedback and

threshold effects begin rewriting the landscape. It is reversed not by exhortation but by changing constraints, learning conditions, and accessible routes.

7. Navigability Margin

Navigability Margin is the time-varying distance between current capacity and the demands required for safe movement. When the margin is wide, a poor night, conflict, or missed appointment may be absorbed. When it is narrow, the same perturbation may tip the system into withdrawal, use, panic, or collapse. The margin includes energy, money, time, cognitive bandwidth, transport, social support, physical safety, treatment access, and confidence that effort will produce an outcome. It is not resilience as a moral trait. It is reserve relative to load. In the cascade, transient amplification matters most when this margin is already thin. Treatment can increase it by reducing demand, adding support, improving physiology, or lowering the activation energy of the next safe action.

8. Flow Map

The **Flow Map** is the framework's structured assessment surface. It records relevant states, vectors, constraints, couplings, attractors, resources, risks, and possible exits without pretending that every entry is known. A good Flow Map separates observation from inference: poor sleep is observed; "fear keeps the person awake" may be an interpretation; medication activation, pain, shift work, withdrawal, and trauma remain alternatives until assessed. The map can include body, brain, cognition, identity, relationships, institutions, material conditions, culture, and future. It is revised longitudinally rather than completed once. The cascade gives the Flow Map a temporal question: what loaded the system, what amplified change, what crossed a threshold, and what now maintains the pattern? The map, in turn, prevents the cascade from becoming a generic story imposed on everyone.

9. Dynamical Deformation Taxonomy

The **Dynamical Deformation Taxonomy** distinguishes compression, trapping, amplification, instability, overcoupling, disconnection, and depletion. These geometries may look similar on a symptom scale while requiring different interventions. A person trapped in a stable low-reward basin is not in the same state as a person oscillating rapidly under sleep loss and substance use. A system with excessive coupling between shame and withdrawal differs from one in which social signals fail to arrive at all. The taxonomy is an antidote to treating "more severe" as the only dimension of difference. Within the cascade, it allows multiple paths and combinations: not everyone passes through every deformation, and the order can vary. Its scientific value depends on whether these categories can be distinguished reliably and predict differential response.

10. Re-expansion of State-Space

Re-expansion of State-Space defines recovery as an increase in safe options, reversibility, and return paths. A person may still experience sadness while regaining the ability to act, connect, rest, revise an interpretation, receive help, or imagine more than one future. Conversely, symptom reduction without restored participation may leave the system fragile. Re-expansion is not unlimited choice; too many demands can overwhelm a depleted system. It is the restoration of meaningful, usable alternatives. In the cascade, distributed restoration reverses compression through several channels, often at different speeds. Sleep may improve before pleasure. Structure may return before hope. Social contact may become tolerable before it becomes rewarding. These changes count because they widen the field in which later change can occur.

11. Embodied State-Space

Embodied State-Space places sleep, circadian timing, pain, fatigue, inflammation, metabolism, hormones, medication, autonomic state, movement, nutrition, and organ health inside the model of reachable possibil-

ity. It rejects both biological reductionism and disembodied psychology. An inflammatory marker is not a diagnosis, and inflammation is relevant only to a subgroup; nevertheless, the body can alter reward, effort, attention, and social availability. Pain can make an action objectively expensive. Sleep loss can increase reactivity while weakening cognitive control. In the cascade, embodied variables can act as loads, amplifiers, slow variables, or treatment levers. The compassionate implication is precise: body-directed care is not a lesser intervention, and lifestyle advice must be adapted to access, disability, safety, culture, and the absence of blame.

12. Social State-Space

Social State-Space treats relationships, belonging, rejection, caregiving, work, money, housing, discrimination, migration, violence, institutions, and digital environments as causal conditions rather than background scenery. It distinguishes a distorted expectation from an accurate reading of danger. A person cannot cognitively restructure an eviction notice out of existence. At the same time, repeated adversity can generalise into predictions that remain active in safer contexts; both realities may need care. The Social State-Space includes what others make possible, what they make dangerous, and what services demand before they provide help. In the cascade, social conditions can initiate loading, strengthen feedback, close incoming reach, or supply restoration. Social treatment therefore includes reliable people, rights, resources, and low-friction institutional handoffs.

13. Therapeutic Vector-Field Reweighting

Therapeutic Vector-Field Reweighting describes psychotherapy as a change in the relative pull of interpretations, habits, actions, memories, and relational expectations. CBT may weaken the automatic authority of a global self-verdict, increase behavioural contact with reinforcement, or create a pause in which another action becomes selectable. Empathy can reduce the threat cost of examining ambivalence. Exposure can update an avoidance prediction. Values work can increase the weight of a direction before pleasure returns. The concept avoids depicting therapy as the insertion of correct thoughts into a faulty mind. Within the cascade, psychotherapy is one family of control inputs among several. Its effect depends on state, alliance, dose, timing, social reality, and whether the person has enough physiological and material margin to use what therapy offers.

14. Multimodal Control Matrix

The **Multimodal Control Matrix** organises interventions by target scale, expected delay, intensity, risk, burden, reversibility, access, and interaction with other treatments. Medication, psychotherapy, sleep intervention, pain care, substance-use treatment, exercise, financial support, family work, TMS, ECT, and crisis response do not compete on one axis. Each acts on different constraints and timescales. The matrix is not an algorithm that automatically selects treatment. It is a safeguard against asking one modality to solve every layer. In the cascade, it helps locate leverage at distributed loading, amplification, threshold, maintenance, coupled capture, and restoration. Coordinated combinations may be necessary, but more treatment is not always better; burden, interaction, preference, and sequencing must be considered.

15. Dynamical Flow Fingerprint

The **Dynamical Flow Fingerprint** is a longitudinal description of how a particular person's states change: rhythms, triggers, lags, recovery times, couplings, variability, and responses to perturbation or treatment. It privileges repeated within-person measurement over a single score while recognising that measurement itself can burden or distort experience. A fingerprint might include sleep timing, energy, rumination, substance use, pain, social contact, reward anticipation, action, and future credibility. It is not biometric identity and is not automatically causal. In the cascade, it provides the data needed to test phase transitions, transient gain, coupled capture, and reach-before-mood predictions. Its value depends on preregistered analysis, privacy, interpretable feedback, and whether measurement improves care rather than surveillance.

16. Reward–Relief Compression

Reward–Relief Compression explains why immediate relief can outcompete weak, delayed, effortful, or unreliable alternatives. Alcohol, cannabis, opioids, compulsive behaviours, sleep, avoidance, and withdrawal can all reduce distress quickly in some contexts. The problem is not that relief is meaningless; it is that the field becomes organised around the few actions that still work on demand. Ordinary rewards lose contrast, long-horizon consequences are discounted, and repeated use changes physiology, learning, relationships, and available options. This concept forms a principal bridge between depression and addiction without assuming one caused the other. In the cascade, it is a mechanism of coupled capture. Recovery must therefore improve the reliability and accessibility of alternatives, not merely condemn the surviving route to relief.

17. Agency Re-Expansion

Agency Re-Expansion is the restoration of authorship, capability, and a wider repertoire of action. Agency is not total control, positive thinking, or independence from others. It can be shared, scaffolded, and partial. Choosing between two tolerable options may be an agency gain when no option previously felt possible. So may asking someone else to hold medication, accepting transport, or allowing a clinician to help construct a safety plan. The concept prevents recovery from being measured only as compliance or symptom reduction. In the cascade, agency is both outcome and resource: each successful act can update predictions about controllability, while every unnecessary barrier consumes the margin required to act. Care should therefore create genuine choices and make them executable.

18. Flat Valley

The **Flat Valley** names the low-reward period that can follow acute crisis, substance cessation, or the beginning of treatment. The dangerous behaviour may stop before sleep, pleasure, energy, social trust, or future credibility returns. From outside, the person appears to be “doing better”; from inside, life may feel colourless and effort may still have no slope. The concept protects against interpreting this lag as ingratitude, noncompliance, or proof that recovery is failing. In the cascade, the Flat Valley reflects slow variables, hysteresis, depleted reinforcement, altered physiology, and real social consequences that persist after the initiating driver changes. Treatment needs continuity through the valley: structure, safety, bodily recovery, tolerable reward, and reasons to remain until the field changes.

19. Reward Relearning Gradient

Reward Relearning Gradient is the gradually rebuilt relationship between effort and expected value. In depression, the problem may not be absence of all positive experience but lack of a reliable slope: effort is costly, reward is delayed, and past attempts predict little return. Relearning begins with actions small and repeatable enough to generate interpretable evidence. The target is not instant pleasure. It may be reduced dread, a completed movement, slightly faster recovery, a moment of interest, or increased willingness to repeat. Behavioural activation provides an evidence-based clinical relative of this idea, though the Flow Hijacked concept is broader. Within the cascade, the gradient helps rewrite the landscape during restoration, making future-oriented action progressively more competitive.

20. Temporal Compression

Temporal Compression describes a future that loses detail, ownership, reachability, and competitive value. The horizon can shrink to the next hour, the next demand, or the next available relief. This is not simply impatience. Episodic future thinking, delay discounting, scarcity, trauma, depression, and substance use can each change how later outcomes are represented. A future may be imaginable but not believable, desirable but not causally linked to present action, or credible but experienced as belonging to someone else. In the cascade, temporal compression is a late output of repeated failed reach and a maintenance mechanism. Recovery does not begin by insisting on a grand future. It begins by constructing nearer futures whose promises are small enough to keep.

21. Recovery Decision Margin

The **Recovery Decision Margin** is the practical difference by which a future-oriented action can beat immediate relief, avoidance, or collapse at a particular moment. It depends on expected value, delay, effort, uncertainty, craving, pain, fatigue, social support, and confidence that the promised outcome will occur. A treatment plan fails when it assumes the margin is positive merely because the long-term option is objectively better. Reducing friction, bringing reward closer, increasing certainty, or adding another person can change the decision before motivation changes. In the cascade, this margin connects Temporal Compression with Reward–Relief Compression. It turns compassion into design: make the safer path easier to initiate, faster to reinforce, and less dependent on heroic executive control.

22. Reciprocal Reachability Field

The **Reciprocal Reachability Field** maps two directions at once: whether the person can reach actions, people, services, places, meanings, and futures, and whether those parts of life can reach the person in a usable form. Depression can weaken outgoing reach through fatigue, fear, slowed initiation, shame, and low expected value. It can also weaken incoming reach: care is offered but cannot be registered, an appointment exists but requires impossible steps, a relationship sends signals too ambiguous to cross the threshold. The field prevents blame in both directions. In the cascade, reciprocal reach collapse is a pivotal phase linking inner and outer compression. Recovery widens outgoing capacity and redesigns the environment so support can arrive with less friction.

23. REACH

REACH is the clinical mnemonic that operationalises the Reciprocal Reachability Field: **Risk and reality; Efferent reach; Afferent reach; Couplings; Horizon and handoff**. Risk and reality establish safety and distinguish actual constraints from generalised predictions. Efferent reach asks what the person can initiate. Afferent reach asks what help, reward, and information can enter. Couplings identify mutually sustaining loops among symptoms, substances, pain, sleep, relationships, and material conditions. Horizon examines future credibility and the next workable timescale. Handoff asks who or what carries action across a gap the person cannot currently cross alone. REACH is an assessment scaffold, not a validated diagnostic instrument. In the cascade, it translates the model into questions without assuming the model is already correct.

24. Possibility Compression Cascade

The **Possibility Compression Cascade** is the umbrella research hypothesis. It proposes a possible sequence from distributed loading, through candidate non-normal transient amplification and nonlinear threshold crossing, to landscape rewriting, reciprocal reach collapse, coupled capture, and distributed restoration. The word “cascade” does not require a single order or an irreversible fall. Stages may overlap, repeat, or be absent; different people may enter at different points. The hypothesis integrates the preceding concepts by assigning them roles in a temporal system: Embodied and Social State-Spaces supply conditions; Navigability Margin shapes vulnerability; Pathological Compression and identity changes maintain the state; Reward–Relief and Temporal Compression support coupled capture; REACH and the Multimodal Control Matrix guide restoration. Its legitimacy depends on prediction, comparison, and falsification—not on the elegance of its name.

PART I

Person, Safety, Development, and World

*Depression is an illness lived by a person inside
a body, history, relationship, and material field.*

CHAPTER 1

The Person and the State

A diagnosis can be true without being the whole truth of a life.

1.1 A person, not a mechanism

Depression is one of the places where language can either restore dignity or quietly remove it. The clinical vocabulary is necessary: episode, severity, recurrence, psychomotor change, anhedonia, suicidality, remission. Each term can focus attention and open access to care. Yet the same vocabulary can become a shrinking device when it is allowed to stand in for the person. A human being becomes “a depressive,” a life becomes a score, and a response to loss, illness, violence, exhaustion, isolation, or no single identifiable event is flattened into a defective component.

This book begins with a stricter proposition. Depression is a real and sometimes lethal illness; it is also an experience lived by a person whose existence exceeds the illness. These statements are not rivals. The first protects against minimization. The second protects against reduction. Seriousness does not require us to imagine a person as a broken brain, and respect for context does not require us to pretend that severe depression is merely an understandable sadness that will pass if left alone.

The person arrives in a body with a particular sleep history, immune history, pain burden, hormonal context, medication exposure, temperament, and developmental trajectory. The person also arrives inside relationships, obligations, memories, institutions, economic conditions, cultures, moral commitments, and a future that may currently feel believable or impossible. None of those layers is ornamental. Each can alter what is perceived, what matters, what action costs, which support is available, and how rapidly a perturbation spreads. The clinically relevant system boundary therefore cannot stop at the skull. Nor can it dissolve into the claim that everything causes everything. A whole-person formulation has to be broad enough to include the real causal field and disciplined enough to identify the next responsible action.

This is the ground of the Flow Hijacked approach. The phrase does not mean that an alien force has seized a passive mind. It names the lived fact that processes which normally support adaptive movement—attention, prediction, energy conservation, avoidance of danger, social learning, habit, and relief—can become organized in ways that narrow life. The mechanisms are often understandable. Their consequences can still be devastating. A system may be protecting itself from overload and, in doing so, block the experiences through which it could learn that movement is safe again.

The central object is therefore not an isolated symptom or a static mechanism. It is a person moving through time. At any moment the person occupies a multilevel state: bodily, neural, cognitive, emotional, relational, material, and temporal. What matters is not only the state itself, but the transitions that remain possible from it. Can the person move from numbness toward interest, from shame toward contact, from agitation toward rest, from thought toward action, from today toward a future that carries enough reality to influence a decision? Can care reach the person? Can the person reach care? Can either route remain open when symptoms intensify?

This framing is compatible with diagnosis, neuroscience, pharmacology, and psychotherapy. It does not replace them. It asks each discipline to answer a more human question: what has happened to the person’s capacity to move among viable ways of being, and what combination of interventions might restore that capacity without causing avoidable harm?

Phenomenological accounts of depression repeatedly describe more than sadness. People report a changed world: effort becomes heavier, time slows or stops, possibilities recede, other people seem unreachable, and the self appears fixed in a verdict of failure or defect. These are not decorative metaphors laid over a biological disorder. They are data about how the illness is organized in experience. Biological processes matter precisely because they change what can be felt, thought, imagined, initiated, and received. Social conditions matter for the same reason. The level of explanation changes; the person does not disappear (Ratcliffe, 2015; Otte et al., 2016).

The ethical test is simple. After the formulation has become more technically sophisticated, is the human being more visible or less? A model fails if it can describe connectivity, inflammation, prediction error, and attractor depth while overlooking hunger, coercion, grief, racism, debt, caring responsibility, medication toxicity, or the effort required to survive an ordinary morning. It also fails if compassion becomes so diffuse that no one asks directly about suicide, psychosis, mania, substance withdrawal, severe self-neglect, or a medical contributor. Holism is not the absence of priorities. It is the ability to set the right priority without mistaking it for the whole person.

1.2 Syndrome, episode, trait, context, and meaning

The word *depression* refers to several different objects. It can name a symptom, a syndrome, a diagnosed disorder, a current episode, a recurrent course, a dimensional burden, or a lived condition that does not fit cleanly inside a category. Confusion begins when these objects are treated as interchangeable.

A depressed mood can occur in grief, trauma, chronic illness, substance withdrawal, sleep deprivation, bipolar disorder, demoralization, and many other states. A depressive syndrome describes a cluster of experiences and impairments occurring together over time. A major depressive episode is a defined clinical construct that supports communication, research, prognosis, and treatment selection. A recurrent depressive disorder describes a longitudinal pattern, not simply the intensity of the present day. A screening score estimates symptom burden; it does not by itself establish diagnosis, explain cause, identify bipolarity, or determine safety (American Psychiatric Association, 2022; WHO, 2023; NICE, 2022).

These distinctions are not pedantry. They change action. A person in profound bereavement may need witness, practical protection, sleep support, and careful monitoring; the presence of grief does not exclude a depressive episode. A person with depression and periods of reduced need for sleep, acceleration, and impulsive goal pursuit may need a bipolar assessment before an antidepressant strategy is intensified. A person whose exhaustion is sustained by sleep apnea, anemia, thyroid disease, pain, or a medication effect may require medical investigation alongside psychological care. A person whose symptoms emerge during alcohol withdrawal may face immediate risks that cannot be inferred from a depression scale.

Meaning also matters, but meaning is not a substitute for mechanism. A symptom can be intelligible in context and still require treatment. The despair of someone living under violence or forced displacement may be proportionate to danger; that does not make the nervous system invulnerable to illness or the suffering undeserving of care. Conversely, the presence of a diagnosis does not convert every negative appraisal into a distortion. If the rent cannot be paid, the workplace is humiliating, or the home is unsafe, some threat predictions are accurate. Therapy becomes invalidating when it treats realistic constraints as errors in thinking.

The best formulation therefore holds at least five lenses at once. The *syndrome* lens asks which symptoms cluster and whether diagnostic criteria are met. The *state* lens asks what is happening now—severity, agitation or slowing, sleep, intake, psychosis, safety, and function. The *trajectory* lens asks when the pattern began, how it changes, what preceded earlier transitions, and what treatments helped or harmed. The *context* lens asks which relationships, material conditions, roles, and institutions are shaping the course. The *meaning* lens asks what the experience says to the person about self, responsibility, loss, morality, and future.

No lens has permission to cancel the others. A narrative explanation cannot rule out bipolarity. A biomarker cannot tell us what a loss means. A diagnosis cannot reveal whether a person has food. A social formulation cannot establish that a medication is safe. A mathematical model cannot inherit clinical responsibility.

Heterogeneity makes this discipline essential. People who meet the same diagnostic threshold can differ dramatically in sleep, appetite, movement, anxiety, guilt, concentration, reward, suicidal thinking, and bodily symptoms. Fried and Nesse found 1,030 distinct symptom profiles in 3,703 participants with major depression in the STAR*D sample—a striking demonstration that an identical sum score can conceal profoundly different configurations (Fried & Nesse, 2015a). The number is not a mystical property of depression; it depends on the measure and sample. Its importance lies in what it forbids: assuming that the category has already told us which processes are active in the individual.

This plurality does not make diagnosis useless. Categories can identify recognizable patterns, guide evidence-based care, organize services, and protect access to accommodations. Dimensional measures can track burden. Symptom-level analysis can reveal targets. Longitudinal formulation can distinguish state from trait. The mistake is to demand that one level do every job.

Depression also varies in relation to circumstances. For some people there is a clear precipitating loss; for others, the change arrives without an event that seems proportionate. Some episodes remit fully; others leave residual symptoms or recur. Some presentations are dominated by anxiety, others by slowing, irritability, pain, excessive sleep, early-morning waking, loss of appetite, increased appetite, or a near-total inability to initiate action. A sophisticated account does not search for a single essence behind all these forms. It asks which shared processes may be present, which are absent, and how a common label interacts with a particular person's biology and life.

The task of differentiation is therefore a form of respect. It says: your suffering is recognizable, but it will not be presumed identical to anyone else's. The diagnosis may name a territory. It does not draw the route through it.

1.3 Symptoms as adaptive signals and sources of suffering

Many depressive processes can be understood partly as extensions of ordinary adaptive functions. Withdrawal can conserve energy after loss or prolonged threat. Reduced exploration can limit exposure when the world appears dangerous or unrewarding. Rumination may begin as an attempt to solve a socially consequential problem. Heightened sensitivity to failure may be intended to prevent further error. Sleep and appetite changes may accompany stress responses. A narrowed horizon can prioritize immediate survival when resources are scarce.

This functional perspective is clinically useful because it replaces contempt with curiosity. Instead of asking why the person is refusing life, we ask what the system may be protecting, conserving, anticipating, or avoiding. Yet an evolutionary or adaptive story can become another kind of reduction if it romanticizes severe suffering. A process may have been useful in one environment, at one intensity, or for one interval and become destructive when prolonged, generalized, or disconnected from current conditions. The fact that pain protects tissue does not make chronic pain benign. The fact that withdrawal can conserve energy does not make months of isolation safe.

Flow Hijacked calls this the **Adaptive Compression Engine**. It is a synthesis rather than a validated biological module. The proposal is that organisms sometimes narrow action, attention, and investment under conditions of overload, uncontrollability, danger, or low expected return. Compression reduces the number of active possibilities and can lower immediate demand. Pathology begins when the narrowing becomes self-maintaining: fewer actions produce fewer corrective experiences; fewer rewarding encounters confirm that effort is futile; social withdrawal weakens incoming support; disrupted sleep increases cognitive and emotional load; identity absorbs the state into the statement "this is what I am." The protective maneuver begins to rewrite the landscape in which protection is needed.

The distinction between function and justification matters. To say that avoidance reduces threat in the short term is not to endorse indefinite avoidance. To say that alcohol can rapidly alter unbearable affect is not to deny its capacity to deepen depression, disrupt sleep, and create dependence. To say that numbness may protect against overwhelming pain is not to deny the loss of intimacy, meaning, and warning signals that numbness can produce. Functional analysis asks what a behavior accomplishes now and what it costs across time. Moral judgment usually provides less information.

Symptoms also differ in what they signal. Fatigue may reflect depression, sleep loss, inflammatory illness, medication, anemia, pain, nutritional deprivation, or several interacting processes. Guilt may concern an actual moral injury, a distorted global accusation, a psychotic belief, or a culture-specific obligation. Inactivity may arise from anhedonia, fear, psychomotor retardation, executive dysfunction, bodily illness, lack of opportunity, or the rational calculation that available actions cannot change the outcome. Each path may converge on a similar observation while requiring a different response.

This is why symptom checklists are beginnings, not explanations. They tell us what deserves attention. They do not tell us which causal route generated it or which lever is least burdensome and sufficiently powerful. The same visible withdrawal could call for behavioral activation, pain treatment, a safer environment, graded trauma work, addiction care, accommodation at work, medication review, or urgent assessment for catatonia. A humane model refuses both extremes: it neither regards symptoms as meaningless noise nor assumes that their apparent purpose reveals their cause.

The **Possibility Compression Cascade**, developed later in this volume, extends the adaptive-compression idea into a multiscale hypothesis. It proposes that distributed loading across body, brain, cognition, identity,

relationships, material world, and future can be amplified; cross nonlinear thresholds; alter learning and expectation; collapse reciprocal reach; and recruit comorbid processes. At this stage, the concept should be handled with epistemic restraint. It is a proposed integrative sequence, not a diagnostic test, biomarker, or established natural law. Its value depends on whether it yields discriminating predictions and can be revised or rejected when data fail to support it.

That restraint is part of compassion. Explanations become coercive when they are presented as certainties about a person. “Your brain is protecting you” may sound kind, but it can be as presumptuous as “you are choosing this” if the claim is not grounded in the person’s experience and evidence. The language should remain invitational: could this narrowing have reduced an intolerable demand? What did it make possible in the short term? What is it costing now? What happened when you tried to move differently? The person remains a collaborator in the formulation, not the object upon which a theory is displayed.

1.4 Function, disability, dignity, and hidden labour

Depression is often judged through visible performance. A person who is still employed, parenting, studying, answering messages, or able to smile may be assumed to be mildly affected. A person who cannot perform these tasks may be blamed for not trying. Both inferences ignore the cost at which function is maintained and the processes required for function to occur.

Clinical assessment should distinguish capacity, performance, and cost. Capacity asks what the person can do under favorable conditions. Performance asks what is actually being done in daily life. Cost asks what the action consumes and what collapses afterward. Someone may complete a workday by suppressing distress, relying on fear, abandoning meals, and spending the evening unable to speak. The output is visible; the hidden labour is not. Another person may appear inactive because the sequence required to leave home—wake, wash, choose clothes, travel, tolerate scrutiny, remember information, and recover afterward—contains multiple failed transitions.

Function is multidimensional. It includes self-care, sleep, nutrition, movement, cognition, work or education, caregiving, household tasks, financial administration, relationships, sexuality, play, citizenship, and the ability to seek help. It also includes the less visible functions of imagining, choosing, revising, trusting, and allowing positive experience to register. A narrow occupational metric can miss severe impairment in the rest of life; a global disability label can miss islands of preserved competence that provide routes into recovery.

The International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health emphasizes that disability emerges through the interaction of health conditions with activities, participation, and environmental factors (WHO, 2001). That interactional view is particularly important in depression. The person’s difficulty is real, but its consequences depend on the field. Flexible work, protected sleep, accessible transport, predictable appointments, childcare, income support, and one reliable relationship can change what is possible without changing the diagnosis overnight. Conversely, administrative complexity and punitive systems can turn moderate symptoms into catastrophic functional loss.

Dignity requires more than warm language. It requires designing care that can be entered from the state the person actually occupies. A referral that demands five phone calls, multiple forms, transport, advance payment, and precise autobiographical narration may be clinically appropriate on paper and dynamically unreachable in practice. When the person fails to complete it, the system may record “non-engagement.” That term can conceal a transfer of responsibility: the route existed administratively but not functionally.

The same principle applies inside treatment. Homework, exercise, social contact, regular sleep, and medication routines can be useful. They can also become repeated occasions for failure if prescribed above the person’s current initiation, cognitive, bodily, or material capacity. Grading the task is not indulgence. It is experimental precision. The aim is to set a step large enough to produce information and small enough to remain enterable. The result then updates the formulation: was the barrier anticipation, setup, cost, fatigue, fear, memory, reward registration, or something else?

Recovery scholarship also warns against equating clinical remission with the entirety of recovery. The CHIME framework synthesized connectedness, hope and optimism, identity, meaning, and empowerment as recurring dimensions of personal recovery (Leamy et al., 2011). These dimensions should not be turned into another checklist imposed on the person. Their importance is that symptom reduction and a life worth

inhabiting overlap without becoming identical. A person can remain symptomatic while rebuilding authorship and belonging; another can meet a symptom threshold for remission while remaining isolated, precariously housed, or unable to imagine a future.

There is a reciprocal ethical obligation here. The person should not be denied agency because they are ill, and they should not be assigned sole responsibility for conditions that make agency unusable. Supported decision-making, clear information, collaborative planning, and the least restrictive safe care preserve autonomy. At times, severe danger, psychosis, catatonia, or incapacity requires others to act more urgently. Respect is not passivity in the face of deterioration. It is the careful calibration of help, choice, and protection.

1.5 The Flow Hijacked lens: reach, constraint, and return

The first formal concept of this monograph is **Reduced Navigability**: depression narrows the set of bodily states, actions, relationships, interpretations, and futures that feel or function as reachable. The word *feel* is essential but insufficient. A possibility can be subjectively absent, objectively blocked, or both. “Call a friend” may not enter awareness; the friend may also be unsafe. “Seek treatment” may feel impossible; treatment may also cost more than the person can pay. A good formulation distinguishes internal prediction from external structure without pretending they do not interact.

Navigability is more than the number of options. A maze can contain many paths and remain unnavigable. What matters is whether routes can be perceived, initiated, sustained, corrected, and reversed at acceptable cost. Safety matters. So does return. A person may be able to enter a social situation but have no reliable way to recover from the physiological and cognitive load it creates. Another may begin medication but lack follow-up if activation, adverse effects, or withdrawal emerge. Reach without a return path can increase danger.

This leads to the **Reciprocal Reachability Field**. The concept asks two directions of every domain. What can the person reach? And what can still reach the person? Can the person initiate contact, and can another’s care register as care? Can the person approach food, movement, music, treatment, or a future plan, and can any of these exert enough pull to change the next state? Depression often impairs both directions. Outgoing action contracts, while incoming reward, reassurance, affection, and evidence fail to update the system.

Reciprocity prevents an overly heroic model of recovery. The task is not only to increase the person’s effort. The world must also become more reachable and more reaching: appointments simplified, messages answered, transport arranged, medication monitored, crises followed up, environments made safer, conflict repaired, resources delivered, and opportunities made credible. The person’s movement and the field’s response form a loop.

The field metaphor can be expressed in systems language, but its first use is clinical. Ask what has moved farther away. Ask what harmful state has become too easy to enter. Ask which route repeatedly breaks. Ask whether the bottleneck is in perception, initiation, energy, social permission, money, pain, executive sequence, anticipated shame, or the expectation that nothing will change. Ask what still gets through. An exception is not proof that the illness is unreal; it is information about a remaining channel.

Return is equally important. Recovery is rarely a one-way march out of a basin. It involves oscillation, partial gains, adverse events, and periods in which old states become reachable again. A system with broader state-space is not one that never suffers. It is one with more safe transitions, more reversible moves, and more ways back after disruption. This is **Re-expansion of State-Space**, a later concept already implicit here.

The framework must not overclaim. Reduced Navigability and Reciprocal Reachability are Flow Hijacked constructs, not validated diagnostic scales. They organize questions and generate hypotheses. They may ultimately prove useful, require subdivision, or fail to add value beyond established measures of functioning, reward, avoidance, social support, and future thinking. Their scientific legitimacy will depend on operational definitions, convergent and discriminant validity, within-person prediction, and evidence that they improve decisions rather than merely redescribe them.

Their humane legitimacy has a parallel test: do they help locate a reachable next step without making the person the sole site of failure? If so, they offer a language in which the severity of depression and the irreducibility of the person can coexist.

1.6 What this monograph will and will not claim

This monograph works across four evidential levels. First are established findings: depression is heterogeneous, disabling, recurrent for many people, associated with increased morbidity and mortality, and treatable through several evidence-based psychological, pharmacological, social, and neuromodulatory routes (Otte et al., 2016; Herrman et al., 2022; Lam et al., 2024; NICE, 2022). Second are active scientific interpretations: symptom-network, predictive-processing, immunometabolic, developmental, and circuit-level models that organize substantial evidence while remaining incomplete. Third is Flow Hijacked synthesis, which connects reach, compression, attraction, amplification, and multiscale control. Fourth are explicit hypotheses, including the Possibility Compression Cascade, which require prospective testing.

The levels will not be blurred. A compelling metaphor is not a measured mechanism. A group-average neuroimaging result does not diagnose an individual. A correlational network is not automatically a causal network. An observed developmental association does not make biography destiny. A treatment effect in a trial does not guarantee benefit for one person, and failure of one intervention does not prove that the person is untreatable.

The monograph will also resist a familiar false choice. Depression is not either biological or meaningful, either individual or social, either illness or response. Biology is how experience becomes embodied; meaning is one way organisms organize action; relationships regulate physiology; institutions alter exposure and access; medication can change learning conditions; psychotherapy can alter bodily and neural dynamics; material safety can make psychological experimentation possible. Integration does not mean equal weighting in every case. It means permitting the evidence and the person's priorities to determine which scale matters now.

No chapter provides individualized medical advice. Specific diagnosis, treatment, medication changes, or crisis decisions require qualified assessment and local resources. The framework is never a substitute for urgent care. It is intended to improve the quality of the questions taken into that care.

The next chapter therefore begins where any responsible systems account must begin: not with an elegant theory, but with disciplined differentiation and safety. Before we ask how possibilities became compressed, we must identify the states in which the wrong interpretation—or the wrong delay—could be dangerous.

CORE SENTENCE

Depression can reorganize a life without becoming the identity of the person living it; the work is to understand the state precisely enough that dignity, safety, and movement become possible together.

CHAPTER 2

Disciplined Differentiation and Safety

Before explanation comes the obligation to recognize the state in front of us.

2.1 Major depression and its heterogeneous presentations

The diagnostic interview is often imagined as a sorting procedure: gather symptoms, count them, assign a label. In competent practice it is closer to disciplined differentiation under uncertainty. The clinician is asking whether a depressive syndrome is present, how severe and pervasive it is, what course it belongs to, what else may be generating or organizing it, and which findings change the urgency or direction of care.

Major depression can include depressed mood, loss of interest or pleasure, fatigue, altered sleep or appetite, impaired concentration, guilt or worthlessness, psychomotor slowing or agitation, and thoughts of death or suicide. Yet the syndrome is not a single presentation. One person sleeps fourteen hours and cannot rise; another wakes before dawn in panic. One stops eating; another eats for brief relief. One feels slowed and empty; another feels internally driven, anguished, and unable to sit still. One describes sadness; another

describes irritability, bodily pain, emotional absence, or the collapse of meaning. Cultural idioms may foreground the heart, head, stomach, nerves, spirit, or social rupture rather than “mood” (American Psychiatric Association, 2022; Otte et al., 2016; WHO, 2023).

Severity is not determined by symptom count alone. It is shaped by intensity, functional impairment, psychosis, catatonia, agitation, nutritional or medical compromise, suicidality, course, available support, and the speed of change. A person with fewer reported symptoms may be at greater immediate risk than someone with a higher questionnaire total. Conversely, a high score is not proof of imminent danger. Scores can support detection and monitoring; they cannot replace direct assessment or longitudinal knowledge.

The interview should locate both positive findings and meaningful absences. Has pleasure disappeared during events, or mainly the anticipation needed to begin them? Is concentration impaired across settings, or principally during rumination? Is the person sleeping less because they cannot sleep despite exhaustion, or because they do not feel a need for sleep? Has movement slowed, or is there restless activation? Do self-accusations remain open to discussion, or have they become fixed, bizarre, or delusional? What was the person’s usual baseline? What changed first?

Chronology is one of the most powerful diagnostic tools. A cross-sectional snapshot can make unlike states appear similar. The timeline should include depressive episodes, elevated or unusually activated periods, sleep changes, reproductive events, medical illness, pain, trauma, medication starts and stops, substance use and withdrawal, seasonal patterns, major losses, and previous responses to treatment. Family history and collateral information, obtained with consent whenever possible, can clarify episodes that state-dependent memory has minimized or reorganized.

Flow Hijacked’s **Dynamical Deformation Taxonomy** is useful only after this conventional clinical work is respected. The taxonomy distinguishes patterns such as compression, trapping, amplification, instability, overcoupling, and depletion. These are geometries of difficulty, not diagnoses. A slowed unipolar depression may be dominated by depletion and compression; a mixed bipolar state may combine depressive content with dangerous activation and instability; withdrawal may create high-amplitude oscillation; catatonia may involve a radically different motor-behavioral syndrome. Similar words—“I cannot move,” “my thoughts are racing,” “nothing matters”—can occupy different diagnostic architectures.

The taxonomy therefore supplements, rather than competes with, established classification. It prompts questions about transition and control: how rapidly did the state emerge? What inputs intensify it? Does activation widen safe agency or increase impulsivity? Is the system responsive to social contact, sleep, structure, or medication? Does a perturbation decay, or does it recruit multiple domains and persist? These questions can sharpen a formulation. They cannot certify it.

2.2 Bipolar depression, mixed features, and activation risk

One of the most consequential errors in depression care is failing to recognize a bipolar course. Many people with bipolar disorder seek help during depression; hypomanic periods may feel productive, charismatic, creative, spiritual, or simply normal by contrast. The person may not identify them as symptoms, and a brief intake focused on current low mood may never ask.

The assessment should examine periods of distinctly changed mood and energy, especially reduced need for sleep, increased goal-directed activity, accelerated speech or thought, unusual confidence or grandiosity, impulsive spending or sexuality, irritability, risk-taking, and consequences that others noticed. Family history, postpartum episodes, psychotic symptoms, marked episodicity, and activation associated with antidepressants may raise suspicion, although no single feature establishes the diagnosis. Substance use, prescribed stimulants, corticosteroids, sleep deprivation, and other exposures must be considered in the same timeline (Yatham et al., 2018; Grande et al., 2016; NICE, 2023).

Mixed features deserve particular attention because the word *depressed* can conceal simultaneous activation. A person may be hopeless while sleepless, racing, agitated, impulsive, or intensely irritable. Rising energy in this context is not automatically improvement. It may increase the capacity to act before judgment, sleep, and future orientation have recovered. New agitation, abrupt sleep reduction, unusual behavioral acceleration, or suicidal impulsivity after a medication change requires prompt clinical review.

This is not an argument for self-diagnosis or abrupt discontinuation. Stopping an antidepressant suddenly can produce discontinuation symptoms, rebound symptoms, sleep disruption, and further instability; the

pace of any change requires individualized prescriber guidance. It is an argument for reassessment by a qualified prescriber. Treatment pathways differ across unipolar and bipolar depression, phase of illness, mixed features, and individual history. The safest intervention is not always the one that most rapidly increases activation.

The systems lesson is precise: more motion is not the same as more navigability. A state can become expansive but less controllable. Flow Hijacked therefore treats stability, reversibility, sleep, judgment, and safe choice as part of recovery, not secondary constraints upon it.

2.3 Psychosis, catatonia, agitation, and severe functional collapse

Some depressive states require an immediate change in level of care. Psychotic depression may include hallucinations or delusions organized around guilt, punishment, poverty, bodily ruin, nihilism, persecution, or the conviction that food, care, or existence is forbidden. The beliefs may be mood-congruent, but their depressive content does not make them less psychotic. A person who believes they have irreparably harmed everyone, despite evidence and reassurance, may face severe danger through self-punishment, refusal of care, or suicide.

Catatonia is another urgent syndrome that can occur with mood disorders, psychotic disorders, medical conditions, and medications. It is not synonymous with being very withdrawn or slow. Features can include marked immobility, mutism, posturing, negativism, stupor, purposeless agitation, echophenomena, and other motor signs. Malignant catatonia can include autonomic instability and fever. Recognition matters because treatment is specialized and delay can be medically dangerous. A lecture or self-help protocol is not an appropriate response to suspected catatonia; medical and psychiatric assessment is.

Agitation can be equally serious and is easily mislabeled as anxiety. Pacing, inability to remain still, hand-wringing, relentless internal pressure, insomnia, and rapidly escalating distress can occur in severe depression, mixed bipolar states, psychosis, intoxication or withdrawal, and medication-induced akathisia. Akathisia—the painful subjective and motor restlessness associated with some medications—may be experienced as nearly intolerable. The sequence around medication initiation or dose change must be examined rather than assuming that the underlying disorder has simply worsened.

Severe functional collapse may be quieter. The person may stop drinking, eating, taking essential medication, attending to wounds, maintaining shelter, or caring for dependents. They may no longer be able to navigate an appointment or communicate danger. These states require assessment of medical compromise, capacity, safeguarding, and the least restrictive safe intervention. Autonomy is not honored by abandoning someone to an illness that has removed their ability to initiate help.

The clinical stance should remain calm, direct, and non-theatrical. Psychosis, catatonia, agitation, and self-neglect are not evidence of moral failure. Nor are they occasions to debate metaphysics, demand insight, or ask loved ones to manage alone. The immediate work is containment, diagnosis, hydration and nutrition when needed, medication or neuromodulatory treatment where indicated, protection from harm, and a documented handoff to the next responsible service (NICE, 2022; VA/DoD, 2022; WHO, 2023).

2.4 Substance-induced, withdrawal-related, and medication-related states

Alcohol and other substances can precede depression, follow it, imitate it, and become coupled with it. The question “Which came first?” is often too simple. A person may begin with anxiety or trauma, discover that a substance rapidly changes the state, develop neuroadaptation and social consequences, become more depressed, and then use more heavily because ordinary relief and reward have weakened. By the time care begins, both processes may deserve direct treatment.

The assessment must distinguish intoxication, withdrawal, early abstinence, and longer-term baseline. Alcohol can temporarily reduce inhibition or anxiety while worsening sleep architecture, mood regulation, impulsivity, medical health, and relationship stability. After physiological dependence, abrupt alcohol or benzodiazepine cessation can precipitate seizures or delirium and may be life-threatening; it requires medically informed assessment rather than an informal instruction to stop. Stimulant intoxication and withdrawal can alternate activation with profound fatigue and anhedonia. In otherwise medically stable adults, opioid

withdrawal is usually not directly life-threatening in the same way, but it can be severe and medically complicated; cessation without continuing treatment can drive return to use, and loss of tolerance raises overdose risk if use resumes. Cannabis effects vary with dose, potency, frequency, age, vulnerability, and context; it may be sought for sleep or affect regulation while worsening anxiety, cognition, motivation, mood course, or psychosis risk in some people (American Society of Addiction Medicine, 2020; Brunner et al., 2025; SAMHSA, 2020; Yakovenko et al., 2024).

A nonpunitive interview is not merely kinder; it produces better data. People reasonably fear that disclosure may threaten dignity, employment, custody, housing, pain care, or legal standing. Moralized questions invite concealment. Clinicians need concrete information—substance, amount, route, frequency, timing, periods of abstinence, withdrawal history, overdose, combinations, and relation to sleep and mood—while explaining why the details matter. Compassion and risk clarity belong together.

Prescribed and over-the-counter agents also enter the differential. Corticosteroids, some hormonal and neurological treatments, sedatives, stimulants, and other medications may affect mood, sleep, cognition, or activation. Supplements and nonprescribed drugs can interact with treatment. A medication review should include initiation, dose change, discontinuation, adherence, side effects, and temporal relation to symptoms. The conclusion should not be “medications are the cause” by default. It should be that exposures belong inside the causal timeline.

Flow Hijacked treats substances as potent state-transition technologies: they can make one route—sedation, stimulation, dissociation, relief—very easy to enter while making many other routes less reachable over time. The **Multimodal Control Matrix** then asks which interventions act on which loops, at what speed, with which risks. Withdrawal management, addiction medication, antidepressant or mood-stabilizing care, sleep treatment, psychotherapy, practical support, and relationship repair may need coordination rather than serial postponement. Treating depression while ignoring dangerous use leaves one control loop intact; insisting that all mood care wait for perfect abstinence can leave another intact (SAMHSA, 2020).

2.5 Sleep, endocrine, neurologic, inflammatory, pain, and reproductive contexts

The body does not sit beneath depression as a platform on which the “real” mental disorder occurs. Bodily states can contribute to depressive symptoms, be altered by depression, affect treatment tolerability, and change the route into care. Fatigue, cognitive slowing, low libido, appetite change, sleep disruption, pain, and reduced activity are not specific. A good assessment asks what else is happening without implying that symptoms are imaginary if standard tests are normal.

Sleep deserves early attention because it is both symptom and regulator. Insomnia, hypersomnia, circadian delay, fragmented sleep, nightmares, sleep apnea, restless legs, caregiving interruption, shift work, and substance-related sleep disruption can produce different patterns. Several nights of reduced need for sleep may suggest activation; exhaustion with inability to sleep can intensify suicidal distress; marked daytime sleepiness and snoring may indicate sleep-disordered breathing. Treating sleep can improve the field, but a sleep intervention must be matched to bipolar risk, trauma, medical conditions, and the person’s actual environment.

Endocrine and hematologic contributors include thyroid disease and anemia, among others. Neurologic illness, infection, nutritional deficiency in relevant contexts, reproductive transitions, and medication effects may also matter. Perinatal depression requires attention to pregnancy, postpartum physiology, infant and parental safety, feeding decisions, sleep, support, and the risks of both treated and untreated illness. Menopausal transition, menstrual-cycle related symptoms, and other hormonal contexts may alter course for some people without providing a universal explanation.

Inflammation is a scientifically important and frequently overextended idea. Group studies support immune and metabolic alterations in subsets of depression, particularly in some people with fatigue, appetite or weight change, and medical comorbidity. The evidence does not justify telling every depressed person that inflammation is the cause or selling unvalidated panels and supplements as precision care. Common inflammatory markers are nonspecific; obesity, infection, sleep, smoking, medication, socioeconomic adversity, and chronic disease complicate interpretation (Miller & Raison, 2016; Slavich & Irwin, 2014). The scientific promise lies in better phenotyping and treatment-stratification studies, not a new single-cause slogan.

Pain and depression form a particularly consequential reciprocal burden. Pain can restrict movement, sleep, work, concentration, and reward; depression can intensify pain-related disability, threat appraisal, withdrawal, and the difficulty of accessing rehabilitation. Some treatments affect both, but every option carries individual risks and contraindications. Care may require pacing, physical rehabilitation, medical treatment, psychotherapy, sleep work, medication review, and accommodation rather than an instruction to push through.

Testing should be clinically guided. Indiscriminate panels generate cost, incidental findings, and false reassurance or alarm. History, examination, age, symptoms, medication, risk factors, and local guidelines should determine investigation. New neurological signs, severe or unusual headache, delirium, substantial weight change, marked sleepiness, fever, endocrine symptoms, cognitive change, or an atypical course may change urgency. The principle is neither “test everything” nor “it is all depression.” It is coordinated curiosity.

Diagnostic overshadowing is a danger in both directions. A physical symptom should not be dismissed because the person has a psychiatric diagnosis. An established medical illness should not make clinicians assume that severe hopelessness or suicidal thinking is merely understandable and therefore untreatable. The whole person deserves both medical and psychiatric seriousness.

2.6 Suicidality, safeguarding, crisis planning, and escalation

Suicidal thoughts can range from wishing not to wake, through recurrent ideation, to intent, planning, preparation, and recent action. These experiences require direct, calm inquiry. Available systematic-review evidence has not found that asking about suicide increases suicidal thoughts or behaviours, self-harm, or psychological distress; it also does not imply that asking alone makes a person safe (Polihronis et al., 2022). Assessment should explore current thoughts, intensity and change, intent, plan, access to lethal means, recent behavior, prior attempts, agitation, intoxication or withdrawal, psychosis, reasons for living, available support, and whether the person can use a plan. It should also ask what the thoughts accomplish—escape, communication, self-punishment, relief, or a sense of control—without assuming that function predicts safety.

No scale can forecast an individual suicide with certainty. Risk factors inform vigilance at a population level; many people with risk factors will not die by suicide, and some deaths occur among those classified as lower risk. The ethical response is not nihilism. It is to combine direct assessment, clinical judgment, collaborative planning, restriction of access to lethal means where possible, active follow-up, and rapid escalation when danger is high or changing (WHO, 2026; NICE, 2022).

The Safety Planning Intervention is a brief, collaborative structure that identifies warning signs; internal strategies; people and places that can reduce danger; individuals who can help directly; professional and emergency contacts; and means-safety steps. In an emergency-department cohort comparison, safety planning with follow-up was associated with fewer suicidal behaviors and greater treatment engagement over six months (Stanley et al., 2018). This evidence is encouraging but not magical: the study design does not prove universal prevention, and a plan is only as useful as its accessibility, specificity, and connection to real services.

A safety plan is not a “no-suicide contract.” A promise transfers responsibility onto a person whose future model and impulse control may change under acute distress. A plan distributes responsibility across the person, supporters, clinicians, and services. It should be written in plain language, available when cognition narrows, rehearsed before crisis, and revised after use. Loved ones need clear boundaries and instructions; they should not become the sole surveillance system.

Immediate or rapidly escalating danger, a recent attempt, inability to maintain safety, severe intoxication or withdrawal, psychosis, catatonia, inability to eat or drink, or dangerous self-neglect warrants urgent local emergency or crisis assessment. The exact pathway differs by country, but a referral into silence is not a handoff. The sending clinician or service should know who is receiving responsibility, how transport occurs, and when follow-up will happen.

Safeguarding extends beyond suicide. Domestic and sexual violence, exploitation, child or dependent safety, access to weapons, homelessness, neglect, and coercive control may require specific action under local law and professional duty. Questions should be asked privately where possible, with transparency about confidentiality limits. Safety planning that ignores the source of danger can place the person at greater risk.

Within Flow Hijacked, crisis can be understood as extreme narrowing of reachable alternatives combined with dangerous proximity to one route. This language can help explain why shortening the horizon and lending structure are useful. It must never aestheticize risk. The **Possibility Compression Cascade** is not a suicide assessment. The **Reciprocal Reachability Field** is not a triage instrument. Established emergency practice, direct human judgment, and reliable services remain primary.

The chapter's central discipline can now be stated. Explanation follows safety, and synthesis follows differentiation. The most elegant model is clinically worthless if it delays recognition of a state that requires a different path.

CORE SENTENCE

When depression threatens life, bodily integrity, judgment, or basic care, compassion becomes concrete: ask directly, differentiate carefully, reduce danger, and create a handoff that another human being actually receives.

CHAPTER 3

Developmental Trajectories

A history can shape the landscape without writing the ending.

3.1 Development is a trajectory, not a retrospective verdict

Depression has a history even when it appears sudden. The history may include genetic liability, temperament, early caregiving, illness, sleep, family stress, social position, trauma, puberty, repeated losses, substances, and prior episodes. It may also include protection: a reliable adult, a school that noticed, a community, a capacity for play, material stability, treatment, or a relationship in which distress could be repaired. Development is not the accumulation of harms alone. It is the evolving balance of exposure, sensitivity, interpretation, adaptation, and opportunity.

The developmental perspective is vulnerable to two opposite errors. The first is amnesia: treating the current episode as if it began on the day diagnostic criteria were met. The second is retrospective determinism: searching childhood for the event that made the present inevitable. Neither is scientifically defensible. Similar early experiences can lead to different outcomes, and similar depressive states can emerge through different pathways. Associations change across measurement methods, populations, ages, and contexts. Protective experiences and later interventions can alter trajectories.

A trajectory is not a story imposed after the fact. It is a sequence of states and transitions that should, where possible, be reconstructed from multiple sources: the person's account, developmental and medical history, school or occupational patterns, family observations with consent, previous records, and the timing of stressors and treatments. Recall is meaningful but state dependent. Depression can make negative memories more available and positive periods less credible; family narratives can also minimize or exaggerate. Uncertainty should remain visible.

Longitudinal data demonstrate why trajectories matter. Depressive symptoms in adolescence substantially increase the probability of symptoms in early adulthood, while many young people improve and others develop difficulties later. In a large US panel spanning multiple birth cohorts, Keyes and colleagues found that elevated symptoms at age eighteen strongly predicted elevated symptoms at ages nineteen to twenty-two; more recent cohorts also carried a higher measured burden (Keyes et al., 2024). The study does not establish a universal developmental law: it used symptom thresholds in a particular national cohort, attrition matters, and historical change in reporting and exposure complicates interpretation. It does show that adolescent distress should neither be normalized away nor converted into destiny.

Flow Hijacked treats development as slow landscape formation. Repeated experiences can alter which states are easy to enter, which cues are granted high salience, which actions are expected to work, and which identities become available. Yet the landscape remains active. New learning, bodily development, social change, and treatment can deepen, flatten, or connect regions. The scientifically appropriate word is *plasticity*, not inevitability.

3.2 Predictability, attachment, stress calibration, and learning

Young organisms learn not only what happens, but how reliably the world behaves. Does distress bring a response? Do routines hold? Are signals coherent? Can a caregiver be both needed and trusted? Does a change in voice predict danger, comfort, or nothing stable? Development occurs through these distributions of events, not only through isolated dramatic episodes.

Davis and Glynn have argued that early environmental unpredictability may be a distinctive dimension of adversity that calibrates neurodevelopment and mental-health trajectories (Davis & Glynn, 2024). The proposal integrates human and animal evidence and is broader than depression. It should not be translated into a simple clinical equation in which irregular routines cause a later disorder. Poverty, caregiver illness, violence, displacement, and unstable work can generate unpredictability; genetic and temperamental differences may influence both exposure and response; measures of unpredictability vary. The useful insight is that pattern and timing may matter in addition to event count.

Attachment theory supplies a relational lens. In ordinary development, a caregiver can function as a base from which exploration is possible and a refuge to which distress can return. When care is sufficiently responsive and repair occurs, the child learns that need can be signaled without necessarily producing abandonment or humiliation. When responses are chronically unavailable, frightening, inconsistent, or role-reversing, different strategies may become useful: amplify distress to preserve proximity, suppress need to avoid rejection, monitor the caregiver rather than explore, or shift rapidly between approach and defense (Bowlby, 1969/1982; Ainsworth et al., 1978).

These patterns are probabilistic adaptations, not permanent personality types. Attachment classifications depend on context and method; caregivers act inside their own histories and material constraints; later relationships can modify expectations. The framework becomes harmful when it turns into parental blame, a universal explanation, or a fixed identity. Its clinical value lies in asking what the person learned about dependence, distance, repair, and the cost of being seen.

Social Baseline Theory proposes that the human nervous system ordinarily expects access to others who distribute risk and effort (Coan & Sbarra, 2015). From this view, secure connection does not add a luxury to an otherwise independent organism. It changes the energetic and predictive burden of action. A child—or adult—who expects no reliable help must model and carry more of the threat field alone. This idea complements, but does not prove, the Flow Hijacked claim that relationships alter the Navigability Margin.

Stress systems are also calibrated across development. Chronic or uncontrollable stress can affect sleep, autonomic regulation, endocrine signaling, immune activity, threat learning, and reward. These processes are not one-way pipelines from adversity to depression. They interact with stage of development, sex-related biology, culture, genetic liability, current conditions, and subsequent care. The same physiological response can be protective in one context and costly when sustained. Allostasis names the organism's anticipatory regulation of demand; allostatic load refers to costs that accumulate when regulation is repeatedly or chronically taxed (McEwen, 1998; Danese & McEwen, 2012).

The **Adaptive Compression Engine** provides a careful synthesis. In an unpredictable or dangerous environment, narrowing exploration, monitoring threat, minimizing visible need, or favoring immediate certainty may reduce exposure. Later, in a safer context, the same organization may restrict intimacy, learning, and future-oriented action. Treatment should not attack the strategy as irrational. It should establish enough safety and predictability for a broader strategy to be tested.

3.3 Childhood maltreatment and later depression burden

Childhood maltreatment—physical, sexual, or emotional abuse, neglect, and exposure to severe relational threat—is associated with increased risk of depression and many other adverse outcomes. Meta-analytic

evidence also links maltreatment histories with a more persistent or recurrent depressive course and, on average, poorer treatment outcomes (Nanni et al., 2012). Recent population-attributable analyses estimate a substantial mental-health and suicide burden associated with childhood maltreatment (Grummitt et al., 2024). These findings strengthen the case for prevention and trauma-informed care. They do not permit retrospective certainty about any one person.

Several limitations matter. Much evidence relies on retrospective report; prospective records miss unreported experiences and often identify different populations. Maltreatment co-occurs with poverty, caregiver mental illness, violence, placement disruption, and genetic or prenatal factors. Population-attributable fractions depend on assumptions about causality, exposure prevalence, and whether removal of an exposure would truly remove its downstream effects. A statistical association cannot assign individual causation, and not everyone with depression has a maltreatment history.

Trauma-informed assessment begins with consent, safety, and relevance, not forced disclosure. The person should not have to provide a detailed trauma narrative to prove legitimacy or access ordinary depression care. Asking whether past or current experiences affect safety, sleep, bodily reactions, trust, shame, dissociation, or treatment procedures may be sufficient at first. A clinician also needs to know when trauma-focused treatment is indicated and when stabilization, housing, addiction care, medical work, or a different therapy should come first.

Maltreatment can influence several pathways at once. It may increase threat sensitivity, shame, social withdrawal, sleep disruption, inflammatory burden, dissociation, and reliance on immediate relief. It may alter the availability of support and expose the person to continuing disadvantage. These processes can then interact, but none is universal. The right formulation is specific: what was learned, what remains dangerous, what cues trigger the current state, which capacities survived, and what conditions are necessary for new learning?

This specificity protects against **Identity Entrapment**. A person with a trauma history may come to experience the self as damaged, contaminated, permanently unsafe, or fundamentally unworthy. Clinical language can deepen the trap when it presents developmental effects as irreversible brain damage or treats survival adaptations as character. A history explains vulnerability; it does not define essence. The person who developed under threat also developed perception, endurance, improvisation, loyalty, humor, or other capacities that may not be visible during depression. Strengths should not be romanticized or used to deny injury, but neither should injury monopolize identity.

Prevention is the most important implication. Reducing violence, supporting caregivers, stabilizing housing and income, providing accessible perinatal and child mental-health care, responding to abuse, and creating safe schools are depression interventions even when they occur far from a psychiatric clinic. The most sophisticated treatment of developmental depression begins before the disorder appears.

3.4 Adolescence, puberty, sex/gender, and cohort effects

Adolescence brings rapid change in body, sleep timing, social evaluation, autonomy, identity, reward, and cognitive control. Peer belonging gains intensity; school and digital environments expand the number of comparisons; the future becomes more consequential while remaining structurally dependent on family and institutions. Depression often first emerges during this period, and average rates diverge by sex after puberty, with girls and young women reporting higher prevalence in many studies. The explanation cannot be reduced to hormones or to a single social cause. Pubertal biology, gendered exposure, violence, discrimination, body image, caregiving expectations, help-seeking, and measurement all interact (Thapar et al., 2022).

Sex and gender require separate but connected attention. Sex-related biology can include chromosomes, gonadal hormones, reproductive events, and physiology. Gender includes identity, roles, expectations, exposure, and power. Research instruments often record only a binary sex variable and then make claims about gender; samples of transgender and gender-diverse youth are often too small or poorly characterized. Elevated distress in marginalized groups should not be attributed to identity itself when discrimination, rejection, violence, and barriers to care are active.

Puberty also changes sleep. Circadian timing shifts later while school schedules often require early waking. Chronic sleep restriction can impair emotion regulation, attention, and reward, and can intensify existing

vulnerability. Digital media can displace sleep, but the device is not the entire environment: online spaces may provide belonging and identity safety unavailable offline. The relevant question is not simply how many hours are spent on a screen, but what occurs there, for whom, at what time, and what it replaces.

Cohort trends should be interpreted with similar care. Several countries have reported increases in adolescent depressive symptoms, self-harm, or service use over recent years, with variation by measure and subgroup. Changes may reflect real exposure shifts, greater willingness to report, diagnostic practice, service access, economic insecurity, academic pressure, pandemic effects, discrimination, digital life, or interactions among them. Data from the United States or another high-income country should not be exported as a global developmental narrative. The ethical response to uncertainty is better measurement and prevention, not dismissal.

Youth assessment must preserve developmentally appropriate autonomy while involving caregivers when safe and necessary. Confidentiality, safeguarding, family context, school function, bullying, identity, sleep, substance use, neurodevelopmental differences, and bipolar activation all matter. Treatment should not make the young person the only change target when the timetable, home, peer group, or institution is generating the load.

3.5 Shared genetic architecture and environmental modulation

Depression is heritable in the population-statistical sense, but genes do not encode a prewritten episode. Twin and genomic studies indicate distributed liability across many variants of very small effect, overlapping partly with other psychiatric and behavioral traits. Polygenic scores summarize measured common-variant associations; they do not diagnose depression, explain a person's life, or perform equally across ancestry groups.

Recent longitudinal genetic work illustrates both promise and limitation. In two population cohorts, Grimes and colleagues identified low, persistent, increasing, and decreasing adolescent depression trajectories, and found that multitrait polygenic scores modestly strengthened associations with persistent patterns (Grimes et al., 2024). The replication across cohorts is valuable. Yet prediction remains far from individual clinical readiness, and ancestry composition constrains generalizability. A modest statistical increment should not be narrated as a genetic destiny.

Genes and environments are not independent boxes. Genetic differences can influence sensitivity, temperament, sleep, cognition, and the environments people encounter or evoke. Environments affect gene expression and development without rewriting every biological constraint. Gene–environment correlation complicates causal inference; gene–environment interaction is often difficult to replicate and sensitive to measurement and scale. Epigenetic findings can illuminate biological embedding but are especially vulnerable to tissue, timing, cell composition, exposure, and reverse-causation problems.

The clinically useful conclusion is neither “it is genetic” nor “it is environmental.” It is that liability is distributed and conditional. Family history can inform vigilance and treatment planning. It should not be used to tell someone that recurrence is inevitable or recovery biologically implausible. Conversely, the absence of family history does not make a severe episode less real.

Flow Hijacked places genetic liability among slow parameters that may shape gain, learning, circadian regulation, stress sensitivity, or the cost of particular transitions. This is a conceptual placement, not a known mapping from variants to the Possibility Compression Cascade. The cascade becomes scientific only if it specifies which components can be measured and how the proposed sequence differs from simpler models.

3.6 Prevention, sensitive periods, and reversible pathways

Sensitive periods are intervals in which particular systems are especially responsive to experience. They do not imply that change becomes impossible afterward. Development continues through adulthood, and the very capacities that allow harmful learning—plasticity, prediction, habit, social calibration—also permit revision. The speed and conditions of revision differ; some effects are durable and require sustained support. Hope is not the claim that every injury is easily reversible. It is the evidence-based refusal to equate durability with finality.

Prevention operates at several scales. Universal prevention can improve material security, reduce violence and discrimination, support parents, protect sleep, and create safer educational environments. Selected prevention can focus on groups exposed to known risks. Indicated prevention can respond to emerging symptoms before they consolidate into severe impairment. Clinical relapse prevention can identify personal warning patterns, protect routines and relationships, and maintain treatments that have proved helpful.

The **Possibility Compression Cascade** offers a developmental hypothesis across these scales. Distributed loading may accumulate in body, brain, cognition, identity, relationships, material conditions, and future expectation. Under some configurations, small perturbations may be amplified; thresholds may be crossed; repeated states may reshape learning; and reduced reach may recruit further adversity. The model predicts equifinality—different paths to a similar compressed state—and multifinality—the same exposure leading to different outcomes. It also predicts that intervention can occur before mood is the most visible symptom: by stabilizing sleep, increasing predictability, interrupting violence, making care reachable, or preserving one relationship through which future information still arrives.

These are hypotheses to be tested, not retrospective stories fitted to every life. A valid developmental model must explain nonoccurrence as well as occurrence: why many exposed people do not become depressed, why some recover without formal treatment, why an intervention helps one trajectory and not another, and where the proposed sequence fails. It must also permit emergence without a narratively satisfying origin.

Developmental understanding becomes compassionate when it returns options rather than delivering a verdict. The past may explain why a route became costly. The present determines whether the route is still dangerous. Treatment creates conditions in which a different transition can be attempted and retained. Biography matters because it changes the design of care—not because it owns the future.

CORE SENTENCE

Development supplies probabilities, sensitivities, and learned routes; it never grants the past exclusive authority over what the person can become.

CLOSING ORIENTATION

Development without destiny

Carry three questions forward. Which sensitivities were learned, and under what conditions were they once adaptive? Which protections, relationships, and opportunities interrupted the trajectory rather than merely reducing a score? What remains plastic now? Development supplies probabilities, timing, and constraints; it never grants the past exclusive authority over the person's future. A developmental formulation is clinically useful only when it changes present care—by reducing shame, locating missing protection, and identifying a route that can still be strengthened.

CHAPTER 4

The Social and Material Ecology of Depression

The world is not background to the disorder. It enters the cost of every move.

4.1 Social determinants inside the causal model

Psychiatry often begins with a person seated in a room, as if the room marked the boundary of the clinical system. Outside it may be an eviction notice, an unsafe partner, night work, unpaid caregiving, food insecurity, discrimination, a hostile school, an inaccessible clinic, or a welfare process designed around executive capacities that depression has already impaired. If these conditions appear only under “social history,” the formulation has placed causes and constraints in an appendix.

The social determinants of mental health include the conditions in which people are born, grow, learn, work, age, relate, migrate, and receive care, along with the distribution of power and resources that shapes those conditions. Contemporary reviews link mental-health inequalities with poverty, violence, discrimination, housing, education, employment, environmental conditions, and exclusion across the life course (Kirkbride et al., 2024; Lund et al., 2018). The evidential strength differs by determinant and study design. Some associations are plausibly causal and supported by natural experiments or intervention studies; others remain affected by selection, confounding, reverse causation, and measurement.

Reverse causation is real and should not be weaponized. Depression can reduce earnings, interrupt education, strain relationships, and increase housing instability. Those consequences can then deepen depression. The direction may be reciprocal rather than ambiguous in a way that excuses inaction. Ridley and colleagues synthesized evidence supporting causal effects in both directions between poverty and depression or anxiety: economic conditions can increase mental distress, and mental ill health can worsen economic outcomes (Ridley et al., 2020). The mechanisms vary across settings, and an average effect does not reveal which intervention will help a particular household.

Flow Hijacked calls the relational and institutional field the **Social State-Space**. It includes the configurations a person can occupy with others and within systems: trust, dependence, autonomy, belonging, visibility, conflict, repair, recognition, humiliation, safety, exclusion, citizenship, and access. The accessible subset can be narrow even when people are physically present. Someone may have family but no relationship in which vulnerability is safe. They may technically possess legal rights but lack the energy, documentation, language, or representation needed to exercise them.

This is not merely a metaphor for subjective experience. Social conditions alter exposure to stress, sleep, nutrition, infection, pollution, violence, treatment, and opportunity. They also change the objective transition structure. A person with savings can leave a dangerous job; another cannot. A person with paid leave can tolerate early treatment side effects; another risks dismissal. A person with stable housing can store medication and sleep at predictable times; another cannot. “Choice” is produced partly by these boundary conditions.

A systems formulation should therefore distinguish at least three kinds of barrier. A *subjective barrier* is predicted or experienced as impassable even when a route may exist. An *objective barrier* is materially closed or dangerous. A *coupled barrier* becomes objective and subjective through interaction: repeated rejection makes future help-seeking less credible; administrative failure confirms hopelessness; depression reduces the action needed to appeal; the unappealed decision deepens poverty. Cognitive and structural work may both be required. Treating one while denying the other is incomplete.

The causal model must also preserve scale. A clinician cannot personally repair housing markets or discrimination, but that limitation does not make the variables clinically irrelevant. The task is to identify which conditions require advocacy, referral, documentation, safeguarding, accommodation, or policy—not to translate them all into coping. Population prevention and individual treatment are complementary responsibilities.

4.2 Loneliness, rejection, shame, and social pain

Loneliness is not the same as being alone. It is the painful discrepancy between the connection a person needs and the connection they perceive as available, safe, or meaningful. Solitude can be chosen and restorative; loneliness can persist in a crowded household. Depression and loneliness are reciprocally associated: withdrawal, fatigue, negative expectancy, and reduced expressiveness can make connection harder, while disconnection reduces support, co-regulation, activity, and corrective feedback.

The loop is easy to moralize from either side. Others may experience withdrawal as indifference and press more intensely for a response. The depressed person may experience the pressure as evidence of failure, demand, or impending abandonment and retreat further. Both parties can be reaching while neither feels reached. The solution is not unlimited availability or the abolition of boundaries. It is a more accurate description of capacity, a lower-friction form of contact, predictable expectations, and permission to repair missed contact without turning it into a verdict.

Social Baseline Theory proposes that access to trusted others ordinarily reduces perceived risk and the energetic cost of action (Coan & Sbarra, 2015). Experimental work showing reduced neural responses to threat during supportive hand-holding is evocative, but it should not be generalized into a universal prescription or used to imply that unpartnered people are dysregulated by definition (Coan et al., 2006). Relationship quality, history, culture, consent, and safety determine whether proximity is regulatory or threatening.

Rejection can act as a whole-system perturbation. It changes attention, posture, autonomic state, sleep, memory, self-appraisal, and future expectation. Neuroscience has reported overlapping involvement of regions implicated in social and physical pain, but “rejection is the same as physical pain” overstates both the anatomy and the subjective diversity (Eisenberger, 2012). The clinically sound claim is that social injury can be embodied and can recruit systems with consequences far beyond an idea about the relationship.

Shame is especially compressive because it concerns the self before an actual or imagined audience. Guilt can focus on an act that may be acknowledged and repaired. Shame more readily becomes global: *I am the kind of being whose visibility ends in contempt*. It narrows speech, need, sexuality, play, disagreement, creativity, and help-seeking. Depression can deepen shame, and shame can hide depression. The person may cancel an appointment because being seen in failure feels more dangerous than remaining unseen in pain.

Flow Hijacked describes a **Relational Vector Field**: the pattern of pulls, resistances, and permitted directions generated in interaction. A relationship can make disclosure easier, conflict survivable, and rest legitimate; it can also pull toward vigilance, compliance, disappearance, or attack. This concept is a synthesis, not a measured interpersonal force. It becomes useful when translated into observable questions. What happens before contact? Which signals change the body? Can a rupture be named? Does a boundary produce negotiation or relational catastrophe? After the interaction, is the person more able to act or less?

Mentalization—the capacity to understand behavior in terms of uncertain mental states—can preserve alternatives in this field. A delayed reply need not immediately become rejection; a boundary need not become hatred. Under high arousal or depression, this capacity may narrow. Therapy can help reopen interpretation, but it must not gaslight accurate perception. Sometimes the other person is rejecting, exploitative, or unsafe. Flexibility means comparing hypotheses with evidence, not replacing every painful conclusion with a benign one.

Social connection is therefore not a dose administered uniformly. A larger network can be hostile, intrusive, or exhausting; one reliable relationship may be more protective. Interventions should seek attunement, predictability, nonhumiliation, mutuality, and repair rather than maximizing contact counts. For some people, distance is an essential safety intervention. For others, supported reconnection is the first route by which life becomes reachable.

4.3 Work, scarcity, debt, housing, and administrative burden

Work can provide income, structure, competence, identity, social contact, and a future. It can also provide insecurity, humiliation, surveillance, injury, discrimination, moral conflict, and demands incompatible with health. Employment status alone therefore tells little about its mental-health effect. Job quality, control, predictability, pay, hours, safety, relationships, and the possibility of accommodation matter.

Depression changes the economics of effort. Concentration takes longer; decisions consume more; sleep loss magnifies demand; ordinary feedback fails to register. A precarious worker may hide symptoms because one missed shift threatens income. A professional may maintain output through fear and collapse outside work. A caregiver may perform extensive labor that appears as unemployment in administrative data. The formulation should ask not only whether the person works, but what the work requires, what it protects, what it costs, and whether the current arrangement is survivable.

Scarcity also captures attention. When money, food, housing, energy, or time is insufficient, urgent demands consume cognitive bandwidth and shorten planning horizons. This does not mean poverty causes a universal cognitive deficit; it means that managing scarcity is work. Late fees, unstable transport, changing eligibility rules, and repeated documentation can convert a small shortfall into a chain of penalties. Depression then makes the administrative sequence harder, and failure of the sequence creates further material threat.

Debt carries both objective and social force. It can threaten shelter and access to essentials while generating shame, secrecy, and avoidance. Advice to “open every letter” may be correct and unreachable. A practical intervention might involve sitting with the person, sorting one category, contacting a debt service, obtaining a benefits review, or pausing nonurgent clinical demands while an eviction risk is addressed. Such work is not outside mental health. It changes the threat field in which mental health must function.

Housing has similar causal depth. Poor-quality, overcrowded, insecure, or unsafe housing affects sleep, privacy, infection, temperature, exposure to violence, medication storage, and the ability to recover. Homeless-

ness makes standard treatment assumptions—regular meals, refrigeration, phone access, attendance, quiet, transport—fragile. A prescription can be pharmacologically sound and practically impossible.

The **Reciprocal Reachability Field** reveals the institutional side of these problems. Can the person reach a service, and can the service reach the person in a usable form? A clinic may send a letter to an unstable address, close a case after missed calls, or require online forms from someone without data or executive capacity. The service exists, yet its signal never enters the person's accessible field. Conversely, a community worker, benefits adviser, peer navigator, flexible appointment, transport voucher, or proactive call can make the same formal service dynamically real.

Material interventions do not replace depression treatment. Cash assistance, housing support, legal protection, and employment accommodation will not address every episode or all biological vulnerability. Their mental-health effects vary with design and context. The point is that a treatment plan that ignores severe material threat may fail for reasons misclassified as psychological resistance.

4.4 Culture, migration, discrimination, gender, and power

Depression occurs across cultures, but it is not narrated, recognized, or treated in the same way everywhere. Distress may be expressed through sadness, fatigue, pain, pressure, heat, weakness, spiritual disconnection, interpersonal disharmony, or a sense that the heart or nerves have been affected. Somatic expression is not a primitive disguise for a Western psychological truth. Bodily and social idioms may be the most accurate available language in a particular world.

Clinical interpretation requires cultural humility: awareness that the practitioner's framework is also culturally situated, willingness to ask what an experience means locally, and caution about turning unfamiliar beliefs into pathology. At the same time, humility does not require abandoning assessment of psychosis, mania, risk, or medical illness. The question is how to distinguish a culturally intelligible belief or ritual from a state marked by impaired reality testing, danger, or functional collapse without assuming that one's own norms are neutral.

Migration can contain opportunity, safety, grief, status loss, language burden, family separation, discrimination, and the task of living across incompatible expectations. Refugees and forcibly displaced people may face trauma before departure, danger during transit, and prolonged uncertainty or exclusion after arrival. An individualizing formulation can ask the person to regulate a nervous system while asylum, housing, work permission, family reunion, and safety remain unresolved. Treatment may still help, but it should not misname uncertainty as an internal error.

Discrimination operates through events and anticipation. Racism, antisemitism, Islamophobia, caste oppression, ableism, homophobia, transphobia, and other forms of exclusion can affect exposure to violence, employment, housing, healthcare, and belonging. The person may monitor the environment because the environment has repeatedly proved consequential. Hypervigilance can become costly without being irrational at its origin. Minority-stress models emphasize the additive burden of external prejudice, expected rejection, concealment, and internalized stigma, alongside community resilience and identity affirmation (Meyer, 2003).

Gender and power shape both risk and recognition. Women and gender minorities may face disproportionate sexual violence, unpaid care, economic dependence, and reproductive burdens; men may be constrained by norms that punish vulnerability and channel distress into substance use, anger, overwork, or silence. These are patterned exposures, not descriptions of every person. Binary generalizations can erase transgender, nonbinary, and intersex experience and obscure variation within groups.

Power also enters the treatment relationship. The clinician can define reality, grant or withhold access, document character, and influence legal or occupational outcomes. Trauma-informed care therefore concerns transparency, choice, collaboration, and the avoidance of humiliation, not only asking about trauma. Shared decision-making is meaningful only when the person receives understandable information and saying no does not silently end care.

Flow Hijacked's social formulation must not become a new universal vocabulary imposed on cultural difference. *State-space*, *reach*, and *compression* are tools. The person's own language remains primary. The framework should be translated into the life; the life should not be translated away into the framework.

4.5 Digital worlds, comparison, interruption, and sleep displacement

Digital environments now organize attention, work, intimacy, status, news, entertainment, and access to care. They can widen state-space: a geographically isolated person can find community; a stigmatized identity can become speakable; treatment and peer support can cross distance; practical information can arrive at the right moment. The same systems can intensify comparison, harassment, body shame, outrage, gambling, compulsive reassurance, surveillance, and sleep disruption.

Research on digital technology and mental health repeatedly warns against simple exposure equations. Average associations between time spent using digital technology and adolescent well-being are often small and heterogeneous; directionality is difficult to establish; self-reported screen time is imprecise; and the same platform can have different effects depending on content, timing, vulnerability, and social context (Odgers & Jensen, 2020; Orben, 2020). Heavy use may contribute to distress, distress may drive use, and both may reflect a third condition.

For depression, process is more informative than minutes alone. Does use connect the person to support or substitute for it? Does it create active communication or passive comparison? Does an algorithm repeatedly deliver self-harm, idealized bodies, political threat, or substance cues? Does nighttime use delay sleep? Does checking provide brief relief from uncertainty while strengthening the urge to check again? Does a telehealth service lower access barriers while weakening continuity or privacy?

Digital platforms are high-gain social fields. A small cue can be multiplied through visibility, permanence, and rapid feedback. An unanswered message can become a repeated stimulus; a humiliating post can reach an audience; engagement algorithms can privilege emotionally activating material. Yet “digital detox” is not a universal remedy. For someone whose principal community is online, abrupt removal may deepen isolation. Intervention should identify the function and redesign the environment: notification boundaries, sleep protection, content controls, scheduled connection, moving a conflict out of text, or replacing an exploitative space with a safer one.

Measurement should also respect privacy. Smartphones and wearables can detect patterns in sleep, mobility, communication, or activity, but passive data do not reveal meaning on their own. Reduced mobility could reflect depression, disability, safe rest, caregiving, weather, or loss of transport. Monitoring can support collaborative care only with meaningful consent, data minimization, security, and a response pathway. A system that detects deterioration but sends no human help has increased observation without increasing reach.

4.6 Social prescriptions, rights, resources, and reliable handoffs

The phrase *social prescribing* covers referral or linkage to community groups, exercise, arts, volunteering, welfare advice, nature, peer support, and other nonmedical resources. These routes may increase connection, routine, meaning, and activity. The evidence base is developing and heterogeneous; programs differ, evaluations often lack strong controls, and uptake depends on accessibility. A referral to an unaffordable, unsafe, culturally alien, or energy-intensive activity is not a social intervention merely because it is printed on a plan.

Rights and resources are more fundamental. Safe housing, freedom from violence, income, food, health-care, education, accommodation, legal status, and nondiscrimination determine whether many therapeutic actions are possible. Mental-health services should build working relationships with primary care, addiction services, social workers, housing and benefits advisers, schools, employers, peer organizations, and domestic-violence services. Integration is not a directory. It is a protocol for warm handoff, shared responsibility, consent, and follow-up.

A reliable handoff has several properties. The receiving service is identified; eligibility and cost are known; the person understands what will happen; information is transferred with consent; barriers such as language, transport, childcare, and digital access are considered; someone checks whether contact occurred; and failure returns to a responsible human rather than closing the pathway. These details may look administrative. In a compressed system they are treatment mechanisms.

The social field can also be altered within ordinary relationships. Loved ones can offer specific contact—“I can bring food at six” or “I can sit with you while you make the call”—instead of open-ended demands to ask for help. They can preserve boundaries, refuse abuse, and avoid becoming the sole care system. Employers

and schools can reduce unnecessary transition costs through predictable schedules, temporary workload changes, quiet space, leave, or staged return. Communities can create roles in which the person is needed without requiring a performance of wellness.

None of this removes the need for evidence-based psychotherapy, medication, medical care, or neuromodulation when indicated. It changes the conditions under which those interventions can work. A medication cannot repair an eviction; housing alone may not remit psychotic depression. A cognitive intervention can test a hopeless prediction; it cannot make a discriminatory institution fair. Multimodal care is not a maximal list of services. It is a coordinated selection of levers at the scales maintaining the present state.

The social ecology also changes how recovery is recognized. Improvement may begin as a bill opened, a meal delivered, a boundary made credible, one reply received without humiliation, or an appointment that the service helps the person attend. Mood may lag. These events matter because they alter the evidence available to the system: action can have consequence; another person may respond; a route can remain open.

This is the practical meaning of reciprocal reach. Recovery is not solely the movement of a person toward life. It is also the movement of life—care, resources, protection, recognition, and opportunity—toward the person in forms that can be received.

The next chapter turns inward to cognition, identity, meaning, and the future. But “inward” no longer means isolated. Every belief has a learning history; every self-model has been addressed by other people; every imagined future includes material and relational probabilities. The mind we are about to examine is already a world-shaped mind.

CORE SENTENCE

Depression cannot be treated humanely if the world that constrains the person is renamed as a problem inside the person; recovery widens when both the person and the field acquire new routes.

These four chapters move inward without pretending that “inside” is separate from the world. Thought is shaped by history and present evidence. Bodily regulation changes the cost of thinking and acting. Neural systems participate in both, but a coloured brain image is not a portrait of a person’s motives, character, or future. The purpose of moving across these levels is therefore not to identify the one level that is finally real. It is to discover where a trapped system may still be moved, and to do so without taking dignity away from the person who is living inside it.

PART II

Mind, Body, and Brain without Reduction

*Meaning is embodied; biology is lived; no
region or explanation owns the person.*

CHAPTER 5

Identity, Cognition, Meaning, and the Future

Depression does not merely add sad thoughts to an otherwise unchanged mind. It can alter which evidence is selected, how long it remains active, what it is taken to mean, how much confidence is assigned to it, and whether any alternative can be generated quickly enough to compete. It may become easier to retrieve failure than care, easier to imagine humiliation than repair, and easier to infer a permanent identity from a temporary state. None of this means that the person has lost intelligence or that every negative conclusion is false. Often the mind is reasoning with genuine pain, scarce energy, repeated disappointment, and a world that has not kept its promises.

That distinction is the ethical beginning of cognitive work. A formulation should never force a choice between “the thought is distorted” and “the situation is difficult.” Both may be true, either may be true, and each requires a different response. Debt is not a cognitive distortion. Bereavement is not an error in information processing. Discrimination cannot be reappraised out of existence. Yet an accurate observation can acquire an inaccurate scope: a job may truly be unsafe without proving that every workplace will be unsafe; a relationship may have ended without proving that love was fraudulent; an episode may have returned without proving that recovery never happened. Therapy becomes useful when it distinguishes truth from totality, probability from certainty, and description from identity.

5.1 Negative automatic thoughts and core beliefs

The cognitive model associated with Aaron Beck begins from a clinically durable observation: situations do not enter experience unmediated. They are appraised through assumptions, memories, attentional habits, and beliefs about the self, other people, and the future. In depression, recurring appraisals often concern defectiveness, loss, helplessness, and hopelessness. A delayed reply becomes rejection; fatigue becomes laziness; an imperfect performance becomes proof of incapacity; uncertainty is read as a forecast of failure. These “automatic thoughts” are automatic in the sense that they arrive rapidly and plausibly, not because they are trivial or voluntarily chosen (Beck, Rush, Shaw, & Emery, 1979; Disner, Beevers, Haigh, & Beck, 2011).

David Burns helped translate this tradition into a language many readers could recognize in ordinary life: all-or-nothing thinking, overgeneralization, mental filtering, discounting the positive, mind reading, fortune telling, emotional reasoning, imperative “should” statements, labeling, and personalization (Burns, 1980, 2020). These names can be liberating when they turn an apparently self-evident verdict into an examinable operation. They become harmful when they are used as a checklist for correcting the person, or when “cognitive distortion” becomes a sophisticated way to say, “your account is inconvenient to me.” The category should describe a mode of inference, never the worth or rationality of the thinker.

Core beliefs are slower and more organizing. “I am unlovable,” “I am unsafe,” “I am a burden,” or “nothing I do changes anything” can operate less like explicit sentences than like priors that determine which details are noticed and what those details are allowed to prove. Intermediate assumptions translate them into rules: “If I need help, I will be rejected”; “If I cannot do this perfectly, I have failed”; “If I rest, I am weak”; “If I hope, I will be hurt again.” Such rules may once have reduced danger. Perfectionism can protect a child in an unpredictable home. Self-silencing can preserve belonging in a punitive relationship. Expecting disappointment can dampen the shock of repeated loss. The adult cost of the rule does not erase its earlier intelligence.

A rigorous cognitive formulation therefore asks at least four questions. First, what is the claim? Second, what evidence supports and limits it? Third, what function does believing it serve in the present system? Fourth, what does it make more or less reachable? The third question prevents therapy from becoming courtroom debate. A belief can persist because it organizes identity, prevents risk, preserves loyalty, makes suffering intelligible, or avoids the moral terror of acknowledging that someone trusted caused harm. Direct contradiction may then strengthen the belief by threatening the function it performs.

Flow Hijacked describes this process as **Identity Entrapment** when a state-dependent conclusion becomes fused with the self-model. The grammar changes from “I am experiencing exhaustion” to “I am an exhausted kind of person,” from “this action failed” to “I am failure,” and from “I cannot see a route” to “there is no

route.” The distinction is not cosmetic. Experiences can vary; identities feel obligated to remain consistent. Once depression has been promoted from condition to identity, contradictory evidence may be dismissed as accidental while confirming evidence is treated as revelation.

An identity-level belief can be represented, cautiously, as a slow variable I_t that changes less rapidly than mood m_t :

$$I_{t+1} = I_t + \alpha_I(\mathcal{E}_t^- - \omega_t \mathcal{E}_t^+), \quad 0 < \alpha_I \ll 1.$$

Here, $\mathcal{E}_t^-$ and $\mathcal{E}_t^+$ denote identity-relevant negative and positive evidence, while ω_t denotes the degree to which positive evidence is registered as self-relevant. This is not a clinical equation and the quantities are not currently measurable as written. It makes one disciplined point: even abundant positive events may fail to revise identity if they are discounted, attributed to luck, or experienced as belonging to a self that no longer feels continuous with the present one. Recovery may therefore require not only producing better outcomes but restoring the update channel through which those outcomes can count.

5.2 Rumination, abstraction, and the loss of problem-solving movement

Rumination is often mistaken for excessive reflection. The difference is not the seriousness of the topic or the number of minutes spent thinking. It is the movement produced. Reflection can remain painful while generating discrimination, integration, a decision, or a compassionate account of what happened. Rumination repeatedly activates distress, causes, meanings, and consequences while returning to nearly the same conclusion. It consumes control resources without creating a traversable next step (Nolen-Hoeksema, Wisco, & Lyubomirsky, 2008; Watkins, 2008).

The process can feel responsible. A mind that has been surprised by betrayal, relapse, humiliation, or loss may attempt to ensure safety by understanding the past completely. It asks why this happened, what it reveals, and how it could have been prevented. When answers remain unavailable, the question acquires moral force: stopping would mean carelessness; sleeping would mean denial; accepting uncertainty would mean inviting recurrence. Rumination can therefore be an effort to protect the future, even as it deprives the future of attention and action.

Abstract processing tends to intensify this trap. “Why am I always like this?” invites a global, stable explanation. “What happened in the hour before I cancelled, and what would make the next attempt five percent easier?” invites a concrete sequence. The second question is not more superficial. It is better aligned with causal leverage. Experimental and clinical work on rumination has repeatedly distinguished abstract, evaluative processing from more concrete, experiential processing; the latter is more likely to support problem solving and emotional updating, though no technique works uniformly (Watkins, 2008; Watkins et al., 2011).

At the neural level, rumination has been associated at group level with interactions among default-mode, salience, and control systems rather than a single “rumination region.” The default-mode network supports internally generated and self-referential cognition, but it also participates in autobiographical memory, social understanding, and future construction. Calling it the “depression network” would confuse a general human capacity with one possible mode of capture. Meta-analytic and connectivity findings implicate several networks, and the direction and location of effects vary with task, subtype, medication, and analysis (Hamilton et al., 2015; Kaiser, Andrews-Hanna, Wager, & Pizzagalli, 2015). The clinically important observation remains functional: attention has become difficult to redeploy and internally generated content is no longer revising itself.

Rumination can also become interpersonal. Reassurance is sought, briefly reduces uncertainty, and then loses credibility, prompting another request. Loved ones may alternate between endless argument and abrupt refusal, while both parties become depleted. A compassionate boundary names the cycle without abandoning the person: “I believe that this fear is real. Repeating my answer is not helping it settle. Let us choose what we can do with the next ten minutes.” This preserves contact while refusing to make certainty the price of relationship.

Interventions should target process as well as content. Attention can be moved into sensory context; a repetitive question can be written once and revisited at a planned time; a global “why” can be translated into a sequence; metacognitive distance can separate the occurrence of a thought from obedience to it; behavioral activation can provide evidence that thought alone cannot generate. In severe depression, however,

the smallest shift may require sleep treatment, pain care, medication, substance-withdrawal management, practical support, or another person temporarily carrying part of the executive burden. Cognitive flexibility is embodied capacity, not a moral command.

5.3 Hopelessness and the collapse of episodic future thinking

Hopelessness is often described as a belief that the future will be bad. In depression it may be more radical: the future can lose sensory detail, emotional ownership, causal connection, and the felt status of a place one can inhabit. A person may know propositionally that next month exists while being unable to simulate it with enough vividness or credibility to guide today. Positive future events are generated less readily or with less episodic specificity in many depressed samples, although effect sizes and mechanisms vary (MacLeod & Salaminiou, 2001; Hallford, Austin, Takano, & Raes, 2018).

Episodic future thinking recruits capacities that overlap with autobiographical memory: constructing a scene, locating a self inside it, binding people and places, and elaborating what might happen (Addis, Wong, & Schacter, 2007; Schacter, Benoit, & Szpunar, 2017). Depression can disturb several links in this chain. Overgeneral memory limits the raw materials for specific simulation. Anhedonia reduces anticipated value. Fatigue inflates expected effort. Shame makes the future self feel undeserving. Repeated environmental failure reduces the estimated probability that plans will be delivered. Substance use can add an immediate, reliable relief option that outruns a distant alternative. The result is not one “future deficit” but a coupled reduction in precision, value, agency, and trust.

Flow Hijacked calls this **Temporal Compression**. The temporal horizon has not literally shortened; rather, the region of time with sufficient credibility to influence current action has contracted. A simple decision expression makes the distinction visible:

$$V_t(a) = \sum_{\tau=0}^T \gamma_t(\tau) p_t(o_{t+\tau} | a) u_t(o_{t+\tau}) - C_t(a),$$

where $\gamma_t(\tau)$ is not merely exponential discounting but the current weight assigned to outcomes at delay τ ; p_t is believed deliverability; u_t is experienced value; and $C_t(a)$ is the bodily, cognitive, social, and material cost of the action. Depression can reduce all three future-facing terms while raising cost. Telling the person that the long-term option is objectively better may therefore add no new quantity to the calculation. The intervention has to increase credibility, lower friction, supply a bridge, or protect the person until the state changes.

This is why hopelessness cannot be treated only as a proposition to dispute. It is also a failure of model generation and control. A credible future needs a scene, a path, and evidence that action can influence arrival. Future Event Specificity Training and related approaches aim to improve the generation of specific positive events; early trials suggest potential benefit for anhedonia and future thinking, but the evidence is not yet a universal prescription (Hallford et al., 2023). Practical scaffolding may be equally important: an appointment that is confirmed, transport that actually arrives, a payment plan that is honored, a friend who comes at the agreed time. Reliability in the world supplies data that imagination alone cannot.

Hopelessness is also a major safety signal. Its presence calls for direct assessment of suicidal thinking, intent, planning, access to means, recent change, agitation, substance use, psychosis, and protective connections. Philosophical discussion must never replace immediate safety work. Yet even in crisis, language matters. The inability to represent a survivable future is evidence about the current state, not privileged knowledge of how life must end.

5.4 Shame, guilt, self-criticism, and moral injury

Guilt concerns an action: I did something wrong. Shame recruits the whole self: I am what is wrong. The distinction is imperfect in lived experience, but clinically useful. Guilt can motivate repair when responsibility is proportionate and repair is possible. Depressive guilt often becomes inflated, generalized, or detached from controllability. A person feels responsible for becoming ill, for requiring care, for events no human could have prevented, or for the pain others feel while watching them suffer. Shame then turns receiving help into additional evidence of defectiveness.

Self-criticism may function as attempted control. If failure can be explained by insufficient harshness, then harsher monitoring appears to preserve the fantasy that catastrophe is preventable. In competitive or punitive environments, self-attack may also have been rewarded as discipline. The cost is that every setback activates threat, narrows exploration, and makes honest learning dangerous. A system that punishes its own error signals does not become more accurate; it learns to conceal uncertainty and avoid experiments.

Compassion is sometimes misunderstood as a positive judgment about the self. Its more rigorous form is a stance toward suffering and fallibility that permits accurate appraisal without humiliation. It can say, “harm occurred and repair is needed,” while refusing “therefore the person is irredeemable.” Compassion-focused approaches explicitly work with threat, drive, and soothing systems, but their broad ethical insight is relevant across therapies: accountability and dehumanization are not synonyms (Gilbert, 2010).

Moral injury requires further precision. The term emerged from work on events that violate deeply held moral expectations, including perpetration, failure to prevent harm, betrayal by authorities, or participation under coercive conditions. It is not identical to depression or post-traumatic stress disorder, though either may accompany it. Treating a moral wound as mere faulty thinking can repeat the betrayal. The work may involve truth, responsibility, grief, restitution, forgiveness that cannot be demanded, and reconstruction of belonging. Some guilt is evidence of intact values. The aim is not to erase moral pain but to prevent one act, omission, or betrayal from colonizing every possible identity.

This is where Identity Entrapment becomes particularly dangerous. The self-model collapses around a prosecutorial noun: failure, addict, burden, coward, bad parent. In dual diagnosis, social stigma can supply these nouns ready-made. A return to use is interpreted not as an event with antecedents and consequences but as revelation of the “real self.” Such labeling predicts less curiosity about sleep, withdrawal, pain, cues, social exposure, and treatment fit—the very variables that could make the next outcome different.

Repair begins by restoring grammatical and causal resolution. What happened? What was chosen, what was constrained, and what was not known? Who was harmed? What remains possible now? Which value is visible inside the pain? The questions do not guarantee absolution. They make action possible without asking identity to carry the entire causal load.

5.5 Meaning, values, grief, and existential disorientation

Depression can persist even when symptoms are described accurately because a life is not held together by symptom reduction alone. People need reasons that belong to them: relationships, craft, responsibility, play, justice, faith, curiosity, place, beauty, service, or a form of integrity that cannot be captured by a rating scale. When these organizing commitments are lost or made unreachable, the problem is not simply insufficient pleasure. It may be existential disorientation: the former map no longer guides, while a new one has not yet become credible.

Meaning should not be romanticized. It does not immunize a person against illness, and asking someone in severe depression to “find purpose” can sound like another impossible assignment. Values can also be exploited: caregiving becomes self-erasure; duty prevents treatment; spiritual language is used to silence anger; achievement becomes the only permitted identity. A humane inquiry asks not only what matters, but how that mattering has been organized, who pays its costs, and whether the person is allowed to receive as well as give.

Grief makes the limits of cognitive correction especially clear. The finality of death, the loss of health, migration, estrangement, infertility, or the collapse of a hoped-for life cannot be reasoned away. Grief may include depressive symptoms without constituting a major depressive episode; bereavement can also precipitate an episode, and the two can coexist. The important discriminations concern pattern, duration, pervasiveness, self-worth, psychomotor and vegetative change, function, safety, and the person’s relation to the lost object—not a rule that grief is natural and therefore needs no care.

Meaning often returns indirectly. A person acts in accordance with a value before the action feels rewarding; another person remembers a commitment on their behalf; a protected routine keeps a role available during an episode; treatment reduces enough pain that a previously silent value can again recruit movement. The absence of felt meaning is not proof that the person has none. It may indicate that the channels through which meaning becomes motivating are currently blocked.

5.6 From identity verdicts to revisable self-models

A revisable self-model is not a cheerful story. It is a model with enough temporal depth and causal resolution to admit change. It can contain illness without becoming identical to illness, responsibility without total condemnation, and uncertainty without concluding that danger is inevitable. It recognizes that the observing self is also state dependent: judgments made after five sleepless nights, during withdrawal, or inside profound psychomotor depression should not receive automatic authority merely because they feel absolute.

Flow Hijacked uses the term **Attractor Revision Corridor** for a bounded period in which the system has become changeable enough for new learning, but the new organization is not yet self-sustaining. Medication may reduce vegetative burden; sleep may improve; a crisis may pass; a therapist may create sufficient distance from a core belief; a period of abstinence may remove rapid reward–relief cycling. These changes open a corridor. What happens inside it matters. If the world still supplies only isolation, impossible demands, and stigmatizing identity, the old basin remains the best-supported model. If the person encounters reliable contact, achievable action, bodily support, and evidence that is allowed to revise the self, the landscape can slowly change.

The corridor is a synthesis, not a validated clinical interval with known biomarkers. Its value lies in the questions it generates. When did alternatives become thinkable? Which intervention reduced the cost of testing them? What evidence registered? What repeatedly closed the opening? The answers protect against two common errors: assuming a biological intervention completes recovery by itself, and assuming psychotherapy can install a new narrative in a body and world that make the narrative impossible.

The deepest cognitive aim is therefore not positive thinking. It is restored epistemic freedom: the capacity to notice more than one class of evidence, hold conclusions at the confidence they deserve, generate alternatives, test them safely, and update identity without losing continuity. Under depression, a verdict may feel like an observation. Recovery begins when observation becomes possible again.

Within the **Possibility Compression Cascade**, these cognitive changes occupy several timescales. Attentional capture can shift within minutes; rumination can preserve a state across hours; repeated nonreward can alter expectations across weeks; identity and future ownership can harden across episodes. Temporal Compression is therefore neither the first cause nor a decorative symptom. It is a late expression of distributed loading and, once established, a powerful feedback: if no future is credible, the actions that might generate corrective evidence are less likely to occur. The Attractor Revision Corridor names the reverse opportunity. A small opening at any scale—sleep, safety, relationship, medication, language, practical control—can become consequential when it allows evidence to cross from event into self-model.

CORE SENTENCE

Depression can turn a painful state into an identity and a prediction into a verdict; humane cognitive work restores the person's right to revise both.

CHAPTER 6

Body–Brain Interfaces

Depression is experienced through a living body. Sleep changes. Movement slows or becomes agitated. Pain grows louder. Appetite, digestion, sexual function, temperature, and menstrual or reproductive experience may alter. Effort that was once automatic becomes expensive, and a day can begin already depleted. These are not peripheral accompaniments to a disorder occurring somewhere else. They are part of the state that the person must inhabit.

The converse is equally important: bodily disease, medication, hormones, intoxication, withdrawal, inflammation in a subgroup, and disrupted circadian timing can produce or intensify depressive symptoms. A whole-person formulation cannot assume that every bodily symptom is “the depression,” nor that finding

a bodily contributor renders psychological and social processes irrelevant. It asks how several processes are coupled, which require urgent differentiation, which are modifiable, and which claims remain speculative.

Flow Hijacked calls this domain **Embodied State-Space**. The term means that bodily variables change the transitions currently available to a person. A staircase is a different path in severe anemia than after recovery; a social invitation has a different cost after three hours of fragmented sleep; a cognitive exercise demands different control when pain is consuming attention. Embodiment is not an invitation to blame people for lifestyle. It is a requirement that treatment respect actual capacity.

6.1 Allostasis, energy regulation, and sickness behaviour

Homeostasis is often imagined as keeping an internal variable fixed. Living systems more commonly regulate by changing in anticipation of demand. Allostasis names this adaptive adjustment: autonomic, endocrine, immune, metabolic, attentional, and behavioral systems redistribute resources according to predicted need (McEwen, 1998; Sterling, 2012). Under acute threat, vigilance may rise, sleep may lighten, appetite may change, and distant exploration may lose priority. These shifts can be protective in the short term. Trouble begins when demand is prolonged, recovery is blocked, predictions remain threat-weighted, or the response itself accumulates cost.

This is the logic of the **Adaptive Compression Engine**. A system under danger or depletion may narrow attention, movement, and social exposure because breadth is expensive. Calling all narrowing pathological would erase its protective history. Yet a response that was once adaptive can become self-maintaining. Withdrawal reduces immediate demand but also reduces reward and support. Hypervigilance detects threat but prevents restorative sleep. Energy conservation lowers action but deprives the system of evidence that action can still change outcomes. Protection then becomes **Pathological Compression** not because the original response was foolish, but because it continues to close routes after its costs exceed its benefits.

Sickness behaviour provides a biological example. Pro-inflammatory signalling during infection can contribute to fatigue, reduced appetite, social withdrawal, altered sleep, reduced reward pursuit, and cognitive change—an organized response that may conserve energy and limit exposure. Some of the same pathways are implicated in depression, especially among people with elevated inflammatory or metabolic burden (Dantzer, O'Connor, Freund, Johnson, & Kelley, 2008; Miller & Raison, 2016). But resemblance does not establish identity. Most depression cannot be diagnosed as sickness behaviour, inflammation is neither necessary nor sufficient, and inflammatory measures are influenced by infection, body composition, smoking, medication, oral health, poverty, sleep, and many other factors.

Energy language must also be disciplined. Mitochondria, glucose regulation, oxygen delivery, endocrine function, and cellular metabolism are active areas of research, but “low brain energy” is not one validated bedside entity. A blood-cell assay, animal model, or magnetic-resonance spectroscopy finding does not directly reveal how a living individual’s distributed neural circuits are functioning. The practical conclusion is modest and consequential: fatigue deserves medical and psychiatric attention; it changes the feasible dose of every intervention; and incapacity should not be misread as lack of values.

6.2 Sleep, circadian timing, and bidirectional risk

Sleep disturbance is among the most common and consequential dimensions of depression. It may appear as difficulty falling asleep, repeated waking, early-morning waking, non-restorative sleep, excessive sleep, delayed timing, irregular timing, or a mismatch between sleep and social demands. These patterns should not be collapsed into a single “sleep problem.” They have different mechanisms and different differentials.

Longitudinal evidence indicates that insomnia predicts increased risk of later depression, while depression itself disrupts sleep, establishing a bidirectional relationship (Baglioni et al., 2011). Sleep loss affects emotional reactivity, cognitive control, pain, appetite, immune function, and the ability to register reward. A person who enters each morning physiologically depleted may experience an ordinary task as evidence of global failure. Repeated nighttime rumination then turns the bed into a cue for arousal, while daytime withdrawal weakens circadian and homeostatic signals. The loop crosses mind, body, behavior, and environment.

Circadian timing adds a distinct dimension. Light exposure, activity, food timing, hormones, and social schedules help synchronize biological rhythms. Phase delay, irregularity, shift work, jet lag, caregiving,

nightlife, unstable housing, and substance use can all disrupt that coordination. Advice to “keep a regular schedule” is scientifically reasonable but ethically incomplete when work hours are imposed, a baby wakes repeatedly, housing is unsafe, or a person is managing withdrawal. The intervention must be designed for the life in which the clock operates.

Treatment should follow the phenotype. Cognitive behavioral therapy for insomnia can improve sleep and may support depression outcomes, including when delivered alongside depression treatment, although response is not universal (Manber et al., 2008). Light therapy can be effective in seasonal depression and is studied beyond it, but timing, ocular and medical considerations, medication, headache, agitation, and bipolar vulnerability matter. Deliberate sleep deprivation can produce rapid antidepressant effects in specialist contexts but is not a safe self-treatment and can precipitate activation. A history of reduced need for sleep, elevated or irritable energy, racing thoughts, or abrupt cycling must change the risk calculation.

The phrase “sleep hygiene” is often too small for severe insomnia, sleep apnea, restless legs syndrome, circadian disorder, trauma-related nocturnal arousal, medication effects, or substance withdrawal. A useful assessment maps timing, opportunity, estimated sleep, waking, naps, breathing symptoms, movement symptoms, nightmares, light, caffeine, alcohol and other drugs, medication timing, pain, and next-day function. A sleep diary or actigraphy can clarify pattern; neither should be mistaken for a complete diagnosis. New or severe daytime sleepiness, witnessed apneas, dangerous driving, or signs of a medical sleep disorder require clinical evaluation.

Within Embodied State-Space, sleep is both a state variable and a control input. Improving it can widen cognitive flexibility and reduce the cost of social and behavioral experiments. But sleep can also improve before meaning, reward, or identity does. The resulting interval may feel like a **Flat Valley**: acute physiological burden has eased, yet the landscape remains low in reinforcement. The correct interpretation is not that sleep “failed,” but that one bottleneck moved while slower variables still require care.

6.3 Pain, fatigue, psychomotor change, and interoception

Pain and depression are common companions. Persistent pain interrupts sleep, attention, movement, work, intimacy, parenting, and social participation. Depression can heighten pain-related threat, reduce activity, alter descending modulation, and make rehabilitation harder to initiate. Chronic pain populations show high rates of clinically significant depressive and anxiety symptoms, though estimates depend strongly on setting and measurement (Bair, Robinson, Katon, & Kroenke, 2003; Aaron et al., 2025). Shared biology matters; so do loss of role, financial strain, disbelief, stigma, and the exhausting administrative work of being ill.

The relationship is bidirectional without being symmetrical in every person. Sometimes pain precedes depression; sometimes depression increases bodily distress; often both are shaped by a third process. New neurological deficits, severe weakness, fever, chest pain, breathlessness, sudden headache, confusion, or other red flags require medical assessment. Conversely, normal tests do not mean pain is imaginary. They narrow one part of the differential while leaving the lived and neural reality of pain intact.

Psychomotor slowing is similarly concrete. Speech latency, reduced facial movement, slower gait, prolonged initiation, and diminished spontaneous action may be visible in severe depression. Agitation may present instead: pacing, hand-wringing, restless distress, or an unbearable inability to settle. These patterns have diagnostic and safety significance and should not be redescribed as attitude. Marked agitation, rapidly increasing energy without improved judgment, catatonic signs, medication-related akathisia, or withdrawal can require urgent reassessment.

Interoception is the sensing and interpretation of signals from inside the body: heartbeat, breathing, fullness, nausea, temperature, muscle tension, pain, sexual arousal, and the diffuse sense of energy or depletion. The anterior insula, cingulate, somatosensory, brainstem, and other systems participate in constructing this experience; no single region reads the body like a gauge (Craig, 2009; Khalsa et al., 2018). Depression may involve under-registration, overwhelming salience, or threat-weighted interpretation. A pounding heart can be exertion, panic, fever, medication, dehydration, withdrawal, or excitement. Context and learning determine meaning.

Measures such as heart-rate variability offer information about autonomic dynamics under specified conditions but are not depression detectors or scores of emotional health. Age, respiration, posture, physical conditioning, cardiac disease, medication, and recording method alter the signal. Consumer wearables can

help some people notice patterns and can make others more vigilant or ashamed. Measurement is useful only if it improves a decision.

Body-focused treatment also requires titration. Paced breathing, movement, grounding, biofeedback, yoga, and mindfulness can expand tolerance for internal change. For people with panic, dissociation, trauma, respiratory illness, or intense fear of bodily sensations, prolonged inward focus can initially increase distress. External orientation, eyes-open practice, choice, and a shorter dose may be safer. The goal is not permanent calm. It is flexible regulation: the ability to notice a signal, interpret it with appropriate uncertainty, act on genuine need, and return attention to the world.

6.4 Immune and metabolic subgroups

Inflammatory findings in depression are real at the group level and frequently overstated at the individual level. Meta-analyses find that a substantial minority of depressed participants meet thresholds for low-grade elevation of C-reactive protein, but prevalence changes with threshold, sampling, comorbidity, medication, and covariate adjustment (Osimo, Baxter, Lewis, Jones, & Khandaker, 2019). A 2025 review by Penninx and colleagues argues that significant immunometabolic dysregulation is present in about 20–30 per cent of people with depression and describes a candidate phenotype combining inflammatory and metabolic abnormalities with energy-related symptoms. That range is a synthesis across studies using non-equivalent measures and thresholds; it is not a prevalence estimate for one validated diagnostic subtype. The construct is promising, but it does not yet select a proven treatment for an individual person (Penninx et al., 2025).

Several causal routes are plausible. Cytokine signalling can influence monoamine metabolism, glutamate, neuroendocrine function, sleep, motivation, and corticostriatal reward pathways. Inflammation can reduce response vigor and increase perceived effort, potentially reallocating behavior away from exploration under conditions in which conservation would be adaptive (Miller & Raison, 2016; Felger & Treadway, 2017). Metabolic dysregulation and depression may also share upstream determinants including chronic stress, sleep disruption, food environments, reduced activity, medication, smoking, and socioeconomic adversity. Cross-sectional correlation cannot decide among these paths.

Trials of anti-inflammatory strategies illustrate why subgroup logic matters. A treatment may show no average antidepressant effect while suggesting benefit in participants with higher baseline inflammatory burden; another may create harms that outweigh a small symptom change. Such patterns are hypothesis-generating until prospectively replicated with prespecified moderators. C-reactive protein is nonspecific. It can support a broader medical assessment but cannot by itself explain a person's despair or authorize an unmonitored drug or supplement.

Metabolic health deserves integrated care regardless of whether it is labeled causal. Diabetes, cardiovascular disease, obesity, malnutrition, eating disorders, medication-related weight change, and depression interact with access, stigma, and self-care. Weight-focused moralizing can worsen avoidance and healthcare disengagement. An intervention should aim at function, nourishment, sleep, cardiometabolic risk, and the person's own goals—not purity or a culturally narrow body ideal.

Large observational studies are beginning to model pathways across multiple organ systems, lifestyle, environment, brain structure, and later mental health. In UK Biobank data, Tian and colleagues reported associations linking poorer organ health and lifestyle/environmental factors through brain structure to later depression and anxiety (Tian, Cole, Bullmore, & Zalesky, 2024). The scale and multiorgan design are valuable. The mediation remains observational, UK Biobank is a selected sample, and a statistical pathway is not a unique biological route. Its strongest implication is architectural: physical and mental health cannot be responsibly modeled as separate ledgers.

6.5 Gut–brain, endocrine, reproductive, and medication contexts

The microbiota–gut–brain axis comprises genuine bidirectional routes: vagal and other neural pathways, immune signalling, endocrine processes, microbial metabolites, diet, intestinal barrier function, and behavior (Cryan et al., 2019). Animal studies establish that microbial manipulations can influence some stress-related behaviors. Human studies report microbiome differences in depressed groups, but results are affected by geography, diet, antibiotics, proton-pump inhibitors, metformin, psychiatric medication, smoking, alcohol,

bowel transit, laboratory pipeline, and the behavioral effects of depression itself. Stool composition is not a transparent readout of causal activity throughout the gut.

Adjunctive trials of specified probiotics, prebiotics, or dietary patterns report mixed and sometimes modest benefits. “Probiotic” is not one intervention; effects cannot be generalized across strains, doses, populations, and outcomes. No clinically validated microbiome test currently chooses psychotherapy or an antidepressant for an individual. Persistent gastrointestinal pain, bleeding, fever, anemia, weight loss, nocturnal symptoms, or major bowel change deserves medical assessment. Expensive microbial certainty should never outrank ordinary gastroenterological care and reliable access to food.

Endocrine and reproductive contexts demand similar specificity. Thyroid disease, pregnancy, the postpartum period, menstrual-cycle-related disorders, perimenopause, pituitary and adrenal disease, and prescribed or nonmedical hormones can each alter mood, sleep, energy, cognition, and risk in different ways. “Hormonal depression” is not one mechanism. Timing can guide investigation without proving causation.

Perinatal depression may begin during pregnancy or after birth and can affect nutrition, sleep, attachment, function, and safety. Screening is useful only when linked to assessment and treatment. Postpartum psychosis—especially with confusion, severe insomnia, mania, rapidly changing behavior, bizarre beliefs, or hallucinations—is an emergency. Medication decisions during pregnancy and lactation must weigh the risks of untreated illness alongside treatment risks; abrupt antidepressant discontinuation can produce discontinuation symptoms and destabilize care, so changes require individualized prescriber guidance. Perimenopausal symptoms can interact with sleep, vasomotor burden, role change, and prior vulnerability. Gender-affirming hormonal care should be discussed without pathologizing identity and with attention to the person’s goals and full medical context.

Medication review belongs in every embodied formulation. Corticosteroids, hormonal agents, sedatives, some antiepileptic and cardiovascular medicines, interferons, isotretinoin, and other agents have been associated with mood changes in particular circumstances. Psychiatric medication can affect sleep, sexual function, appetite, weight, movement, emotional range, and energy. Association does not prove that a drug caused a symptom; dose, timing, indication, interaction, withdrawal, and underlying illness all matter. The safe response is coordinated review, not abrupt cessation.

Substances complicate the same interfaces. Alcohol may numb distress while fragmenting sleep and worsening mood; stimulant use and withdrawal can alternate activation with depletion; cannabis may be experienced as sleep or anxiety relief while affecting cognition, motivation, or psychosis vulnerability; opioids and sedatives carry dependence, overdose, and withdrawal risks. After physiological dependence, abrupt alcohol or benzodiazepine cessation can precipitate seizures or delirium and may be life-threatening. In otherwise medically stable adults, opioid withdrawal is generally not directly life-threatening by the same mechanism, but it can be severe and medically complicated; unassisted cessation can drive return to use, while reduced tolerance increases overdose risk if opioid use resumes. In dual diagnosis, biological assessment and a nonjudgmental substance history are inseparable (American Society of Addiction Medicine, 2020; Brunner et al., 2025; Yakovenko et al., 2024).

6.6 Exercise, nutrition, light, and medical care without lifestyle blame

Movement, nutrition, daylight, and sleep regularity can contribute meaningfully to depression care. Their ordinary familiarity should not be confused with triviality, and their usefulness should not be converted into blame. Exercise trials show average antidepressant benefit across several modalities, with variation in adherence, supervision, comparator, severity, and publication bias. For one person, a structured group may supply social contact and progression; for another, pain, disability, caregiving, unsafe streets, eating-disorder risk, or post-exertional symptom worsening makes the same prescription inappropriate.

The right unit is the feasible transition. That may be a supervised rehabilitation session, two minutes of movement at home, assistance reaching a pool, or treatment of pain and anemia before training becomes safe. Exercise is not a character test and should not replace indicated psychotherapy, medication, neuromodulation, or social intervention. It is one possible input in a **Multimodal Control Matrix**, acting through cardiovascular, metabolic, inflammatory, circadian, neural, behavioral, mastery, and social pathways at different timescales.

Nutrition evidence is strongest at the level of overall dietary adequacy and patterns, not miracle ingredients. Food insecurity, sensory needs, culture, medication effects, gastrointestinal disease, and eating disorders

change what is possible. Advice that requires money, storage, preparation time, or appetite the person does not have is not precision care. A practical intervention may begin with reliable meals, treatment of deficiency when demonstrated or strongly suspected, and an affordable pattern the person can sustain.

Light is both environmental resource and biological signal. Morning outdoor light may support circadian alignment for some people; formal bright-light treatment requires attention to timing and activation risk. Medical care can include targeted examination and testing based on history—rather than indiscriminate panels marketed as root-cause certainty—and treatment of sleep apnea, anemia, endocrine disease, infection, pain, cardiometabolic illness, or medication burden where indicated.

The Multimodal Control Matrix prevents false contests. Psychotherapy, medication, sleep treatment, social support, exercise, nutrition, substance-use care, and practical assistance do not compete for the title of “real treatment.” They enter the system at different points, with different delays, burdens, and risks. The question is which combination can reduce the current bottleneck without overwhelming the person’s Navigability Margin.

In the Possibility Compression Cascade, embodied burdens can appear as distributed loading, transient amplification, threshold pressure, or slow landscape rewriting. The ordering is not fixed. Sleep disruption may precede an episode, follow it, or do both; pain may narrow activity, while inactivity later increases pain; withdrawal may create a rapid perturbation whose consequences outlast the acute pharmacology. The model earns its usefulness only when it preserves this bidirectionality and identifies a testable sequence for the individual. “The body caused the depression” and “the depression caused the body symptoms” are often both too simple.

The embodied view ends with a moral correction. A body under strain is not refusing recovery. It is specifying the conditions under which recovery must be built.

CORE SENTENCE

Depression is never less human because it is embodied; attending to sleep, pain, inflammation, metabolism, hormones, medication, and movement expands compassion into practical care.

A Living System, Not a Broken-Part Map



This is the original Flow Hijacked atlas visual. It is a conceptual educational model connecting group-level evidence; it is not an individual scan, diagnosis, prognosis, or treatment recommendation. Its role is orientation: cortical and deep systems participate through changing networks, bodily states, learning histories, and context.

CHAPTER 7

Brain Geography: From Regions to Networks

Neuroanatomy gives depression neither an address nor an essence. It gives a set of constrained questions. Which systems represent bodily state, select what is salient, construct self and future, estimate value and effort, retrieve context, initiate action, and regulate sleep or arousal? How do those systems interact over time? Which differences are vulnerability, episode state, consequence, compensation, or treatment effect? A responsible brain map begins with this humility.

The temptation to identify a depression centre is understandable. A named region makes invisible suffering visible and suggests a target. Yet major depressive disorder is defined through heterogeneous experiences and behaviors, not a focal lesion. Structural and functional studies report average differences across prefrontal, cingulate, insular, limbic, striatal, hippocampal, thalamic, cerebellar, hypothalamic, and brainstem territories. Effects are often modest, variable, and overlapping with other conditions. No region is altered in every depressed person, and no currently available scan establishes the diagnosis or tells the story of one life (Drevets, Price, & Furey, 2008; Winter et al., 2024).

7.1 Why no single depression centre exists

A brain region is not a psychological verb. It contains heterogeneous cell types, layers, receptors, and connections. It participates in several tasks, and the contribution of a local population depends on its partners and the current state. The anterior insula can participate in interoception, salience, cognitive control, pain, and social experience. The amygdala is not a fear button; it helps detect biological and learned relevance across positive and negative contexts. Dorsolateral prefrontal territories contribute to working memory and rule-guided control but are not a reservoir of reason that simply suppresses an emotional brain.

This is the problem of reverse inference. If a task activates a region and a disorder also changes activity there, one cannot conclude that the task's psychological label explains the disorder. A region may be recruited by many processes, and a process may be implemented through many regions. Functional magnetic resonance imaging adds another layer of indirection: the blood-oxygen-level-dependent signal reflects vascular and metabolic consequences correlated with neural activity, not thoughts, neurotransmitter levels, or excitation in isolation. "Connectivity" usually denotes statistical dependence under a specified model and preprocessing pipeline, not necessarily a direct anatomical pathway or causal influence.

The unit of evidence matters. A cross-sectional group difference estimates an average contrast at a chosen time. It does not establish whether the difference preceded illness. A longitudinal within-person association can track change more directly but remains vulnerable to treatment, learning, motion, sleep, hormonal phase, substance use, and coincident events. A randomized intervention strengthens causal inference about the intervention, though the measured neural mediator may still be uncertain. Lesion and stimulation studies provide different forms of perturbational evidence but have their own selection and targeting constraints.

Medication status, age, episode duration, recurrence, trauma, bipolar spectrum, anxiety, pain, substance use, scanner, task, analytic choices, and population ancestry all alter the map. Even the borders of a named area depend on the atlas. Brodmann numbers refer to early cytoarchitectonic distinctions; contemporary parcelations may divide or relocate their functional counterparts. A coordinate is therefore incomplete without hemisphere, space, atlas, task, contrast, and uncertainty.

This caution does not make neuroimaging meaningless. It makes claims proportionate. Distributed group effects can illuminate mechanisms and guide treatment research even when they are not clinically diagnostic. The error is moving silently from "associated on average" to "located here," or from "predictive in this sample" to "a biomarker for patients."

7.2 Cingulate and medial prefrontal systems: BA25, BA24/32, and BA10

The subgenual anterior cingulate and adjacent subcallosal region, often discussed with Brodmann area 25, became central to circuit accounts of depression. It lies below the genu of the corpus callosum near pathways linking medial and orbital prefrontal, cingulate, limbic, striatal, thalamic, hypothalamic, and brainstem systems. Early positron-emission tomography work helped establish a reciprocal limbic–cortical model in which depression and recovery involved changing relations across a circuit, not abnormality at one isolated point (Mayberg et al., 1999). Later deep-brain stimulation studies targeted the subcallosal cingulate region in severe treatment-resistant depression, with important open-label findings and mixed controlled evidence (Mayberg et al., 2005).

Anatomical wording is consequential here. BA25 is a cytoarchitectonic area; “subgenual anterior cingulate,” “subcallosal cingulate,” and a surgical target are related but not interchangeable. Stimulation affects axons and networks within an electric field, not only neurons in a numbered parcel. Modern tractography-informed targeting seeks convergence of particular white-matter pathways, but diffusion MRI infers fiber orientation and cannot directly display signal direction. A reconstructed tract is an anatomical model, not a transparent photograph of a person’s connectome.

Dorsal and rostral anterior cingulate territories, commonly associated with BA24 and BA32, participate in conflict monitoring, pain, affective appraisal, effort allocation, and the selection of control. Their functions differ along several gradients; grouping them as “the ACC” can conceal more than it reveals. Depression findings vary by subregion, task, and state. A treatment-related increase in one cingulate territory and decrease in another need not be contradictory if the underlying computations and network affiliations differ.

Frontopolar cortex, broadly related to BA10, supports high-level aspects of prospection, counterfactual thought, exploration among goals, and self-generated cognition. Its relevance to depression lies in the construction and management of longer-horizon possibilities. Yet BA10 is large and heterogeneous, with medial–lateral and anterior–posterior differences. A reduced capacity to imagine a future cannot be assigned to BA10 alone; it recruits hippocampal, default-mode, valuation, language, and control systems, along with a body and world that determine whether the imagined future is credible.

7.3 Dorsolateral and orbitofrontal systems: BA9, BA46, and BA11/47

BA9 and BA46 overlap substantially with territories usually called dorsolateral prefrontal cortex. These regions participate in working memory, rule maintenance, cognitive control, and reappraisal. Their network connectivity has also made lateral prefrontal cortex a major target for repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation and transcranial direct-current stimulation. “DLPFC” is not one point, however. Different coordinates lie in different functional networks, and therapeutic effect depends on individual anatomy, connectivity, coil orientation, dose, treatment state, and protocol.

The clinical meaning of control is also easily distorted. Reduced performance on a demanding task does not show that a person lacks willpower. Performance depends on motivation, fatigue, psychomotor speed, sleep, medication, anxiety, task comprehension, and the estimated value of success. Prefrontal activity may be lower, higher, or redistributed depending on whether a person disengages, compensates, or recruits effort inefficiently. The relevant question is not whether the cortex is “online” but whether control can be allocated adaptively under the current cost structure.

Orbitofrontal and ventromedial prefrontal territories, including portions associated with BA11 and BA47, contribute to valuation, outcome updating, credit assignment, nonreward, regret, and the integration of current state with choice. They are strongly connected with striatum, amygdala, cingulate, insula, and sensory systems. Depression-related alterations in orbitofrontal connectivity and structure have been linked to negative valuation and anhedonia, but the region does not contain a single value meter. Medial and lateral subdivisions can show different response profiles, and atlas labels vary.

BA47 requires special care because it borders inferior frontal language and control territories, including BA45. Pars orbitalis, anterior ventrolateral prefrontal cortex, and lateral orbitofrontal cortex are not identical constructs. A finding described as “inferior frontal” may include BA44, BA45, BA47, insular operculum, or neighboring cortex depending on resolution and atlas. This uncertainty becomes central in the next chapter.

7.4 Insula, amygdala, hippocampus, striatum, habenula, thalamus, hypothalamus, brainstem, and cerebellum

The anterior insula is a major cortical participant in representing internal bodily state, uncertainty, pain, subjective feeling, and salient events. Together with cingulate and subcortical partners it is often placed in salience or cingulo-opercular systems involved in detecting relevant change and coordinating shifts among other networks (Menon & Uddin, 2010). Depression studies report both increased and decreased connectivity depending on sample and contrast. This is expected if salience allocation can fail in more than one direction: threat and self-criticism may dominate, while reward and social safety fail to register.

The amygdala comprises several nuclei and participates in learning about relevance, threat, ambiguity, and affective value. Group studies often find altered response to emotional faces or negative material in depression, but “amygdala overactivity” is not a comprehensive explanation. Task performance, attention, medication, habituation, trauma, and anxiety alter response. The amygdala’s influence is relational: what it learns, which context the hippocampus supplies, how prefrontal and cingulate systems modulate action, and what the body predicts will happen next.

The hippocampal formation supports episodic and contextual memory, pattern separation and completion, spatial representation, stress regulation, and future construction. Meta-analytic work has reported smaller hippocampal volume on average in depression, particularly with recurrent or chronic illness, while effect and direction are influenced by age, episode burden, medication, adversity, and segmentation. Volume is not memory, and smaller is not equivalent to irreversibly damaged. The hippocampus is plastic, heterogeneous along its long axis, and embedded in networks that determine whether a remembered event becomes context, warning, or material for a possible future.

The ventral striatum, including nucleus accumbens, participates in reward anticipation, learning, effort, vigor, and the conversion of value into action. It is central to both anhedonia and addiction research. Dopamine in these circuits is not pleasure itself; it contributes to learning, motivation, salience, and action under uncertainty. A person can “like” an outcome when it occurs yet fail to anticipate it, work for it, or learn from it. Conversely, cues associated with alcohol or another drug may recruit strong wanting even when consumption is no longer liked. Depression and substance-use disorder can therefore couple through shared but nonidentical reward, relief, habit, and stress systems.

The dorsal striatum participates in action selection and habit learning. Repetition can make behavior less dependent on deliberative value, particularly under stress or narrow alternatives. Psychomotor change, ritualized avoidance, and substance-use habits all involve corticostriatal loops, but no scan can determine whether a particular action is a “habit” in the clinical sense. Behavioral history remains indispensable.

The habenula is positioned to influence aversive learning and neuromodulatory systems in relation to negative outcomes and reward omission. Animal work provides strong mechanistic rationale, and small human studies and specialized neuromodulation efforts have generated interest. Human measurement is technically difficult because the structure is small, and clinical translation remains limited. It is a promising node, not an established general treatment target.

The thalamus is not a relay box. Its nuclei participate in arousal, sensory and motor loops, cortical coordination, and state-dependent gating. Whole-thalamus claims are usually too coarse: mediodorsal, anterior, pulvinar, intralaminar, and other nuclei have different connections and functions. Depression-related connectivity findings may reflect changes in cortical partners, sleep and arousal, or specific thalamocortical loops rather than one thalamic mechanism.

The hypothalamus and brainstem contain diverse systems regulating sleep, appetite, endocrine rhythms, autonomic state, pain, and neuromodulatory gain. Serotonergic, noradrenergic, dopaminergic, cholinergic, histaminergic, orexinergic, and other cell groups have distinct projections and receptor effects. The old slogan that depression is a “chemical imbalance” fails not because chemistry is irrelevant, but because the relevant chemistry is spatially, temporally, receptor-, cell-, and state-specific. Antidepressant treatments alter signalling rapidly while clinical change often unfolds over weeks, implicating plasticity, learning, network adaptation, expectancy, and environment in addition to acute transmitter concentration.

The cerebellum, long taught primarily as a motor structure, contributes to prediction, timing, error-based learning, and cognitive–affective coordination through extensive cortical and subcortical loops. Depression

studies increasingly implicate cerebellar regions and their connectivity, but “the cerebellum” is as anatomically inadequate as “the cortex.” Lobular and network specificity matter, and many standard imaging pipelines historically under-sampled or under-analyzed it.

These regions should be held as a functional atlas, not a list of culprits. Each identifies questions and possible leverage. None contains the person’s grief, values, social position, or prognosis.

7.5 Default, salience, frontoparietal, sensorimotor, and limbic networks

Large-scale networks describe reproducible patterns of coordinated activity, but their borders and names depend on method. The default-mode network is active during many forms of internally oriented cognition, including autobiographical memory, self-referential processing, social inference, and future simulation. Depression research often links it to rumination, particularly through interactions with subgenual, salience, and control systems. The network is not intrinsically pathological. The issue is inflexible occupation, content, coupling, and failure to transition when the task changes.

Salience-related systems, involving anterior insula, dorsal anterior cingulate and other regions, help allocate processing to internally or externally relevant events and coordinate switching. **Salience Collapse** names a phenomenological and systems-level hypothesis: fewer cues of reward, safety, care, or curiosity successfully recruit the person, while threat, failure, pain, and drug-related relief cues retain or gain priority. It does not claim that one canonical network simply becomes globally stronger or weaker. Hyperconnectivity in one relation and hypoconnectivity in another can coexist.

Frontoparietal control networks support flexible rule use, goal maintenance, and the reconfiguration of processing according to demand. Cingulo-opercular or action-mode systems are described in some parcellations as supporting sustained task set, performance monitoring, and action-linked control. Terminology and boundaries overlap. Depression may involve altered segregation or coupling among these systems, but the same group average can arise from different individual topographies.

Sensorimotor systems matter because depression is not only cognition. Motor preparation, gait, posture, facial movement, visceral state, and sensory engagement shape the available world. Severe slowing and agitation are clinically meaningful, and movement-based interventions engage more than “exercise motivation.” Limbic is a historically useful but anatomically broad label spanning structures with diverse roles; it should not be used to imply that emotion occupies an ancient lower brain opposed by a rational cortex.

Network dynamics are time dependent. Static functional connectivity averages associations over minutes and can hide transitions. Dynamic approaches estimate recurring states, dwell times, flexibility, or trajectories, though analytic choices and reliability remain active concerns. In a 2025 observational study, Katayama and colleagues used hidden Markov modeling to relate rumination to default-mode-dominant states and described different longitudinal network changes after cognitive behavioral therapy and pharmacotherapy (Katayama et al., 2025). The groups were not randomized and modest in size; the study provides a generative bridge between symptom process and network transition, not proof that a particular state causes rumination or uniquely mediates treatment.

7.6 Individual precision mapping and the limits of group averages

Group-average parcels can place a named network over cortex that belongs to a different network in a particular person. Precision functional mapping addresses this problem by collecting much more data per individual and estimating that individual’s network organization. Lynch and colleagues applied this approach to depression and reported substantial expansion of the cortical salience network, driven primarily by border shifts, across deeply sampled participants and replication samples. In the most deeply sampled series, topology appeared stable across mood states, while longitudinal frontostriatal connectivity varied with specific symptoms and predicted future anhedonia (Lynch et al., 2024).

The work is important because it separates relatively stable network topology from changing within-person connectivity and because it takes individual spatial organization seriously. It also requires precise boundaries around inference. The deepest-sampling cohort was small, even though key findings were tested in additional samples. The reported network expansion is not a clinical diagnostic scan, its developmental and causal meaning requires further study, and classification within a research design should not be confused

with performance in real-world populations. Distinct modes of encroachment also reinforce heterogeneity rather than eliminating it.

A complementary warning comes from large, rigorously evaluated machine-learning studies. Winter and colleagues tested large numbers of models across multimodal neuroimaging datasets and found substantially lower and less generalizable discrimination of major depression than optimistic single-study reports had suggested (Winter et al., 2024). The lesson is not that brains contain no signal. It is that modest distributed signals, heterogeneous syndromes, site effects, leakage, and overfitting can produce impressive internal results that do not become reliable clinical biomarkers.

Flow Hijacked names the desired alternative a **Dynamical Flow Fingerprint**: repeated, multimodal, within-person observations of state and transition rather than a single trait verdict. Such a fingerprint might combine symptoms, sleep, activity, substance exposure, social contact, treatment timing, and carefully chosen neural measures. This remains a research program. More data do not automatically create understanding, and intensive monitoring can burden or surveil people. A fingerprint is justified only when it improves a decision, detects a meaningful transition, or helps the person understand their own pattern.

Precision also changes how neuromodulation is understood. TMS targeting based on individual connectivity may better engage a circuit than a scalp rule, but a circuit target remains only one component of outcome. ECT, TMS, tDCS, medication, psychotherapy, sleep correction, exercise, and social repair enter the same system through different routes. A neural perturbation may open an Attractor Revision Corridor; experience determines what can be learned within it.

The brain map therefore ends beyond the skull. Housing alters sleep and threat. Relationship alters regulation and salience. Food and medication access alter metabolism. Language alters the concepts available for self-description. Culture alters which states are recognized and which futures are permitted. These are not “external factors” acting on a sealed neural object. They are part of the causal loops in which a human brain develops and changes.

The neural contribution to the Possibility Compression Cascade should consequently be stated as a family of mechanisms, not a master switch. Network topology may shape vulnerability; changing connectivity may track state; plasticity may consolidate learning; neuromodulatory gain may alter the impact of the same input. These levels can constrain reach without determining destiny. A brain finding belongs in the cascade only when its timescale, measurement, and causal status are specified.

CORE SENTENCE

Depression is not located at one point in the brain; it emerges through distributed, embodied, and context-sensitive systems whose group patterns can guide science but cannot issue an individual verdict.

BA45 in Prefrontal Context

Pars triangularis of the inferior frontal gyrus



Location

Inferior frontal gyrus, classically pars triangularis; borders with BA44, BA46, and BA47 vary by atlas and individual anatomy.

Candidate roles

Controlled semantic retrieval and selection, language-mediated reappraisal, inner speech, and context-dependent inhibitory control.

Depression evidence

Emerging and indirect: EEG centrality, cortical-thickness, connectivity, rumination, and treatment-linked network studies.

Claim boundary

BA45 is not a depression centre. The gold patch is an approximate orientation on a stylised conceptual image, not a parcel-wise anatomical segmentation.

CHAPTER 8

BA45 and Semantic–Affective Gating

Brodman area 45 deserves attention for a narrow reason and restraint for a larger one. It lies at an interface among language, semantic control, selection, and broader executive processes—capacities relevant to how people construct and revise interpretations of self, event, and future. Several studies have also reported depression-related structural or network findings in inferior frontal territory that includes BA45. These lines of evidence justify a research question. They do not establish BA45 as a depression centre, a diagnostic marker, or a privileged causal origin of rumination.

The distinction is essential because a compelling narrative can outrun the data. Depression contains language: “I am a burden,” “nothing will change,” “this proves who I am.” Cognitive therapy often works through language. BA45 participates in semantic selection. It is tempting to place the entire phenomenon inside one cortical patch. But sentences are produced by distributed systems and situated lives. A belief recruits memory, bodily state, social evidence, affective salience, valuation, and action. BA45 may help select among meanings without storing the self, generating mood, or deciding what is true.

Flow Hijacked therefore introduces **Semantic–Affective Gating** as an explicit synthesis and hypothesis. It names the process by which candidate meanings acquire or lose access to working interpretation and action under the combined influence of semantic competition, affective salience, identity priors, bodily state, and control. BA45 is proposed as one possible node in this process, especially for language-mediated selection. The construct is not an accepted neuroscientific term, not a validated measure, and not evidence for a BA45-directed treatment.

8.1 Anatomy: pars triangularis and its BA44/46/47 borders

BA45 is a cytoarchitectonic territory in the inferior frontal gyrus. In the dominant hemisphere—usually, but not always, the left—it forms part of the classical Broca region together with BA44. Macroscopically it is often approximated by the pars triangularis, the triangular portion of inferior frontal cortex bounded by branches of the lateral sulcus. BA44 lies posteriorly in pars opercularis; BA47 and orbital inferior frontal cortex lie ventrally and anteriorly; middle frontal territories associated with BA9 and BA46 lie dorsally. These relations are useful landmarks, not exact borders.

Brodman areas were defined by cellular architecture, while “pars triangularis” is a gyral description. The two do not coincide perfectly. Probabilistic cytoarchitectonic maps show substantial interindividual variability, and group-average atlases assign a single label where the probability distribution is graded. Functional divisions cross these borders. A study reporting a pars triangularis effect in a FreeSurfer parcel has not necessarily isolated histological BA45; an EEG source reconstructed to a Brodman node has much coarser spatial certainty; a TMS electric field extends beyond the coordinate entered into the neuronavigation system.

Hemispheres also matter. Left inferior frontal cortex is strongly involved in language and semantic control for most people. Right inferior frontal territories are often studied in response inhibition, attention, prosody, social-emotional processing, and interactions with orbitofrontal and motor systems. This is a gradient, not a clean division. Language organization varies with handedness, multilingual experience, development, injury, and individual anatomy. A monolingual group-average map should not be treated as a universal diagram of meaning.

Connections make the area intelligible. Inferior frontal cortex communicates with posterior temporal and parietal semantic and language territories through dorsal and ventral pathways; with anterior temporal conceptual systems; with pre-supplementary motor and frontoparietal control regions; and with insular, cingulate, orbitofrontal, striatal, and motor systems. Different subregions and pathways support different aspects of selection, sequencing, articulation, inhibition, and control. BA45 is better imagined as situated at a busy interchange than as containing a storehouse of words.

This anatomical precision changes the visual language of the lecture. A highlighted patch should be labeled as an approximate atlas localization. It should show neighboring BA44, BA46, and BA47 and state the hemi-

sphere and view. It must not imply that a visible boundary exists on the living cortical surface or that the highlighted tissue is “where depression is.” The accompanying Flow Hijacked three-dimensional brain atlas is an educational systems model, not a patient scan, diagnostic device, or literal rendering of one person’s network.

8.2 Controlled semantic retrieval and selection

Semantic cognition requires both knowledge and control. Knowledge is distributed across modality-linked cortical systems and integrative hubs, particularly in temporal cortex. Control is needed when the strongest association is not the one relevant to the present context, when several meanings compete, or when weakly associated information must be retrieved deliberately. Left inferior frontal and posterior temporal systems are repeatedly implicated in that controlled use of meaning.

An influential debate asked whether left inferior frontal activity reflects controlled retrieval from long-term memory or selection among already activated alternatives. Thompson-Schill and colleagues emphasized selection under competition; later experiments attempted to hold retrieval demand relatively constant while changing selection demand and still found increased left inferior frontal recruitment (Thompson-Schill, D’Esposito, Aguirre, & Farah, 1997; Moss et al., 2005). Contemporary accounts are less compelled to choose one operation for the entire gyrus. Anterior and posterior gradients, task demand, connectivity, and temporal dynamics permit contributions to both controlled retrieval and selection.

Causal perturbation strengthens the general semantic-control claim while also exposing network compensation. In a 2025 within-subject study of 24 healthy adults, Martin and colleagues applied repetitive TMS to left anterior inferior frontal cortex centered in BA45, pre-supplementary motor area, both sites, or sham. Stronger modeled electric fields in left IFG were associated with slower semantic-fluency responses, while pre-SMA effects were more domain general; stimulation also spread beyond perfect parcel borders, and single-site perturbations could be partly compensated (Martin, Ferrante, Bruera, & Hartwigsen, 2025). This is evidence that left IFG contributes causally to semantic control in a specific healthy-volunteer paradigm. It is not a study of depression, self-criticism, or psychotherapy.

The distinction between storing and selecting meaning is clinically fertile. A depressed person may retain access to the proposition “people care about me” yet fail to select it as the contextually governing interpretation when a message is unanswered. The knowledge exists, but a competing meaning—“I have become intolerable”—arrives faster, carries more affective weight, matches a dominant identity prior, and recruits avoidance. A cognitive intervention need not install a fact that is absent. It may help a less dominant fact become retrievable, believable, and actionable under the relevant state.

That formulation remains distributed. The temporal cortex contributes conceptual knowledge; hippocampal and default systems supply autobiographical context; salience systems weight relevance; orbitofrontal and striatal systems estimate consequence; control systems maintain the task; bodily state changes urgency and cost. BA45 is one participant in resolving semantic competition, especially when the competition is expressed through language.

8.3 Inhibition, reappraisal, inner speech, and rumination

Inferior frontal cortex is also implicated in response inhibition, but this literature must not be compressed into the statement that the right IFG is “the brake.” Stop-signal performance engages right inferior frontal, pre-SMA, basal ganglia, motor, attentional, and sensory systems. Activation can reflect detection of a salient stop cue, updating, control, or outright stopping depending on design. As with semantic selection, local function is specified by network and task.

Reappraisal recruits overlapping but broader systems. To reinterpret an event, a person must generate an alternative, keep a goal active, select a useful construal, inhibit or contextualize a dominant construal, update affective meaning, and observe the result. Meta-analytic work implicates dorsolateral and ventrolateral prefrontal, parietal, temporal, cingulate, and subcortical regions; the exact pattern depends on whether reappraisal is self-generated, instructed, positive, distancing, or meaning-based (Ochsner et al., 2002; Buhle et al., 2014). BA45 is a plausible contributor to selecting among verbal meanings. It is not an affect-off switch.

Inner speech creates another bridge and another risk of overreach. Much human self-regulation is linguistically scaffolded: naming a feeling, rehearsing a rule, imagining a conversation, narrating causality, or addressing oneself from another perspective. Rumination often takes verbal form, but it can also be imagistic, sensory, or wordless. Left inferior frontal activity during a language task cannot therefore be assumed to measure a person's inner critic, and resting connectivity cannot reveal sentence content.

The clinically useful question is narrower: when several interpretations are available, what determines which one governs the next state? Depression may increase the accessibility and affective precision of self-critical meanings while reducing the accessibility or credibility of alternatives. Rumination repeatedly reactivates the dominant interpretation, strengthening retrieval fluency and making familiarity feel like truth. Sleep loss, pain, shame, and social threat can further reduce control and raise the salience of danger. The resulting semantic field is not empty; it is asymmetrically weighted.

CBT can be understood as changing this weighting without claiming a single cortical mechanism. Thought records, guided discovery, behavioral experiments, decatastrophizing, perspective shifts, and precise labeling create alternative interpretations and test their consequences. David Burns's accessible taxonomy of cognitive distortions can help people identify the operation by which one meaning became total. TEAM-CBT adds structured attention to empathy, motivation, resistance, and method selection. These practices may engage language and control systems, including inferior frontal cortex, but clinical efficacy does not demonstrate that BA45 mediates the effect. Psychotherapy changes a person–brain–body–relationship system; regional mediation requires direct longitudinal evidence.

8.4 Depression findings: EEG centrality, thickness, and connectivity

Three studies provide the most direct current foundation for placing BA45 on a depression research map. They use different modalities, hemispheres, parcels, and samples. Their convergence is therefore conceptual rather than a replicated biomarker.

First, Shim and colleagues recorded five minutes of eyes-closed resting EEG in 87 people with major depressive disorder and 58 controls, estimated cortical sources on a standard template, reduced them to 66 Brodmann-based nodes, and calculated frequency-specific network measures. In the alpha band, the MDD group showed lower global strength, clustering, and efficiency and longer path length. At the nodal level, estimated BA45 showed lower eigenvector centrality and clustering; inferior frontal clustering correlated negatively with depression and anxiety scale scores (Shim, Im, Kim, & Lee, 2018).

This is an intriguing network finding, not direct measurement of neural communication inside histological BA45. EEG source localization solves an inverse problem with nonunique solutions. The analysis used a standard head and cortical template, a coarse 66-node parcellation, selected artifact-free segments, and phase-locking estimates; volume conduction and model assumptions remain relevant. Most patients had begun medication by the EEG assessment, and the design was cross-sectional. The authors' "biomarker" language should be read as a research aspiration, not a clinically validated use.

Second, Wang and colleagues analyzed T1-weighted MRI from 31 Chinese adults with MDD and 30 controls. They reported lower cortical thickness in several regions, including left pars triangularis, left pars orbitalis, left rostral middle frontal, left supramarginal, right parahippocampal, lingual, fusiform, and inferior parietal cortex. Illness duration correlated with left rostral middle frontal thickness, not specifically pars triangularis (Wang et al., 2024). The study supplies a structural signal in a region approximating BA45, but its small, single-site, cross-sectional sample and multiple regional findings preclude specificity. Cortical thickness is a derived geometric measure influenced by acquisition, segmentation, age, and other factors; it does not measure semantic control.

Third, Rolls and colleagues examined voxel-level resting functional connectivity of orbitofrontal and inferior frontal cortex in 282 people with MDD, including 125 who were unmedicated, and 254 controls. The unmedicated group showed higher connectivity of right inferior frontal territory with lateral and medial orbitofrontal, cingulate, temporal, angular, precuneus, hippocampal, and frontal regions. Some inferior frontal and orbitofrontal connectivities in medicated participants were closer to control values, including findings involving right pars triangularis (Rolls et al., 2020).

This larger sample supports involvement of a right IFG–orbitofrontal network in the studied population. It does not establish that medication normalized a causal defect: medicated and unmedicated comparisons

were observational, treatment selection was not randomized, and connectivity is correlational. Nor is right pars triangularis interchangeable with the left-lateralized semantic-control account. The authors interpret right IFG in relation to inhibition, nonreward, and motor output; this may complement, but does not prove, Semantic–Affective Gating.

The studies do not all point to one direction of “activity.” One reports lower alpha-band graph centrality, another thinner cortex, and another higher resting connectivity. These are different measurements with no obligation to move together. A thinner parcel can show higher connectivity; a node can have lower clustering in one frequency while a BOLD correlation is higher with selected regions. Treating them as three votes for “underactive BA45” would be scientifically false.

Broader evidence supplies context rather than confirmation. Depression involves cognitive-control and affective networks; psychotherapy studies report changes in prefrontal, cingulate, insular, amygdala, striatal, and default-mode measures; dynamic network work links rumination to state occupancy and treatment-related change (Disner et al., 2011; Katayama et al., 2025). None currently demonstrates a necessary or sufficient BA45 mechanism of depression. Large benchmark analyses of imaging-based classifiers further warn that apparent regional signals do not yet yield robust person-level diagnosis (Winter et al., 2024).

The evidential conclusion is therefore graded:

- **Established outside depression:** BA45/left anterior IFG participates in controlled semantic retrieval and selection within a distributed network.
- **Supported but nonspecific:** inferior frontal systems participate in inhibition, reappraisal, language, and control, all relevant to depressive phenomena.
- **Emerging in depression:** several structural, EEG-network, and fMRI-connectivity studies report differences involving pars triangularis or BA45-labeled territory.
- **Flow Hijacked synthesis:** BA45 may contribute to Semantic–Affective Gating through which self-relevant meanings gain access to interpretation and action.
- **Not established:** BA45 is a depression centre, a diagnostic biomarker, a uniform treatment target, or the neural location of negative self-talk.

8.5 BA45 within salience, control, language, and orbitofrontal systems

Semantic–Affective Gating can be formalized without pretending it has already been measured. Suppose a situation evokes candidate interpretations $z_1, \dots, z_K$. Each candidate carries semantic fit s_i , current affective salience a_i , congruence with the self-model ι_i , remembered evidence e_i , expected action cost c_i , and control-dependent accessibility q_i . A selection model might take the form

$$\Pr(z_i | x_t) = \frac{\exp\{\beta_t (w_s s_i + w_a a_i + w_\iota \iota_i + w_e e_i - w_c c_i + w_q q_i)\}}{\sum_{j=1}^K \exp\{\beta_t (w_s s_j + w_a a_j + w_\iota \iota_j + w_e e_j - w_c c_j + w_q q_j)\}}.$$

The weights and inverse-temperature term β_t summarize state-dependent selection pressure. Under depression, negative interpretations may have greater affective salience and identity congruence, positive evidence may be down-weighted, control-dependent alternatives may be costly to retrieve, and selection may become rigid. The equation is a conceptual scaffold, not an estimator. It does not specify a unique neural implementation, and none of its terms can currently be read from BA45 activity.

BA45 enters as a candidate contributor to q_i : the controlled retrieval and selection needed when the useful meaning is weak or competitors are strong. Temporal systems supply much of the semantic content. Default-mode and hippocampal systems provide autobiographical and prospective context. Anterior insula and cingulate systems influence salience and control allocation. Orbitofrontal systems represent reward, non-reward, and outcome updating. Right IFG and pre-SMA contribute to stopping or changing action. Striatal and motor systems determine whether the selected meaning recruits behavior. Body and world alter every term.

This embedding prevents a homunculus error. BA45 does not inspect candidate sentences and choose the rational one. Distributed activity evolves under learned constraints. A self-critical interpretation may win because it is familiar, affectively charged, socially reinforced, and cheap to retrieve. A compassionate alternative may initially be semantically available but low in credibility because it has rarely been supported by

experience. Repeating it as an affirmation can therefore fail. **Therapeutic Vector-Field Reweighting** requires new retrieval practice, embodied tolerability, behavioral evidence, and relational confirmation.

The model also accommodates dual diagnosis. Drug-related relief cues can compress selection by rapidly resolving ambiguity: use promises a known state transition, while non-drug alternatives require effort and uncertain delivery. Shame after use strengthens identity-congruent meanings such as “I always fail,” which further reduce help-seeking. Semantic work that separates event from identity can reopen an option, but withdrawal treatment, sleep, contingency, social protection, and alternative reward must alter the rest of the field. Language alone cannot outvote a strongly coupled body–relief system.

8.6 Testable CBT and longitudinal predictions

A useful hypothesis risks being wrong. Semantic–Affective Gating should therefore generate predictions that can distinguish it from generic severity, expectancy, or whole-brain change.

The first prediction is process-specific and longitudinal. During CBT, within-person improvement in rumination, cognitive reappraisal, and the ability to generate context-appropriate alternative meanings may covary with reconfiguration of inferior frontal–temporal–control connectivity during semantic-competition tasks. The prediction is not that BA45 activation should simply increase. Efficient processing can produce lower activation; compensation can produce higher activation; different baselines may require different directions. The relevant measure is adaptive task modulation and network coordination.

The second prediction concerns temporal precedence. If BA45-related semantic gating is a mechanism rather than an epiphenomenon, early change in the specified task/network measure should predict later change in the corresponding cognitive process after controlling for baseline severity, sleep, medication, practice, motion, and nonspecific therapeutic alliance. A contemporaneous correlation at the end of treatment is insufficient.

The third prediction is one of specificity. A semantic-gating measure should relate more strongly to language-mediated reappraisal and verbal rumination than to psychomotor slowing, appetite, or nonverbal anhedonia, unless a broader control mechanism is demonstrated. Comparison tasks should separate semantic competition from generic difficulty, response inhibition, speech production, and motor speed. Neighboring BA44, BA47, middle frontal cortex, posterior temporal cortex, pre-SMA, and homologous right-hemisphere regions should be modeled rather than omitted.

The fourth prediction is atlas-sensitive by design. A robust claim should survive reasonable parcellations or explain why a probabilistic cytoarchitectonic BA45 definition performs differently from a gyral pars triangularis parcel. Individual functional localization should outperform a group coordinate if the mechanism is truly person specific. Laterality should be tested rather than assumed, and language history, handedness, and task language should be prespecified moderators.

The fifth prediction concerns treatment contrast. If change is tied specifically to semantic practice, a therapy with intensive cognitive reappraisal might alter the measure differently from behavioral activation that changes reinforcement with less explicit verbal restructuring. Medication or sleep treatment may nevertheless produce similar downstream change by increasing control capacity. Convergence would not invalidate the construct; it would imply that several routes can alter the same gating process. Randomization and repeated measurement are needed to separate route from outcome.

Falsification conditions are equally important. The BA45 component should be weakened if effects vanish under individual localization, fail across atlases, are fully explained by global signal or task difficulty, do not precede cognitive change, show no process specificity, or prove less reliable than measures from a broader network. The hypothesis should also be revised if people improve in rumination and reappraisal without any reproducible inferior frontal change across sufficiently powered designs. A Flow Hijacked concept earns its place by becoming more precise under contradiction, not by absorbing every result.

No validated clinical evidence currently supports using a BA45 scan to diagnose depression, choose CBT, predict an individual outcome, or persuade a person that their self-critical thoughts are located in damaged tissue (Winter et al., 2024; Wang et al., 2024; Katayama et al., 2025). Nor does the evidence support unsupervised stimulation marketed at this region. The clinical value today is conceptual: language is embodied, selection among meanings can become constrained, and treatment may restore access to interpretations that were present but unable to govern action.

BA45 is most interesting when it ceases to be a hero region. It then becomes what the anatomy and evidence allow: one variable node in a system through which words can narrow a world—and through which, under the right bodily, relational, and material conditions, words can help reopen it.

CORE SENTENCE

BA45 may help select among meanings, but depression belongs to no cortical parcel; Semantic–Affective Gating is a testable bridge between language and lived state, not a new localization myth.

CLOSING ORIENTATION**A region without reduction**

BA45 earns attention when a clearly specified task recruits controlled semantic retrieval, selection, language-mediated reappraisal, or context-sensitive inhibition. It does not earn the title of depression centre. The next responsible questions concern atlas definition, laterality, neighbouring BA44 and BA47, network coupling, treatment state, and replication. Clinically, the useful translation is not to infer a lesion from a thought. It is to notice when only one harsh meaning can be retrieved, then test whether safety, language, context, and practice can reopen semantic alternatives.

PART III

Reward, Time, and Dynamical Form

*The issue is not only what is valuable, but
whether value can recruit movement across time.*

CHAPTER 9

Reward, Effort, Anhedonia, and Future Credibility

Depression can leave pleasure intact in one instant and still dismantle the route by which pleasure governs a life. A person may recognize a beloved song, taste food accurately, smile at another person, or even feel a moment of warmth, yet remain unable to anticipate another such moment, begin the actions that might produce it, remember it as evidence, or let it alter tomorrow's choice. This is why *anhedonia* cannot be treated as a single dimmer switch. It is a family of failures and distortions distributed across time: wanting, effort allocation, learning, reception, memory, social participation, meaning, and the construction of a future that feels credible enough to act upon.

That distinction is both scientific and humane. If we say only that the person "lacks motivation," we risk turning a changed decision landscape into a judgment of character. If we say only that dopamine is low, we replace one oversimplification with another. Reward processing is implemented across heterogeneous neural, bodily, cognitive, interpersonal, and environmental systems. Dopamine contributes importantly to learning, cue-triggered pursuit, response vigor, and willingness to expend effort, but it is not a synonym for pleasure and it does not operate as an isolated chemical meter (Berridge and Robinson, 1998; Salamone and Correa, 2012; Schultz, 2016). Nor is a laboratory choice between small monetary outcomes an exhaustive model of affection, dignity, craft, responsibility, safety, or belonging.

The clinically serious question is therefore not merely, "Can this person still feel good?" It is: **At which transitions between possibility and lived value does the pathway become unreliable, under which states, and at what cost?** That question makes room for marked heterogeneity. One person may enjoy an activity once present but be unable to generate anticipatory pull. Another may want to begin and find the effort cost prohibitive. Another may experience a faint positive response that is immediately overwritten by self-criticism. Another may receive sensory pleasure but not social safety. Another may complete every obligation while the world beyond duty loses all motivational gravity. Equal outward behavior can conceal radically unequal control effort.

9.1 Anticipatory and consummatory pleasure

Reward unfolds as a sequence rather than an event. Before contact, a system must represent an outcome, estimate its value and likelihood, and mobilize approach. During contact, it must allocate attention, register sensory and affective consequences, and permit those consequences to become personally significant. After contact, it must consolidate what happened and update future policy. The familiar distinction between anticipatory and consummatory anhedonia captures only part of this sequence, but it is a useful beginning (Treadway and Zald, 2011; Der-Avakian and Markou, 2012).

Anticipatory pleasure is the prospective pull of an event. It appears as curiosity, readiness, imagery, bodily orientation, or a quiet sense that an action may be worth initiating. In depression, autobiographical knowledge can remain while motivational simulation weakens: "I know that walking used to help, but that knowledge has no force." The future is semantically available but affectively underpowered. Advice then fails not because the person lacks information, but because information is not being converted into sufficient expected value.

Consummatory pleasure concerns what is received during contact. It too is layered. There is sensory liking; reduction of threat; absorption; social connection; felt ownership of the moment; and the delayed after-effect by which the event changes sleep, willingness, or the next action. A single rating obtained immediately after a standardized stimulus cannot capture this full architecture. Meta-analytic and neuroimaging research supports alterations across several reward phases in major depression, while also revealing considerable heterogeneity and task dependence (Halahakoon et al., 2020; Borsini et al., 2020). Some people show relatively preserved in-the-moment liking with impaired anticipation or motivation. Others report muted contact. Still others show a discrepancy between laboratory performance and everyday life.

That discrepancy should not be treated as noise to be discarded. Everyday rewards are embedded in context. A meal can carry conflict; a meeting can require transport and disclosure; exercise can evoke pain; intimacy can activate threat; achievement can recruit perfectionism rather than satisfaction. A depressed participant may correctly rate a pleasant picture in a scanner while remaining unable to traverse the sequence that makes friendship reachable on a difficult evening. Ecological validity matters because the pathway into reward contains the very costs depression magnifies.

The Flow Hijacked formulation calls this a deformation of transition structure. Let the reward process for activity i be represented by a sequence

$$\mathcal{R}_i : \text{representation} \longrightarrow \text{anticipation} \longrightarrow \text{initiation} \longrightarrow \text{contact} \longrightarrow \text{updating} \longrightarrow \text{return}.$$

Each arrow is conditional on state. The same activity can be reachable after sleep and unreachable after a night of insomnia; meaningful with a trusted companion and threatening in an evaluative group; mildly rewarding at noon and impossible during withdrawal. The arrows are not decorative. They specify candidate failure points to assess. If anticipation is low but enjoyment after arrival is repeatedly better than predicted, treatment can reduce reliance on anticipatory feeling and use structured initiation. If contact remains emotionally inaccessible, reducing threat, pain, medication adverse effects, or divided attention may be more relevant. If a positive event occurs but is not remembered as evidence, explicit consolidation and later recall may matter.

This decomposition also protects the person from a cruel inference: that a brief laugh disproves depression. Preserved islands of responsiveness do not negate a system-wide disorder. They may instead identify viable entry points. Depression is not made unreal by variability; variability is part of its structure.

9.2 Effort cost, response vigor, and action initiation

Motivation is often spoken of as if it were fuel stored inside a personality. A more precise account treats action as a state-dependent comparison among expected benefit, effort, delay, uncertainty, social exposure, and opportunity cost. Let a denote an available action in state x_t . A minimal net-action value is

$$\mathcal{V}_t(a) = \mathbb{E}_t[R(a)] - \lambda_E(x_t)E(a) - \lambda_D(x_t)D(a) - \lambda_U(x_t)U(a) - \lambda_S(x_t)S(a) - \lambda_O(x_t)O(a),$$

where $R(a)$ is the multidimensional return; $E(a)$ is physical and cognitive effort; $D(a)$ is delay; $U(a)$ is outcome uncertainty; $S(a)$ is social or evaluative exposure; and $O(a)$ is opportunity cost. The state-dependent coefficients $\lambda_\bullet(x_t)$ express sensitivity to each cost. They are not moral traits. Pain can raise λ_E ; repeated disappointment can raise λ_U ; shame can raise λ_S ; scarcity can make delay objectively hazardous. An action is selected only if its value exceeds that of competing policies and crosses an initiation threshold.

Systematic reviews indicate that depression is associated, on average, with reduced willingness to expend effort for reward, especially in physical-effort paradigms, although effects vary across tasks and samples (Horne, Topp, and Quigley, 2021). The finding does not mean that depressed people do not care. A person can value an outcome and still be unable to mobilize the required response. Psychomotor slowing, fatigue, inflammation in a subgroup, sleep loss, medication effects, pain, anxiety, and pessimistic expectations can all alter the calculation. The visible behavior “did not begin” is therefore many possible mechanisms compressed into one observation.

Response vigor adds another distinction. Choosing an action and energizing it are not identical. In reinforcement-learning and effort-allocation models, tonic estimates of average reward rate can influence the opportunity cost of time and the speed with which behavior is executed. Dopaminergic systems contribute to aspects of vigor and effort, but causal interpretations in humans remain more complex than a single transmitter account (Niv et al., 2007; Salamone and Correa, 2012; Husain and Roiser, 2018). The practical implication is restrained: severe slowness can be a symptom, not a refusal; and a reasonable plan can fail because the motor, cognitive, or temporal cost of enacting it is too high.

The answer is neither exhortation nor the elimination of every demand. Repeated inactivity can further reduce contact, structure, circadian anchoring, mastery, and social feedback. The task is to find an activation dose that is large enough to generate information and small enough to remain executable. Define an action’s state-dependent feasibility margin by

$$M_i(t) = C_i(t) - K_i(t),$$

where $C_i(t)$ is available capacity and $K_i(t)$ is the total cost of initiating and sustaining action i . When $M_i(t) < 0$, insistence does not manufacture capacity. Treatment can act on both terms: improve sleep or pain and thereby increase C_i ; divide the task, arrange transport, reduce social ambiguity, or create a fixed appointment and thereby reduce K_i . The smallest useful action is not necessarily the smallest imaginable action. It is the smallest one that can produce a detectable state transition without predictably causing harm or collapse.

This is the **Navigability Margin** applied to reward. It asks how much reserve remains between current capacity and the demand required for safe movement. The margin changes over hours and across environments. A plan appropriate on a high-capacity day can become punitive when copied unchanged into a low-capacity state. Conversely, a low-energy route—opening a curtain, sitting beside another person, replying with one word, taking prescribed treatment, moving for two minutes—can preserve a bridge that would otherwise disappear.

An action-first approach must remain compassionate. “Act before motivation” is not permission to deny biology, danger, grief, or structural constraint. It is a bounded strategy for situations in which the forecasting system is an unreliable gatekeeper but a safe action remains feasible. It should be paired with assessment when anhedonia is severe, prolonged, medication-related, associated with bipolarity, substance withdrawal, psychosis, catatonia, or suicidal risk. A model of effort becomes ethical only when it distinguishes inability, danger, and unavailable resources from avoidable friction.

9.3 Prediction error, uncertainty, and reward learning

Reward is not only received; it teaches. In a simple temporal-difference model, the value $Q_t(s, a)$ of action a in state s is updated from the prediction error

$$\delta_t = r_t + \gamma \max_{a'} Q_t(s_{t+1}, a') - Q_t(s_t, a_t),$$

$$Q_{t+1}(s_t, a_t) = Q_t(s_t, a_t) + \alpha_t \delta_t,$$

where r_t is the observed outcome, $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ discounts later value, and $0 < \alpha_t \leq 1$ is a learning rate. This is a useful scaffold, not a full psychology. A positive prediction error means that an outcome was better than expected; a negative error means it was worse. Dopamine neurons have supplied influential evidence for quantitative reward-prediction-error signaling, while the human reward system includes multiple signals, timescales, and neural populations (Schultz, Dayan, and Montague, 1997; Bayer and Glimcher, 2005; Schultz, 2016).

Depression may alter several terms. Expected rewards may begin low; positive outcomes may receive less attention; negative outcomes may be weighted more strongly; learning rates may differ by valence; state representations may generalize disappointment too broadly; or outcome uncertainty may remain high despite repeated success. A valence-sensitive update makes the hypotheses explicit:

$$Q_{t+1} = Q_t + \begin{cases} \alpha_t^+ \delta_t, & \delta_t \geq 0, \\ \alpha_t^- \delta_t, & \delta_t < 0. \end{cases}$$

If α^+ is small and α^- large, good outcomes change the model slowly while disappointments deepen it quickly. Yet that pattern should not be presumed in every depressed person. Behavioral meta-analysis and computational work show reward-learning abnormalities, but tasks differ in reliability and results are not uniform (Huys et al., 2013; Pizzagalli, 2014). The clinically responsible move is to ask which predictions persist, what evidence updates them, and whether the asymmetry appears within this person rather than treating a group-average parameter as an individual fact.

Uncertainty requires equal attention. Suppose the return from activity i is modeled as a distribution with mean μ_i and variance σ_i^2 . A risk-sensitive valuation might be

$$\tilde{V}_i = \mu_i - \rho_t \sigma_i^2,$$

where ρ_t is state-dependent sensitivity to uncertainty. After repeated loss, chaotic caregiving, poverty, trauma, or unreliable services, discounting uncertain outcomes can be locally rational. The person may prefer a smaller but dependable state change to a larger promise with a history of non-delivery. That observation changes the therapeutic stance. Hope is not restored by increasing the advertised magnitude of the reward; credibility is restored by delivering smaller outcomes reliably.

Learning also depends on precision: how much confidence is assigned to prior belief versus new evidence. In Bayesian form,

$$p(\theta | y_{1:t}) \propto p(y_t | \theta) p(\theta | y_{1:t-1}),$$

where θ denotes an uncertain feature of the reward environment and y_t a new observation. If new positive evidence is treated as low precision—"that was an exception," "they did not mean it," "I was only pretending"—the posterior changes little. If a negative event is treated as highly diagnostic, pessimistic belief can become self-sealing. CBT, behavioral experiments, interpersonal repair, and repeated environmental reliability can all be understood partly as ways to generate evidence whose relevance and precision can be examined rather than dictated.

The aim is not to defeat a "negative brain" with positive thinking. Predictions often contain truth. Some relationships are unsafe; some work is exploitative; some treatments fail; some futures have been repeatedly broken. Learning becomes corrective when it improves calibration, not when it requires optimism. A compassionate reward model asks what the system learned, why that learning once made sense, and what new evidence could be strong, safe, and repeatable enough to justify revision.

9.4 Social and eudaimonic reward

Human reward is irreducibly social. Being recognized, trusted, useful, welcomed, forgiven, or able to contribute changes more than momentary pleasantness. Social contact regulates threat and physiology, supplies information about identity, and opens actions unavailable to an isolated person. Depression can disturb this field in both directions: the person reaches less, and invitations, feedback, patience, or material assistance may reach the person less often. Reduced reciprocal reach can then be misread as proof of unworthiness.

Social reward is not uniformly safe. Rejection sensitivity, shame, trauma, autistic masking, discrimination, coercive relationships, or repeated invalidation can make interaction costly. "Increase socializing" is therefore not a mechanism. The relevant dimensions include predictability, consent, role, sensory load, reciprocity, status threat, and whether the encounter allows authentic participation. One reliable person can provide more control than a crowded room.

Eudaimonic reward concerns meaning, coherence, contribution, integrity, and authorship. It can return before hedonic intensity. A person may not enjoy preparing a child's meal and still experience the act as congruent with who they intend to be. Someone may feel little pleasure during rehabilitation but recover a sense of competence through keeping an appointment. This does not romanticize suffering or make meaning a substitute for treatment. It recognizes that the value function of a life contains more than affective amplitude.

We can represent a multidimensional return vector

$$\mathbf{r}_i(t) = (r_i^{\text{hed}}, r_i^{\text{rel}}, r_i^{\text{mastery}}, r_i^{\text{meaning}}, r_i^{\text{safety}}, r_i^{\text{future}})^{\top},$$

and a state-dependent weighting vector $\mathbf{w}_i$. The scalar return used in a local decision is then $R_i(t) = \mathbf{w}_i^{\top} \mathbf{r}_i(t)$. The weights need not be fixed. During acute danger, safety may dominate. During a flat but stable phase, mastery or connection may provide the first nonzero slope. No clinician should impose a universal weight vector; the mathematics exists to preserve plurality, not erase it.

This broader account clarifies why an event can matter without feeling pleasurable. It may reduce next-day avoidance, make another call possible, strengthen identity continuity, or change what another person offers. The causal return is distributed through time and relationship. Conversely, an immediately pleasant action

can narrow tomorrow through sleep disruption, secrecy, debt, or cue sensitization. A serious reward account must therefore distinguish immediate effect from downstream reach.

9.5 Temporal discounting, prospection, and future-self continuity

Depression often changes the causal power of later time. A future may be imaginable as a proposition and still fail to influence the present because it feels too distant, uncertain, uncontrollable, or unrelated to the current self. Flow Hijacked calls this **Temporal Compression**: the future loses detail, ownership, credibility, and competitive value.

Conventional discounting represents the present value of a delayed outcome $R(\tau)$ as $D(\tau)R(\tau)$. An exponential kernel $D_E(\tau) = e^{-\rho\tau}$ has constant instantaneous discount rate. A hyperbolic kernel $D_H(\tau) = (1 + k\tau)^{-1}$ discounts steeply near the present and can generate preference reversal. These models are useful, but a fitted discount parameter can conceal different mechanisms. Delay, uncertain delivery, effort, state change, and lack of a route may all reduce choice of the later outcome.

To separate them, define the effective influence of policy π at horizon τ as

$$\Gamma_t^\pi(\tau) = D_t(\tau)S_t(\tau)C_t(\tau)G_t^\pi(\tau)P_t^\pi(\tau),$$

where D_t is temporal discount, S_t is continuity with the future self, C_t is credibility that the outcome or support will be delivered, G_t^π is perceived causal control under policy π , and P_t^π is the probability that a traversable route remains available. Each factor lies in $[0, 1]$ after appropriate normalization. A future return stream $r_t^\pi(\tau)$ then has effective value

$$\Phi_t(\pi) = \int_0^T \Gamma_t^\pi(\tau) \mathbb{E}_t[r_t^\pi(\tau)] d\tau - \mathcal{K}_t(\pi),$$

where $\mathcal{K}_t(\pi)$ collects immediate effort, risk, cognitive complexity, and switching cost.

The multiplicative form exposes bottlenecks. A meaningful future with $S_t \approx 0$ belongs to someone who does not feel like the current self. A credible outcome with $P_t^\pi \approx 0$ is a destination without a route. A reachable action with $C_t \approx 0$ is a promise the system expects to be broken. Raising nominal reward alone cannot repair these failures.

Define the **Recovery Decision Margin** between the best represented recovery-compatible policy and the strongest immediate-relief policy by

$$M_R(t) = \max_{\pi \in \Pi_R} \Phi_t(\pi) - \max_{\pi \in \Pi_I} \Phi_t(\pi).$$

When $M_R(t) > 0$, at least one recovery-compatible policy can win locally; when $M_R(t) < 0$, immediate relief dominates the represented choice set. This is not a verdict on values. The person may care deeply about health and family while every executable policy leading toward them is costly, vague, or delayed. Intervention can increase the margin by adding immediate support to Π_R , reducing route friction, making delivery more reliable, lowering physiological distress, or placing protective structure before a predictable period of reversal.

Episodic future thinking offers one route for strengthening later influence. Studies suggest that constructing specific future events can reduce delay discounting in some settings and that future-event specificity training can improve aspects of anhedonia (Peters and Büchel, 2010; Hallford et al., 2023). Yet the technique should not be prescribed as compulsory visualization. For someone in acute threat, forced future imagery can intensify grief or impossibility. Prospection becomes useful when the event is specific, believable, personally owned, and connected to a first action. Sometimes the necessary intervention is not richer imagination but a more reliable world.

9.6 Reward portfolios and the Reward Relearning Gradient

Early recovery often occupies a **Flat Valley**. Acute danger may have decreased, use may have stopped, treatment may have begun, and life may still feel unrewarding. The landscape is safer without yet being attractive. Calling this state failure can drive abandonment of a trajectory that is biologically and socially real before it is emotionally convincing.

The **Reward Relearning Gradient** names the direction in which contact begins to make later contact more likely. For activity i , let n index repeated, contextually comparable exposures and let $\mathbf{z}_i(n)$ collect anticipation, initiation probability, in-activity contact, delayed after-effect, meaning, connection, and willingness to repeat. A local gradient can be written

$$\text{RRG}_i(n) = \nabla_n \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{q}^\top \mathbf{z}_i(n)],$$

where $\mathbf{q}$ is a collaboratively chosen weight vector. In the simplest scalar case, $\text{RRG}_i > 0$ means that repeated contact is increasing expected value or reach even if current pleasure remains low. The construct is proposed, not a validated clinical score. Its purpose is to prevent immediate pleasure from monopolizing the definition of progress.

A reward portfolio contains routes with different mechanisms, costs, latencies, and failure modes: bodily regulation, quiet sensory contact, mastery, treatment, companionship, service, play, craft, nature, spirituality, learning, and rest. Diversity matters because dependence on one source creates fragility. Yet a long list is not necessarily a resilient portfolio. Ten activities that all require money, transport, high energy, and the same relationship can fail together. Portfolio quality depends on conditional accessibility and causal independence.

Let $\mathbf{B} = [\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_m]$ denote the directions in state space influenced by m available inputs. A portfolio becomes more useful when its columns affect distinct but complementary dimensions and remain available under different capacity states. This anticipates the controllability analysis in Chapter 12. In ordinary language, the person needs more than one door and more than one key. There should be something that can operate at very low energy, something relational, something embodied, something capable of producing mastery, and something connected to meaning. Redundancy is not waste; it is protection against the loss of a single actuator.

The reward-relearning programme has a modest logic. First, estimate rather than demand: predict effort and likely effect. Second, select a safe, small contact. Third, observe multiple outcomes rather than only pleasure. Fourth, preserve what changed long enough for learning to occur. Fifth, repeat or revise. The intervention succeeds when the world becomes more reachable, not when the person performs visible enthusiasm.

Several falsifiable claims follow. Immediate pleasure should not fully account for later willingness or function if RRG captures something real. Activity-specific changes in anticipation, after-effect, and willingness to return should predict subsequent participation beyond baseline severity. Reliable low-intensity rewards should sometimes improve the Recovery Decision Margin more than larger but uncertain promises. Portfolio diversity should moderate the effect of losing one role. These predictions may fail. RRG may add no information beyond established behavioral-activation measures, and its components may prove unreliable across cultures or disability contexts. If so, the construct should be revised or abandoned.

The clinical boundary is equally important. Reward exercises are not substitutes for differential diagnosis, medication review, sleep or medical assessment, protection from violence, treatment of substance withdrawal, or urgent response to suicidality. The point is not that every depression can be solved by doing small activities. It is that the sequence from possibility to value can be examined without blaming the person, and that treatment can enter at more than one point.

9.7 Measuring reward without turning life into a test

Measurement should distinguish process components while remaining light enough that experience is not converted into continuous examination. Established instruments such as the Snaith–Hamilton Pleasure Scale, Temporal Experience of Pleasure Scale, and Dimensional Anhedonia Rating Scale can contribute useful information, as can effort tasks and reinforcement-learning paradigms. No single measure captures the

pathway from anticipation through action to autobiographical meaning (Rizvi et al., 2016). Self-report, behavior, clinical observation, and everyday outcomes should be triangulated.

A low-burden activity record can preserve three moments: the prediction before action, the experienced and delayed effect, and willingness to repeat. The prediction should include effort as well as expected value. Afterward, inquiry can separate pleasure, relief, connection, mastery, meaning, and whether another action became easier. A minimal record for activity i at trial n is

$$\mathbf{m}_{i,n} = (\widehat{E}_{i,n}, \widehat{V}_{i,n}, E_{i,n}, V_{i,n}^0, V_{i,n}^+, W_{i,n+1}),$$

where $\widehat{E}$ and $\widehat{V}$ are predicted effort and value; E is experienced effort; V^0 is immediate effect; V^+ is a pre-specified delayed effect; and W is willingness to return. The prediction error can occur in effort as well as reward. Discovering that an action costs less than expected may be clinically valuable even when it does not yet feel pleasurable.

The assessment should also record context rather than attributing all variation to the person. Who was present? Was the activity chosen or imposed? Was pain elevated? Was the person masking or being evaluated? Did cost, transport, caregiving, or discrimination change access? Context can reveal that “anhedonia” is partly a mismatch between offered activities and the person’s values, culture, body, or safety.

Repeated measurement poses hazards. Rating each meal, conversation, or walk can intensify self-surveillance and prevent absorption. Low scores can become another verdict. A protocol should therefore have stopping rules and a clear information purpose. Sampling a few events for a short interval may be superior to permanent tracking. The person should control access to the record and be free to decide that the tool is no longer useful.

Finally, change in reward should not be the only outcome. Severe depression can improve in safety, sleep, self-care, reliability, and reciprocal reach before hedonic ratings move. A narrow reward endpoint can understate meaningful recovery, while an exclusive symptom endpoint can miss that life remains empty. The goal is not to optimize one number. It is to learn which conditions allow the person to meet the world and have the meeting alter what becomes possible next.

CORE SENTENCE

Anhedonia is not one absent feeling; it is a family of interrupted transitions, and recovery may first appear as a small increase in reach before it appears as pleasure.

One Person–World State, Seven Irreducible Coordinates

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = [b(t), n(t), c(t), i(t), r(t), w(t), f(t)]^T$$

Body

Sleep, pain, metabolism, immune and endocrine state, medication, movement, interoception.

Brain

Networks, gain, learning, control, salience, reward, memory, arousal.

Cognition

Attention, appraisal, rumination, prediction, problem solving, meaning.

Identity

Self-model, shame, authorship, commitments, biographical continuity.

Relationships

Attachment, belonging, conflict, care, co-regulation, reciprocal access.

World

Housing, work, debt, discrimination, services, culture, institutions.

Future

Prospection, credibility, delay, continuity, agency, reachable policies.

Not seven scores

A disciplined systems boundary: each coordinate is high-dimensional and none has permission to erase the person.

CORE SENTENCE

A whole-person model is not the claim that everything causes everything; it is a commitment to locate the active couplings, constraints, delays, and possible exits.

CHAPTER 10

Depression as a Complex Dynamical System

To call depression a complex dynamical system is not to say merely that it is complicated. “Complicated” means that many parts are present. “Complex” means that interactions among parts generate behavior that cannot be understood by listing the parts separately. Sleep changes attention; attention changes interpretation; interpretation changes action; action changes social response; social response changes stress physiology; physiology changes sleep. Memory and learning preserve consequences. Delays separate causes from visible effects. The environment is not a backdrop but a participant. Under these conditions, the same diagnosis can arise through different pathways, and the same intervention can have different effects depending on timing and state.

This perspective does not abolish diagnosis, neurobiology, cognition, or social explanation. It places them in relation. Major depression remains a clinically consequential syndrome requiring disciplined assessment. Dynamical language asks an additional question: **What keeps this configuration going, what can perturb it safely, and which routes are currently reachable?**

10.1 State variables, parameters, inputs, and observation

Let the person-in-context be represented by a latent state

$$\mathbf{x}_t = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{b}_t \\ \mathbf{n}_t \\ \mathbf{c}_t \\ \mathbf{i}_t \\ \mathbf{r}_t \\ \mathbf{w}_t \\ \mathbf{f}_t \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{X},$$

where $\mathbf{b}_t$ describes bodily and interoceptive conditions; $\mathbf{n}_t$, neural and neurocognitive activity; $\mathbf{c}_t$, cognition and affect; $\mathbf{i}_t$, identity and autobiographical organization; $\mathbf{r}_t$, relational state; $\mathbf{w}_t$, material and social world; and $\mathbf{f}_t$, represented future and reachable policies. Each block can itself be multidimensional. The vector is a coordinate system, not a claim that love, cortisol, housing, and attention share a unit or can be summed without a measurement model.

A general stochastic controlled system is

$$d\mathbf{x}_t = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}_t, \theta_t, \mathbf{u}_t, \mathbf{d}_t, t) dt + \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{x}_t, t) d\mathbf{W}_t, \quad (10.1)$$

where $\mathbf{F}$ is the drift field; θ_t contains slowly varying parameters; $\mathbf{u}_t$ contains deliberate or organized inputs such as psychotherapy, medication, contact, sleep scheduling, or material assistance; $\mathbf{d}_t$ contains disturbances such as loss, infection, conflict, pain, or withdrawal; $\mathbf{G}$ maps stochastic fluctuations into state coordinates; and $\mathbf{W}_t$ is a vector Wiener process. Equation (10.1) is a family of models, not one fitted model of depression. It becomes scientific only after variables, timescale, measurement, and competing specifications are declared.

The system is not observed directly. Data satisfy an observation model

$$\mathbf{y}_{t_k} = \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}_{t_k}) + \varepsilon_{t_k}, \quad (10.2)$$

where $\mathbf{y}_{t_k}$ may include self-report, behavior, sleep, actigraphy, speech, physiology, clinical observation, or social events, and ε_{t_k} contains measurement error and unmodeled variation. A questionnaire score is therefore neither the whole state nor a transparent window onto it. The same score may be produced by different combinations of insomnia, guilt, psychomotor change, pain, anhedonia, or concentration difficulty.

Parameters and states must also be distinguished. A state varies on the timescale of interest; a parameter shapes how states evolve. But the distinction depends on the observational window. Relationship trust may be a slow state across months and an effectively fixed parameter across an afternoon. Inflammation may fluctuate rapidly during infection and slowly in another context. Confusing state with trait can make a temporary narrowing appear like identity; confusing a slowly learned parameter with a momentary symptom can make removal of the original stressor seem sufficient when the landscape has already changed.

Inputs are equally plural. A medication is not a direct command to become well; it is a perturbation that may change plasticity, arousal, sleep, or symptom burden over time. Psychotherapy supplies structured experiences, attention, language, behavioral experiments, and relational safety. Housing assistance changes the feasible action set. A friend's call can be an exogenous input or part of a recurrent relational loop. The model must not place all agency inside the isolated person while treating every external constraint as noise.

10.2 Symptom networks and feedback loops

Traditional latent-variable models often treat symptoms as indicators of an underlying disorder. Network approaches add the possibility that symptoms interact causally: insomnia increases fatigue; fatigue reduces activity; inactivity reduces reward; low reward deepens hopelessness; hopelessness increases wakeful rumination (Borsboom, 2017; Fried et al., 2017; Robinaugh et al., 2020). The disorder can then be partly sustained by the network itself.

A local network model may be written

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = F_i \left(x_i(t), \sum_{j \neq i} a_{ij}(t) x_j(t), u_i(t), t \right) + \eta_i(t),$$

where $a_{ij}(t)$ expresses a directed influence from coordinate j to coordinate i . Direction matters. Rumination may impair sleep more strongly than sleep loss increases rumination for one person; the reverse may hold for another. Couplings can change with context. Alcohol withdrawal, grief, pain, hormonal transition, or shift work can reorganize the network.

Network language must nevertheless be disciplined. Cross-sectional correlations do not identify causal edges. Regularized networks can be unstable; centrality measures may not imply intervention leverage; and items can share wording or measurement method. A symptom node is not necessarily a mechanism. The value of the network view lies in the questions it forces: Which interactions are contemporaneous, which are delayed, which are common-cause effects, and which change within the person before meaningful transitions?

Feedback loops make persistence intelligible without implying permanence. Consider a simplified withdrawal and reward loop:

low anticipated value → less initiation → less environmental reward → stronger low-value prediction.

The loop is self-reinforcing, but not autonomous. Pain, transport, workplace conditions, stigma, and the responses of other people alter every transition. Breaking the loop at activity may help; so may treating pain, arranging childcare, improving sleep, changing medication, repairing a relationship, or reducing impossible demands. The dynamics warn against assuming that the most visible node is the only causal entry point.

The **Flow Map** is a clinical representation of these conditional transitions. It identifies states, inputs, recurrent loops, bottlenecks, protective routes, and timescales. The **Dynamical Deformation Taxonomy** asks how the flow is altered: compressed, rigid, turbulent, fragmented, over-coupled, under-responsive, or captured by a low-latency route. These are proposed organizing categories, not diagnostic codes. Their utility depends on whether they improve prediction and intervention choice beyond ordinary formulation.

10.3 Attractors, basins, barriers, and return paths

An attractor is a set toward which trajectories converge under specified dynamics. In clinical writing the term is often used loosely to mean “a bad state.” That is insufficient. An equilibrium $\mathbf{x}^*$ satisfies $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0$; a limit cycle, invariant manifold, or metastable region can also organize behavior. Depression may involve persistent configurations without being a single fixed point. Daily oscillations, episodic recurrence, and context-dependent remission require richer geometry.

The basin of attraction of $\mathcal{A}$ is

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}) = \{\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathcal{X} : \text{dist}(\varphi_t(\mathbf{x}_0), \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty\},$$

where φ_t is the deterministic flow. Basin depth is commonly depicted as a valley, but this visual can mislead. Many person–environment systems are non-gradient: no scalar potential $U(\mathbf{x})$ exists such that $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = -\nabla U(\mathbf{x})$. Rotational flows, delayed effects, time-varying inputs, and irreversible social consequences cannot be captured by a static landscape alone.

For noisy non-gradient systems, a quasipotential can sometimes summarize the exponential cost of rare transitions, but it is model-dependent and should not be mistaken for a literal energy landscape. If $d\mathbf{x}_t = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}_t) dt + \sqrt{\epsilon} \mathbf{G} d\mathbf{W}_t$, Freidlin–Wentzell theory associates a path action

$$S_{0T}[\phi] = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T (\dot{\phi} - \mathbf{F}(\phi))^T \mathbf{Q}(\phi)^{-1} (\dot{\phi} - \mathbf{F}(\phi)) dt,$$

with $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{G}\mathbf{G}^T$. The most probable escape path minimizes this action, subject to assumptions. Clinically, the formal lesson is that return need not follow the reverse of entry and that some transitions require coordinated inputs rather than one larger push.

Attractor language earns its place when it explains persistence, recurrence, or path dependence and generates measurements. It does not mean that the person is trapped by an immutable internal basin. Environments can move boundaries. New skills can alter vector fields. Medication can change parameters. Relationships can add stabilizing feedback. A basin can be partly maintained by poverty, violence, or exclusion; locating it only in the brain would be a category error.

10.4 Multiscale coupling and slow–fast dynamics

Depression unfolds across milliseconds, hours, days, developmental periods, and generations. Neural activity changes rapidly; arousal and affect vary over minutes; sleep and social rhythms organize days; habits, identity, and immune or metabolic conditions can change over months; institutions and material conditions persist for years. A single timescale model aliases these processes.

A slow–fast formulation separates rapidly changing state $\mathbf{x}$ from slowly evolving context or landscape $\mathbf{z}$:

$$\begin{aligned} d\mathbf{x}_t &= \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{z}_t, \mathbf{u}_t) dt + \mathbf{G}_x d\mathbf{W}_t^{(x)}, \\ d\mathbf{z}_t &= \epsilon \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{z}_t, \mathbf{u}_t) dt + \sqrt{\epsilon} \mathbf{G}_z d\mathbf{W}_t^{(z)}, \quad 0 < \epsilon \ll 1. \end{aligned} \tag{10.3}$$

The fast variables can include momentary affect, arousal, rumination, or urge; slow variables can include learned expectations, circadian phase, relationship trust, physical conditioning, debt, role loss, or inflammatory load in an appropriate subgroup. Repeated fast states change the slow variables through $\mathbf{g}$. The slow variables then reshape future fast responses. This is one formal route from episode to vulnerability.

Delays matter as well. A delayed differential equation

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}(t), \mathbf{x}(t - \tau), \mathbf{u}(t))$$

can oscillate or destabilize even when an instantaneous model would not. Sleep loss today can alter reward tomorrow; social withdrawal can change invitations over weeks; medication benefits and adverse effects may emerge on different schedules. Clinical interpretation that samples only immediate effect can therefore select the wrong mechanism.

Multiscale coupling makes two symmetrical errors visible. Biological reductionism mistakes one level for the whole. Purely narrative or social accounts can underweight bodily and neural dynamics that constrain what is possible in the moment. The Flow Hijacked position is neither compromise nor a list of “bio-psycho-social” factors. It is a causal claim: body, brain, cognition, identity, relationship, world, and future can change one another through directed, time-dependent couplings.

10.5 Within-person measurement and state estimation

Group averages are indispensable for estimating prevalence, treatment effects, and common mechanisms. They do not automatically specify the dynamics of one person. Between-person covariance can differ from within-person covariance; this is the problem of non-ergodicity in psychological science (Molenaar, 2004). If people differ in means, variances, and coupling structure, the “average person” may not be a valid dynamical individual.

Intensive longitudinal methods—ecological momentary assessment, sleep measurement, actigraphy, event records, and carefully chosen physiological signals—can estimate within-person change closer to the timescale at which it occurs (Myin-Germeys et al., 2018). Yet more data do not automatically create truth. Prompts can burden the person, alter attention, miss important contexts, or become surveillance. Passive signals are ambiguous: staying home can mean depression, disability, safety, rest, remote work, or privacy. Consent, data ownership, interpretability, and a right to stop are part of measurement validity.

A discrete state-space model is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_{k+1} &= \mathbf{F}_k \mathbf{x}_k + \mathbf{B}_k \mathbf{u}_k + \omega_k, & \omega_k &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{Q}_k), \\ \mathbf{y}_k &= \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{x}_k + \nu_k, & \nu_k &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{R}_k). \end{aligned} \quad (10.4)$$

If the assumptions are approximately linear and Gaussian, Kalman filtering yields a posterior state estimate. Nonlinear or non-Gaussian systems may require extended, unscented, particle, or sequential Monte Carlo methods. These methods should not be presented as clinical oracles. The posterior $p(\mathbf{x}_k \mid \mathbf{y}_{1:k})$ carries uncertainty; if the observations do not identify a coordinate, the model should say so.

Identifiability is central. Structural identifiability asks whether ideal noiseless observations could recover parameters uniquely. Practical identifiability asks whether available finite, noisy data can do so. If two mechanisms produce the same observed behavior, a richer verbal story does not distinguish them. For example, low participation may result from low expected reward, high effort cost, inaccessible transport, fear of evaluation, or all four. Perturbations, independent measurements, and longitudinal constraints are required.

The **Dynamical Flow Fingerprint** is a proposed within-person profile of coupling, variability, recovery time, state dependence, and response to safe perturbations. It is not a biometric identity or fixed essence. A useful fingerprint should change when the system changes, generalize to new observations, and provide information beyond ordinary symptom severity. If it merely redescribes a questionnaire in mathematical language, it has failed.

10.6 What a dynamical formulation adds to clinical care

A dynamical formulation changes several questions. Instead of asking only how severe symptoms are, it asks how they interact and how long disturbances persist. Instead of selecting an intervention by diagnosis alone, it asks which bottleneck currently controls reach. Instead of interpreting recurrence as return to zero, it asks what slow variables were never restored. Instead of demanding motivation, it asks which route is executable under the present state.

The formulation can be summarized by six disciplined tasks:

1. Define the system boundary without isolating the person from body and world.
2. Separate states, parameters, inputs, disturbances, and observations.
3. Specify the relevant timescales and delays.
4. Identify reinforcing loops and conditional bottlenecks.
5. Estimate uncertainty and rival explanations.
6. Choose the smallest safe intervention capable of producing informative change.

This is not a replacement for established care. Diagnosis, safety assessment, treatment guidelines, medical evaluation, and shared decision-making remain indispensable. Dynamics adds a grammar for sequencing and interaction. A person may need antidepressant treatment, psychotherapy, substance-use care, sleep intervention, pain management, and housing support; the dynamical question is how these inputs alter one another and in what order they become usable.

The framework also generates falsifiers. If time-varying and interaction models do not predict meaningful transitions better than simpler severity models, added complexity is unjustified. If person-specific couplings fail to replicate under out-of-sample observation, they should not guide treatment. If the Flow Map does not improve formulation, alliance, or decision quality, it is merely elaborate notation. Complexity is not a license to make every outcome retrospectively explainable.

The deepest contribution is ethical. A dynamical account can remove blame without removing agency. It says that action arises from a changing field of capacities, costs, histories, and relationships. Agency is not denied; it is made more realistic. Treatment seeks conditions under which authorship can operate again.

10.7 A worked formulation: one presentation, several dynamical accounts

Consider a composite presentation: worsening concentration, early waking, missed work, reduced contact, guilt, and declining appetite over six weeks. A severity score can establish burden and track change, but it does not identify the generating process. Several dynamical accounts remain plausible.

In one account, a major loss increases nocturnal rumination; reduced sleep then raises daytime threat sensitivity, which intensifies rumination. Here sleep and perseverative cognition form the dominant loop. In a second, chronic pain increases effort cost, leading to withdrawal from movement and contact; reward and efficacy then fall. In a third, a new medication or medical condition contributes directly to appetite, energy, and cognitive change. In a fourth, mixed activation, reduced need for sleep earlier in the course, and episodic history suggest bipolar-spectrum depression, changing treatment implications. In a fifth, escalating alcohol use temporarily suppresses distress while worsening sleep and mood, creating coupled capture. In a sixth, workplace threat and impending eviction make concentration and future planning difficult because the environment remains dangerous.

The observations overlap; the intervention sequence should not. A dynamical formulation therefore produces a set of rival models $\mathcal{M}_1, \dots, \mathcal{M}_K$, each with likelihood $p(\mathbf{y} \mid \mathcal{M}_k)$, prior plausibility, and expected consequences of possible actions. Bayesian model comparison can be written

$$p(\mathcal{M}_k \mid \mathbf{y}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{y} \mid \mathcal{M}_k)p(\mathcal{M}_k)}{\sum_j p(\mathbf{y} \mid \mathcal{M}_j)p(\mathcal{M}_j)}.$$

Clinical reasoning is not reducible to this equation, but the equation enforces humility: evidence redistributes confidence among alternatives; it does not prove the most narratively attractive story. Medical assessment, longitudinal history, collateral information with consent, and response to carefully chosen interventions can improve discrimination.

The first intervention can also serve as a safe probe. If improving sleep opportunity changes concentration and rumination, the result informs the model without establishing a single cause. If pain treatment restores activity before mood improves, that temporal order matters. If withdrawal symptoms track alcohol reduction, substance-related dynamics require direct care. If severe self-neglect or suicidal intent appears, safety overrides the elegance of model comparison.

The worked example shows why the system boundary is ethical. A formulation restricted to thoughts may miss pain or bipolarity. A formulation restricted to the brain may miss eviction. A formulation restricted to social context may miss a treatable endocrine or medication contribution. Holism is not saying that everything causes everything. It is keeping enough of the causal field visible to avoid preventable error, then simplifying only when evidence supports the simplification.

CORE SENTENCE

Depression is not one broken part but a changing person–body–world system whose interactions can narrow life, preserve suffering, and also provide more than one route back.

CHAPTER 11

Nonlinearity, Thresholds, Hysteresis, and Early Warning

Human suffering is often narrated as though change should be proportional: a little more stress should produce a little more difficulty, and a little more support should produce a little more improvement. Many processes do behave approximately this way over limited ranges. But depression also contains interactions, saturation, thresholds, feedback, and path dependence. A small additional demand can have almost no visible effect on one day and precipitate collapse on another. Weeks of careful work can seem to produce no emotional reward, followed by a meaningful change in function. The removal of an initiating stressor may fail to restore the earlier state because sleep, habit, expectation, physiology, relationships, or material consequences have changed in the meantime.

Nonlinearity does not mean unpredictability, chaos, or dramatic transition in every case. It means that the effect of an input depends on state and that superposition fails. If F is nonlinear, then generally

$$F(\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2) \neq F(\mathbf{x}_1) + F(\mathbf{x}_2), \quad F(c\mathbf{x}) \neq cF(\mathbf{x}).$$

In ordinary language, sleep loss plus shame may be more consequential than the sum of each in isolation; a supportive call may matter only after pain has been treated; the same behavioral task can be activating at one level of energy and overwhelming at another. Nonlinearity is therefore a discipline of timing and interaction, not a poetic synonym for complexity.

11.1 Nonlinearity and interaction

Consider a scalar symptom or capacity coordinate $x(t)$ governed locally by

$$\dot{x} = f(x, u),$$

where u is an input or control parameter. A first-order Taylor approximation near x^* is

$$\dot{\xi} = a\xi + b\delta u + \mathcal{O}(\|\xi\|^2 + |\delta u|^2), \quad \xi = x - x^*.$$

The linear term may describe small perturbations well. Nonlinear terms become decisive farther from the reference state, near saturation, or near a change in stability. This boundary matters clinically. A linear approximation can support short-horizon estimation without claiming that a life is linear. Equally, invoking nonlinearity does not excuse an unfitted model. One should demonstrate that nonlinear terms improve prediction or explanation at the scale of interest.

A simple interaction model for withdrawal w , sleep disruption s , and rumination r might contain

$$\dot{r} = \alpha r - \beta r^3 + \gamma_s s + \gamma_w w + \gamma_{sw} sw - \kappa u_r,$$

where γ_{sw} captures a joint effect not reducible to separate additive terms, and u_r is a regulatory input. The cubic term prevents unbounded growth in this illustrative equation. Its coefficients cannot be inferred from a diagram; they require data. Yet the form forces useful comparisons: Is the sleep–withdrawal interaction necessary? Does the coupling change across abstinence? Does treatment reduce γ_s , increase κ , or alter the baseline state?

Nonlinearities can also arise from capacity limits. Suppose cognitive control resource c is recruited against load ℓ according to a saturating Hill function

$$R(c) = R_{\max} \frac{c^n}{K^n + c^n}.$$

Below K , small increases in capacity may have limited visible effect; near K , they can change regulation substantially; above saturation, more effort yields little additional control. The exponent n determines steepness. Such equations are not established laws of depression. They show why the same intervention dose can have different marginal effects across states and why “more” is not automatically better.

The **Navigability Margin** can be formalized as distance to a constraint or unsafe boundary. Let $\mathcal{S}_t \subset \mathcal{X}$ denote the currently viable region and let $d_{\mathcal{S}_t}(\mathbf{x})$ be signed distance, positive inside and negative outside. Define

$$\mathcal{M}_N(t) = d_{\mathcal{S}_t}(\mathbf{x}_t).$$

As $\mathcal{M}_N \downarrow 0$, smaller perturbations can leave the viable region. But the boundary itself can move: loss of income, medication interruption, an unsafe home, or the arrival of reliable care alters $\mathcal{S}_t$. This is why resilience cannot be located solely inside an individual. The margin is jointly produced by capacity and environment.

11.2 Bifurcation and threshold crossing

A bifurcation is a qualitative change in the structure or stability of solutions as a parameter changes. The canonical saddle-node normal form is

$$\dot{x} = \mu - x^2. \quad (11.1)$$

For $\mu > 0$, equilibria occur at $x_{\pm} = \pm\sqrt{\mu}$; $x_+ = \sqrt{\mu}$ is stable because $f'(x_+) = -2\sqrt{\mu} < 0$, while $x_- = -\sqrt{\mu}$ is unstable. At $\mu = 0$, they collide. For $\mu < 0$, no equilibrium remains in this local normal form. A gradual change in μ can therefore produce an abrupt transition.

The equation is not a diagnostic model. It teaches three principles. First, the observed state can change little while stability is weakening. Second, the same disturbance has greater effect near the boundary. Third, a transition can occur without a singular external catastrophe; accumulated parameter change can remove the previous regime.

In depression, a candidate control parameter might summarize effective load relative to regulatory capacity, but no universal scalar μ has been established. The parameter can combine sleep, pain, loss, social threat, physiological disturbance, or withdrawal differently for different people. A scientifically defensible application must specify the measured parameter and compare the bifurcation model with continuous, regime-switching, and ordinary autoregressive alternatives.

Noise complicates the picture. With

$$dx_t = (\mu - x_t^2) dt + \sigma dW_t,$$

a transition can occur before the deterministic bifurcation because fluctuations cross the shrinking barrier. Conversely, strong time-dependent forcing can create an abrupt observed change without any loss of local stability. The fact that symptoms changed suddenly does not prove a tipping point.

The **Possibility Compression Cascade** places threshold crossing in a broader proposed sequence. Distributed loading can increase coupling and reduce reserve; particular perturbations may be amplified; recurrent feedback can cross into sustained rumination, withdrawal, sleep disruption, psychomotor slowing, or reward collapse; and subsequent learning and social consequences can rewrite the landscape. The ordering is a hypothesis. People need not traverse every stage, and threshold-like transitions may be absent in many episodes.

11.3 Hysteresis and why reversal is not rewinding

Hysteresis means that the current state depends on history, not only the current input. One illustrative potential is

$$U(q; a, h) = \frac{q^4}{4} - \frac{aq^2}{2} - hq, \quad a > 0,$$

with stochastic gradient dynamics

$$dq_t = -\frac{\partial U}{\partial q}(q_t; a, h) dt + \sigma dW_t = (-q_t^3 + aq_t + h) dt + \sigma dW_t. \quad (11.2)$$

For a range of the tilt parameter h , two stable wells coexist. Slowly increasing h can preserve one state until a fold is crossed; decreasing h does not force return at the same value. Entry and exit thresholds differ.

This geometry clarifies why removing the precipitating event may not restore the prior life. Months of insomnia can change rhythms; avoidance can reduce skill and reward; absence can change employment or relationships; shame can become autobiographical; substance use can create withdrawal and cue learning; chronic stress can alter bodily regulation. The initiating load has disappeared, but the parameters and available actions are no longer the same.

Hysteresis also changes the interpretation of treatment intensity. More support may be needed to enter a viable region than to remain there. Once sleep stabilizes, routines become learned, trust is rebuilt, and ordinary rewards gain predictive value, a lower level of external input may maintain recovery. That asymmetry is not proof of dependence or evidence that earlier support was excessive. It can be a property of path-dependent systems.

The **Attractor Revision Corridor** names the interval during which enough support, repetition, and safety are present for a new trajectory to become self-maintaining. Formally, let $\mathcal{V}$ be a viable region and π_u an intervention policy. A corridor over horizon T can be defined as a tube of states

$$\mathcal{C}_{0:T}(\pi_u) = \{\mathbf{x}_t : \Pr(\mathbf{x}_s \in \mathcal{V} \forall s \in [t, T] \mid \mathbf{x}_t, \pi_u) \geq 1 - \alpha\},$$

for a specified tolerance α . The construct is proposed, not validated. It shifts attention from a single breakthrough to the protected period in which change consolidates. A powerful intervention without sleep, follow-up, or social continuity may move the state briefly but fail to revise the landscape.

Not all persistence is hysteresis. A stressor may still be present; a treatment may be ineffective; the diagnosis may be wrong; or an unmeasured medical process may continue. Hysteresis is one rival explanation, not a universal answer.

11.4 Critical slowing down and rising autocorrelation

Near some local losses of stability, a system returns more slowly after perturbation. Linearize a scalar stable mode around equilibrium:

$$d\xi_t = -\kappa\xi_t dt + \sigma dW_t, \quad \kappa > 0. \quad (11.3)$$

This Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process has recovery time

$$T_{\text{rec}} = \kappa^{-1},$$

stationary variance

$$\text{Var}(\xi) = \frac{\sigma^2}{2\kappa},$$

and lag- Δ autocorrelation

$$\rho(\Delta) = e^{-\kappa\Delta}.$$

As $\kappa \rightarrow 0^+$, return becomes slower, variance rises, and adjacent observations become more similar. These are canonical early-warning indicators of **critical slowing down** (Scheffer et al., 2009; Scheffer et al., 2012).

The clinical translation is intuitively compelling: an ordinary conflict that once affected mood for two hours now changes sleep, withdrawal, and future belief for three days. A small perturbation leaves a longer tail. In some systems this increasing return time can precede transition.

Research has explored these ideas in depression and related trajectories. Van de Leemput et al. (2014) reported higher autocorrelation among affective states in people closer to transitions, using population and repeated-measure data. Wichers and colleagues (2016) presented intensive person-specific work suggesting early-warning value. In adolescents at increased risk for mental disorder, Kuranova et al. (2020) tested whether slower recovery of momentary affect after ordinary daily stressors predicted greater symptom increase over one year; this prospective result concerns recovery dynamics and broad psychopathology, not prediction of remission from depression. Subsequent work has produced both promising and mixed findings, including efforts to detect recurrence with intensive affective data (Smit et al., 2025). The evidence warrants investigation, not individual prophecy.

The mathematical conditions are restrictive. Critical slowing is expected near particular local bifurcations under sufficiently slow parameter drift, approximately stationary noise, an observable mode aligned with the destabilizing direction, and sampling adequate to estimate the change. Depression data routinely violate these assumptions. Treatment can change the forcing while observations are being collected. Sampling intervals are irregular. Self-report error can be autocorrelated. Circadian and weekly cycles can mimic persistence. A time-varying mean can inflate variance. A person may transition through a mechanism that does not generate standard warning indicators, or the destabilizing variable may not be measured.

Helmich and colleagues (2024) therefore argue for a deliberate slowing of claims about early-warning signals in psychopathology. Several difficulties deserve explicit treatment:

- **Low sensitivity.** Many genuine transitions may not be preceded by detectable signals.
- **Low specificity.** Rising autocorrelation or variance can occur without an impending transition.
- **Indicator flexibility.** Choosing variables, windows, detrending methods, and lags after seeing results inflates apparent success.
- **Transition definition.** A change in a questionnaire score is not automatically a dynamical regime shift.
- **External forcing.** Sudden events or interventions can drive change without endogenous critical slowing.
- **Finite samples.** Reliable within-person estimation may require more observations than are tolerable or available.
- **Actionability.** A warning is clinically useful only if it leads to safe, acceptable, effective support.

These are not footnotes. They determine whether the method helps or harms.

11.5 Evidence, failed predictions, and methodological fragility

Early-warning research is particularly vulnerable to hindsight. Once a transition is known, it is easy to locate a preceding fluctuation and narrate it as a signal. Prospective work should preregister the transition criterion, observation variables, window length, detrending procedure, alarm threshold, and response. Performance must be reported with sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, calibration, and false-alarm burden—not only an average association.

Base rates matter. Even a seemingly accurate detector can produce many false alarms when the target event is uncommon. Let T denote transition and A an alarm. Bayes' theorem gives

$$\Pr(T | A) = \frac{\Pr(A | T) \Pr(T)}{\Pr(A | T) \Pr(T) + \Pr(A | -T) \Pr(-T)}.$$

If $\Pr(T)$ is small, modest false-positive rates can dominate. In mental health, false alarms are not neutral. They can increase anxiety, expose private data, trigger coercive responses, or teach a person that ordinary fluctuation is dangerous. Missed alarms can create false reassurance.

Competing models must also be tested. A hidden Markov model can generate regime changes without critical slowing. A change-point model may identify abrupt shifts without a bifurcation. Time-varying autoregression may describe changing persistence without claiming a tipping mechanism. Forecast comparison should occur out of sample and preferably across independent cohorts, while allowing person-specific parameters.

The PCC makes a stronger longitudinal claim than “symptoms interact.” It proposes an ordering from distributed load through candidate non-normal transient amplification and nonlinear threshold crossing to landscape rewriting, reciprocal reach collapse, and coupled capture. The claim is falsified or narrowed if the ordering is absent, if simpler models predict as well, if reach adds nothing beyond conventional function and severity, or if constructs cannot be measured reliably across languages, cultures, disability, and socioeconomic settings.

11.6 Safe use of early-warning ideas in care

At present, early-warning concepts should support collaborative inquiry, not automated decisions. A humane question is, “Are you taking longer to recover from ordinary disturbances?” The answer can prompt a review of sleep, pain, medication, substance use, social contact, workload, or safety. It should not announce that relapse is inevitable.

Monitoring should be sparse enough to preserve life. The person should help choose what is observed, who can see it, what an alert means, and how it can be withdrawn. Data collection without an accessible response pathway merely transfers anxiety into a dashboard. A useful warning protocol contains a pre-agreed, proportionate action: more contact, clinical review, temporary reduction of load, sleep protection, or urgent assessment when risk indicators require it.

The most defensible current use is not prediction of an exact transition date. It is sensitivity to changing recovery time and loss of reserve. A trend can be treated as a reason to ask better questions while retaining the possibility that it is noise. Compassion and statistical restraint converge here: the signal belongs to a living person who must not be punished for variability.

11.7 A prospective research programme for thresholds and return paths

A rigorous study of threshold dynamics should begin before the transition is known. Participants would select a small set of low-burden variables spanning affect, sleep, action, bodily state, and reciprocal reach. Sampling frequency would be chosen from the fastest hypothesized coupling rather than convenience alone. External events, treatment changes, substance use, menstrual or hormonal context where relevant, illness, pain, and major material disturbances would be recorded to distinguish endogenous slowing from forced change.

Competing models would be declared in advance: a continuous linear state-space model, a nonlinear model with smooth saturation, a switching model, and one or more bifurcation models. A “transition” would require a prespecified sustained change in function or syndrome state, not a visually striking curve. Each method would produce prospective probabilities. Evaluation would use temporally held-out data and participant-level calibration.

The PCC yields several linked predictions. First, the perturbation response should become larger or longer before some—but not all—threshold crossings. Second, the pathway out should depend on slow variables changed during the episode; removal of the initial load should often be insufficient. Third, reciprocal reach may begin to recover before global mood, particularly when material and relational actuators are restored. Fourth, early restoration should be more durable when the intervention remains active across the Attractor Revision Corridor rather than stopping at the first symptom improvement.

These predictions require disconfirmation criteria. Evidence against the programme would include no added forecast value beyond mean severity and ordinary recent change; no reproducible difference between entry and exit paths; no temporal precedence of reach or return-time measures; or results that depend on one arbitrary window. Harm and burden are outcomes too. If intensive monitoring increases anxiety, shame, compulsive checking, or disengagement, that cost must be included in utility rather than hidden behind statistical accuracy.

Lived-experience governance is indispensable. Participants should help decide which transitions matter, which alerts are acceptable, and what counts as benefit. An algorithm that predicts a score but fails to anticipate loss of self-care, relationship rupture, or inability to seek help may be mathematically respectable and clinically irrelevant. Conversely, a simple observation—“ordinary setbacks are lasting longer”—may be valuable even when no bifurcation parameter can be identified.

CORE SENTENCE

The path into depression and the path out need not be mirror images; thresholds, slow variables, and history can make recovery require protection long enough for a different landscape to become real.

CLOSING ORIENTATION**Transition without prophecy**

Thresholds, hysteresis, and critical slowing are rival, testable models—not dramatic names for every change in mood. A serious claim specifies the variable, timescale, perturbation, competing model, and out-of-sample prediction. A humane application acts before certainty when safety requires it: preserve sleep, reduce withdrawal risk, keep contact reachable, and protect the consolidation window after improvement. The value of nonlinear thinking is practical precision: the same input can have different effects in different states, and the route out need not retrace the route in.

Stable Modes Can Still Produce Dangerous Transient Gain

$$d\xi_t = A(t)\xi_t \, dt + B(t)\mathbf{u}_t \, dt + \Sigma(t) \, d\mathbf{W}_t, \quad AA^* \neq A^*A$$

$$G_{\max}(t_0, T) = \max_{0 < \tau \leq T} \|\Phi(t_0 + \tau, t_0)\|_2^2 = \max_{0 < \tau \leq T} \sigma_{\max}^2(\Phi(t_0 + \tau, t_0)).$$

	Normal operator	Non-normal operator
Eigenvectors	Can be chosen orthogonal.	May be strongly non-orthogonal.
Spectrum	Often informative about finite-time decay.	Can conceal large finite-time amplification.
Key quantity	Eigenvalue real parts.	Singular-value gain, numerical abscissa, pseudospectrum, resolvent.
Clinical proposal	Ordinary recovery/decay after perturbation.	A particular aligned perturbation may grow before decay or threshold crossing.
Evidential status	Mathematical property.	Mathematical and neural-network mechanism; not established as a property of depression.

DECISIVE BOUNDARY Turbulence, metastability, nonlinearity, and non-normality are not synonyms. A depression model earns the non-normal label only if estimated non-orthogonal coupling improves preregistered out-of-sample prediction over equally flexible alternatives.

CORE SENTENCE

A system can look stable on average and still amplify the wrong perturbation at the wrong time.

CHAPTER 12

Highly Non-Normal Dynamics and Transient Amplification

Some systems look stable when judged by their eventual behavior and remain capable of extraordinary short-term amplification. A perturbation begins small, aligns with the system's interacting modes, grows before it decays, and crosses a nonlinear threshold during the interval of growth. By the time the system's asymptotic stability becomes relevant, the landscape has changed.

This chapter develops **non-normal dynamics** as a precise candidate mechanism for such episodes. The word “candidate” is essential. Non-normality is established and important in fluid mechanics, control theory, recurrent neural networks, and other fields (Trefethen et al., 1993; Schmid, 2007; Trefethen and Embree, 2005). Selective transient amplification has plausible neural implementations (Murphy and Miller, 2009). Recent whole-brain work in depression has examined turbulent-like dynamics and treatment responsiveness (Escrichs et al., 2025). But direct evidence that depression as a clinical disorder is **highly non-normal** has not been established. Turbulence is not non-normality. Dynamic functional connectivity is not non-normality. A large response to stress is not, by itself, non-normality. The proposal must be tested against simpler normal, nonlinear, switching, latent-input, and measurement-error models.

Why include the hypothesis at all? Because it isolates a scientifically meaningful possibility hidden by ordinary stability analysis. The hypothesis is not that depressed people are inherently unstable. It is that, for some person–state configurations, directed couplings among sleep, threat, rumination, pain, withdrawal, social response, and future representation may create directions of short-term gain that eigenvalues alone cannot reveal. If this is true, prevention depends not only on reducing average symptom severity but on identifying high-gain alignments, interrupting their sequence, and increasing safe routes before a transient crosses a boundary.

12.1 Normal versus non-normal operators

Linearize the dynamics around a reference state or trajectory. For a time-invariant system,

$$\dot{\xi}(t) = \mathbf{A}\xi(t), \quad \xi(t) = e^{\mathbf{A}t}\xi(0), \quad (12.1)$$

where $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is a perturbation and $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is the local coupling operator. The conjugate transpose is $\mathbf{A}^*$. The operator is **normal** when

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^* = \mathbf{A}^*\mathbf{A},$$

and **non-normal** otherwise. A normal matrix is unitarily diagonalizable; its eigenvectors can be chosen orthonormal. In that case, modal contributions do not gain energy merely by becoming aligned.

For a stable normal matrix under the Euclidean norm, the propagator obeys

$$\|e^{\mathbf{A}t}\|_2 = e^{t\alpha(\mathbf{A})}, \quad \alpha(\mathbf{A}) = \max_{\lambda \in \Lambda(\mathbf{A})} \operatorname{Re} \lambda,$$

so $\alpha(\mathbf{A}) < 0$ implies monotone decay of the maximum norm. For a non-normal matrix, all eigenvalues can lie strictly in the left half-plane and $\|e^{\mathbf{A}t}\|_2$ can nevertheless exceed one over a finite interval. Asymptotic stability and finite-time safety are different questions.

A two-dimensional example makes the mechanism visible:

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} -a & K \\ 0 & -b \end{bmatrix}, \quad a, b > 0, \quad (12.2)$$

with eigenvalues $-a$ and $-b$. If $a \neq b$,

$$e^{\mathbf{A}t} = \begin{bmatrix} e^{-at} & K \frac{e^{-bt} - e^{-at}}{a - b} \\ 0 & e^{-bt} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (12.3)$$

The off-diagonal term can become large when K is large, even though both modal exponentials decay. A perturbation initially concentrated in the second coordinate drives the first before both disappear. The gain is produced by directed coupling and non-orthogonal geometry, not by a positive eigenvalue.

The clinical analogy should be kept exact. Suppose coordinate two describes sleep disruption and coordinate one a composite of rumination and threat. Both might be self-damping in a local model, while sleep strongly drives rumination over the following day. If the perturbation aligns with this direction, the combined state can grow transiently. That would be evidence for non-normality only if a fitted directed operator predicts the response better than alternative models—not because the narrative sounds plausible.

Non-normality is norm-dependent in its practical interpretation. Rescaling variables changes Euclidean geometry. Hours of sleep, affect ratings, and social contact cannot be placed in ξ without a defensible metric. Let $\mathbf{M} \succ 0$ define clinically meaningful perturbation energy

$$\|\xi\|_{\mathbf{M}}^2 = \xi^* \mathbf{M} \xi.$$

The adjoint and gain should then be defined with respect to that metric, or coordinates should be whitened appropriately. A dramatic gain found only after arbitrary scaling is not a robust clinical discovery.

12.2 Eigenvalues, numerical abscissa, singular values, and transient gain

Eigenvalues describe modal exponential behavior; singular values describe finite-time input–output gain. The distinction is the heart of nonmodal analysis. Define perturbation energy $E(t) = \|\xi(t)\|_2^2$. From (12.1),

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = \xi^* (\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A}^*) \xi.$$

For unit-norm initial conditions, the largest instantaneous logarithmic growth rate is governed by the **numerical abscissa**

$$\omega(\mathbf{A}) = \lambda_{\max} \left(\frac{\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A}^*}{2} \right). \quad (12.4)$$

If $\omega(\mathbf{A}) > 0$, at least one direction grows initially even if $\alpha(\mathbf{A}) < 0$. The Hermitian part $(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A}^*)/2$ describes instantaneous energy growth; the skew-Hermitian part redistributes direction without directly changing Euclidean norm.

Finite-time amplification at horizon τ is

$$G(\tau) = \max_{\xi_0 \neq 0} \frac{\|e^{\mathbf{A}\tau} \xi_0\|_2^2}{\|\xi_0\|_2^2} = \|e^{\mathbf{A}\tau}\|_2^2 = \sigma_1^2(e^{\mathbf{A}\tau}), \quad (12.5)$$

where σ_1 is the largest singular value. If

$$e^{\mathbf{A}\tau} = \mathbf{U}(\tau) \Sigma(\tau) \mathbf{V}(\tau)^*,$$

the first right singular vector $\mathbf{v}_1(\tau)$ is the optimal initial perturbation and the first left singular vector $\mathbf{u}_1(\tau)$ is the state direction produced at time τ . This yields a stronger hypothesis than “stress makes symptoms worse.” It predicts a particular multivariate pattern of load that should produce a particular later pattern of response over a specified horizon.

For time-varying dynamics along trajectory $\bar{\mathbf{x}}(t)$, let

$$\mathbf{A}(t) = \left. \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right|_{\bar{\mathbf{x}}(t)}.$$

The state-transition operator $\Phi(t, t_0)$ satisfies

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Phi(t, t_0) = \mathbf{A}(t) \Phi(t, t_0), \quad \Phi(t_0, t_0) = \mathbf{I}. \quad (12.6)$$

Finite-time gain becomes

$$G(t_0, T) = \max_{0 < \tau \leq T} \sigma_1^2(\Phi(t_0 + \tau, t_0)). \quad (12.7)$$

This is the form most relevant to depression, where couplings vary with sleep, treatment, withdrawal, context, hormonal state, or time of day. A single stationary matrix estimated across months may average away the high-gain period.

One can also focus on clinically relevant outputs. If $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{C}\xi$ selects future credibility, craving, or suicidal cognition, and perturbations enter through $\mathbf{B}$, then an input–output gain is

$$G_{u \rightarrow y}(t_0, T) = \sup_{\mathbf{u} \neq 0} \frac{\int_{t_0}^{t_0+T} \|\mathbf{y}(t)\|_2^2 dt}{\int_{t_0}^{t_0+T} \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_2^2 dt},$$

subject to the dynamics. This prevents every fluctuation in every coordinate from being treated as equally meaningful.

12.3 Pseudospectra, resolvent gain, and robustness

Eigenvalues of a non-normal operator can be highly sensitive to perturbation. The ε -pseudospectrum is

$$\Lambda_\varepsilon(\mathbf{A}) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \|(z\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A})^{-1}\|_2 > \varepsilon^{-1}\}$$

or, equivalently, the union of spectra of all matrices $\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{E}$ with $\|\mathbf{E}\|_2 < \varepsilon$. For normal matrices, pseudospectral contours are circular neighborhoods of eigenvalues. For non-normal matrices, they can extend far away, revealing sensitivity invisible in the spectrum (Trefethen and Embree, 2005).

This matters because an estimated psychological operator is never exact. Couplings change and data are noisy. If tiny plausible perturbations move the effective spectrum across the stability boundary, claims based on the point estimate are fragile. Pseudospectral analysis turns uncertainty into part of the result rather than a footnote.

Forced response introduces the resolvent. For harmonic input $\mathbf{u}(t) = \hat{\mathbf{u}}e^{i\omega t}$ in

$$\dot{\xi} = \mathbf{A}\xi + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}, \quad \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{C}\xi,$$

the steady-state frequency response is

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}}(\omega) = \mathbf{C}(i\omega\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A})^{-1}\mathbf{B}\hat{\mathbf{u}}(\omega). \quad (12.8)$$

Large resolvent norm can amplify recurrent or broadband forcing even when no eigenmode is unstable. Clinically, repeated nightly sleep disruption or cyclical interpersonal threat may act as forcing rather than a single impulse. Again, the point is testable structure: the model should identify frequencies, input combinations, and outputs at which amplification is expected.

For stochastic forcing

$$d\xi_t = \mathbf{A}\xi_t dt + \mathbf{L} d\mathbf{W}_t,$$

the stationary covariance $\mathbf{P}$, when it exists, solves the continuous Lyapunov equation

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{P} + \mathbf{P}\mathbf{A}^* + \mathbf{L}\mathbf{L}^* = 0. \quad (12.9)$$

Non-normality can produce large covariance and structured correlations from modest noise. Yet high variance is not diagnostic of non-normality; large forcing, slow eigenvalues, switching, or measurement error can produce the same observation. Competing generative models remain mandatory.

12.4 Balanced amplification in neural systems—and the evidential boundary

Recurrent neural networks can use strong excitatory and inhibitory interactions to amplify selected input patterns while keeping overall activity controlled. Murphy and Miller (2009) described **balanced amplification**, in which difference modes can be transiently amplified even though the network is stable. Non-normal mechanisms have since appeared in analyses of neural computation, motor preparation, memory, and control. These results establish plausibility at neural and network levels; they do not establish a disease-wide property of depression.

The word *balanced* must also be handled carefully. It does not mean equal amounts of excitation and inhibition, psychological equilibrium, or a “chemical balance.” It refers to a particular organization of recurrent interactions. Mapping the mechanism into fMRI, EEG, ecological behavior, or clinical symptoms requires explicit observation models. Hemodynamic signals are slow and indirect. EEG source localization is underdetermined. Symptom ratings are many transformations away from synaptic activity. A shared mathematical form across scales does not guarantee that the same physical mechanism is operating.

Recent work by ESCRICHs and colleagues (2025) used whole-brain turbulent-dynamics measures to study responsiveness to pharmacological treatment in major depressive disorder. This is relevant because it treats the brain as a spatiotemporally organized dynamical system rather than a collection of independent regions. It is not evidence that depression has a highly non-normal local operator. Turbulence refers to multiscale spatial and temporal interaction characterized through particular measures; non-normality is an algebraic property of an operator relative to an inner product. Turbulent behavior can involve non-normal amplification, but neither concept implies the other in a given dataset.

Metastability must likewise be separated. A metastable system dwells in transient configurations before switching. A system can be metastable without a strongly non-normal linearization; a non-normal system can show transient gain without multiple metastable states. Dynamic functional connectivity, entropy, turbulence, criticality, and non-normality are not interchangeable badges of sophistication. They answer different questions and require different evidence.

The direct evidential statement is therefore:

Depression is established as heterogeneous, recurrent for many people, and dynamically coupled across symptoms, body, behavior, and context. Direct evidence that clinical depression is generally or characteristically **highly non-normal** is presently insufficient. Flow Hijacked proposes non-normal transient amplification as a person- and state-specific mechanism to be tested, not as a fact already demonstrated.

12.5 Candidate depression mechanisms: aligned perturbations

A non-normal hypothesis becomes clinically interesting when it specifies channels of amplification. Consider a reduced state

$$\xi = [s \quad r \quad a \quad v \quad h]^\top,$$

where s is sleep disruption, r rumination/threat capture, a action withdrawal, v reward-value compression, and h future-horizon contraction. A candidate operator might contain directed couplings

$$s \rightarrow r, \quad r \rightarrow a, \quad a \rightarrow v, \quad v \rightarrow h,$$

with partial damping on each coordinate. If the coupling directions form non-orthogonal modes, a small mixed perturbation—poor sleep plus shame, pain plus social loss, withdrawal plus conflict—could yield much larger finite-time contraction than the sum of isolated effects. The prediction is not simply that multiple problems are worse. It is that particular combinations and orders produce disproportionate, time-locked trajectories corresponding to the singular-vector structure of Φ .

Several candidate sequences deserve empirical study:

- **Sleep–rumination–withdrawal.** Sleep loss increases negative reactivity and reduces control; rumination delays sleep further; withdrawal removes corrective contact. The order and time of day may determine gain.
- **Pain–effort–reward compression.** Pain raises action cost; reduced movement and participation diminish reward and efficacy; lower expected value increases inactivity. Medical treatment may reduce gain more effectively than cognitive effort alone.
- **Shame–concealment–social response.** Shame prompts concealment; concealment prevents corrective response; absence is misread by others; invitations decline; the resulting isolation confirms shame.
- **Substance withdrawal–distress–rapid-relief valuation.** Withdrawal amplifies bodily distress; distress increases the value of a familiar low-latency route; use then disrupts sleep, trust, and mood. This sequence links to coupled capture in Chapter 13.
- **Scarcity–short horizon–administrative failure.** Immediate material demands compress planning; missed forms or appointments produce sanctions or lost access; the environment becomes objectively less controllable.

Each sequence includes external reality. A non-normal model must not reclassify discrimination, poverty, or unsafe housing as internal sensitivity. The operator spans person and environment. Sometimes the most effective way to reduce gain is to stop the forcing.

The proposed PCC stage of **candidate non-normal transient amplification** refers to this possibility: the average state may look approximately stable while a particular direction of perturbation has high finite-time gain. If the amplified trajectory crosses a nonlinear boundary, slow learning and allostatic variables can change. The eventual decay predicted by the original linearization is then irrelevant because $\mathbf{A}$ itself has changed.

This coupling between Chapters 11 and 12 can be written as a local-to-global mechanism. Let $g(\tau) = \|\Phi(t_0 + \tau, t_0)\xi_0\|$. Let $d_{\partial S}(\bar{\mathbf{x}})$ be distance from the reference trajectory to a boundary of the viable region. A sufficient geometric warning condition is

$$\max_{0 < \tau \leq T} g(\tau) > d_{\partial S}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}),$$

after consistent normalization. Non-normality supplies gain; nonlinearity supplies the boundary; slow variables preserve consequences. None alone is the PCC.

12.6 Measurement: perturbation response and within-person gain

Testing the hypothesis requires intensive longitudinal data with enough temporal resolution to estimate directed response. Passive observation alone may not identify $\mathbf{A}$, because inputs are correlated with state and important disturbances are unmeasured. Ethical perturbations can include naturally occurring sleep deviations, scheduled low-burden activity, timing changes already part of treatment, standardized cognitive probes, or clinically indicated stimulation studied under protocol. The purpose is never to provoke dangerous deterioration.

A time-varying vector autoregressive approximation is

$$\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{F}_k \mathbf{x}_k + \mathbf{B}_k \mathbf{u}_k + \eta_k,$$

with $\mathbf{F}_k \approx e^{\mathbf{A}_k \Delta_k}$ for sampling interval Δ_k . Estimation can use hierarchical Bayesian dynamic linear models, regularization, or switching state-space models. The hierarchy should allow partial pooling across people without forcing one coupling matrix upon everyone. Posterior distributions over gain are more honest than a single number:

$$p(G(t_0, T) \mid \mathbf{y}_{1:K}) = \int \delta(G - \mathcal{G}(\Phi_\theta)) p(\theta \mid \mathbf{y}_{1:K}) d\theta.$$

The study should preregister the metric, coordinate scaling, horizon, regularization, missing-data treatment, and model comparisons. Cross-validation must respect temporal order. Randomly shuffling observations into train and test sets leaks future information. External validation should test whether estimated optimal perturbations predict new responses, not merely reconstruct the data from which they were derived.

Observation is an additional bottleneck. If $\mathbf{y}_k = \mathbf{C}_k \mathbf{x}_k + \nu_k$, the pair $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{C})$ must be sufficiently observable to infer relevant modes. The finite-horizon observability Gramian for a time-invariant system is

$$\mathbf{W}_o(T) = \int_0^T e^{\mathbf{A}^* t} \mathbf{C}^* \mathbf{C} e^{\mathbf{A} t} dt. \quad (12.10)$$

Small eigenvalues indicate state directions that observations barely reveal. If a high-gain direction is nearly unobservable in the chosen measures, failure to detect it is inconclusive; if all proposed directions are unobservable, the theory is not currently testable with that design.

Measurement burden also has causal effects. Repeated prompts can intensify self-monitoring or disturb sleep, thereby altering $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{u}$. The person retains veto power. A model that obtains cleaner data by reducing dignity, privacy, or safety has not improved the science.

12.7 Reachability, control energy, and distributed protection

Amplification asks how disturbances grow. Reachability asks what inputs can move the state. For

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{u},$$

the finite-horizon controllability Gramian is

$$\mathbf{W}_c(T) = \int_0^T e^{\mathbf{A} t} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{B}^* e^{\mathbf{A}^* t} dt. \quad (12.11)$$

If $\mathbf{W}_c(T)$ is nonsingular, every state direction is reachable in the idealized unconstrained linear system over horizon T . The minimum energy required to move from $\mathbf{x}_0$ to $\mathbf{x}_f$ is

$$E_{\min} = (\mathbf{x}_f - e^{\mathbf{A} T} \mathbf{x}_0)^* \mathbf{W}_c(T)^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_f - e^{\mathbf{A} T} \mathbf{x}_0). \quad (12.12)$$

Human translation requires constraints. Inputs are bounded, state-dependent, and not interchangeable. A phone call cannot treat catatonia; medication cannot create safe housing; exercise may be contraindicated or inaccessible; a therapy appointment tomorrow cannot control acute danger tonight. Constrained reachability uses an admissible control set $\mathcal{U}$ and a safe set $\mathcal{S}$:

$$\mathcal{R}_T(\mathbf{x}_0) = \{\mathbf{x}(T) : \mathbf{u}(t) \in \mathcal{U}, \mathbf{x}(t) \in \mathcal{S}, 0 \leq t \leq T\}.$$

This is the mathematical form of **Reduced Navigability**. Depression narrows not only mood but the set of states and actions reachable at acceptable cost. Distributed support expands the input matrix $\mathbf{B}$, reduces energy requirements, changes the drift field, or enlarges the safe set. Transport, childcare, money, medication access, a trusted person, structured therapy, and bodily treatment are control variables because they change real transitions.

Non-normal systems create a subtle control opportunity. The same transient mechanism that amplifies harmful perturbations can amplify a carefully aligned beneficial input. This does not justify intense “break-through” interventions without evidence. High gain can be unsafe, unpredictable, or short-lived. A beneficial perturbation needs consolidation: sleep, repetition, context, follow-up, and protection from opposing inputs. Otherwise the state returns or crosses another boundary.

The **Reciprocal Reachability Field** introduced elsewhere extends control in two directions: what the person can reach and what can reach the person. Classical controllability usually treats inputs as given. In life, **B** itself depends on reciprocal access. A treatment that exists but cannot be scheduled is not an actuator; a friend willing to help but unable to detect withdrawal is not a timely input. Recovery expands both outgoing agency and incoming availability.

12.8 Cognitive Reynolds Number: a bounded heuristic

Earlier Flow Hijacked work introduced the **Cognitive Reynolds Number** as a heuristic analogy for the balance among drive, coupling, memory, and damping. A schematic form is

$$\text{Re}_{\text{cog}} = \frac{\mathcal{D} \mathcal{C} \mathcal{L}}{\mathcal{R} + \varepsilon},$$

where $\mathcal{D}$ represents perturbational drive, $\mathcal{C}$ effective coupling, $\mathcal{L}$ persistence or memory, and $\mathcal{R}$ regulatory damping. The small $\varepsilon > 0$ prevents division by zero. This is not a validated scalar, and the analogy to fluid Reynolds number must not be mistaken for a derivation. There is no established critical value separating healthy and depressed cognition.

Its limited value is conceptual: strong drive, strong coupling, and long persistence relative to regulation may move cognition from flexible flow toward rigid recurrence or irregular overload. Non-normal analysis improves upon the metaphor by supplying operator-level quantities—numerical abscissa, singular-value gain, pseudospectra, and resolvent amplification—that can in principle be estimated and falsified. If those measures add no predictive value, the heuristic should remain educational rather than scientific.

12.9 The decisive boundary: proposal, prediction, and falsification

The non-normal account should be accepted only if it survives severe tests. At minimum:

1. A preregistered non-normal state-space model should predict finite-time multivariate responses better than matched normal, diagonal, nonlinear autoregressive, switching, and latent-input models.
2. Estimated optimal perturbation directions should predict new response patterns and horizons out of sample.
3. Gain should remain meaningful across defensible coordinate scalings and observation models.
4. The result should not disappear after accounting for sleep cycles, treatment changes, substance use, common causes, and measurement autocorrelation.
5. High gain should relate to clinically meaningful transition or reach beyond average severity, without being treated as a diagnostic biomarker before replication.
6. Bottleneck-aligned intervention should reduce gain or prevent threshold crossing more effectively than comparably intensive nonspecific input, under ethical trial conditions.

The hypothesis is falsified in its strong form if normal or simpler models predict equally well, if supposed gain is an artifact of scaling or regularization, if person-specific estimates do not replicate, or if high gain has no relation to outcomes beyond ordinary measures. PCC stage 2 must then be revised, restricted to a subgroup, or removed.

The broader PCC can also fail. Joint depression–comorbidity models may not outperform independent ones. Reciprocal reach may add no information beyond function. The proposed sequence—load, amplification, threshold, landscape change—may not appear or may commonly reverse. Bottleneck-matched care may fail to improve outcomes. A theory that can absorb every result has abandoned science.

At its best, non-normality changes care without changing compassion. It says that a severe cascade need not reveal a weak person or an unstable essence. A small perturbation can become large because of transient geometry. It also says that support can be strategically placed: protect sleep before a known high-gain window, reduce shame-driven concealment, treat pain that increases effort coupling, make help reachable before immediate relief captures policy, and consolidate improvement after a powerful intervention.

The mathematics should eventually disappear into better care. The person does not need to calculate a singular value during a difficult night. The system around them needs to know that average stability can hide

dangerous short-term gain, that return speed matters, that sequences matter, and that the humane response to amplification is timely protection rather than blame.

12.10 Interpretive guardrails for a high-gain model

Several guardrails prevent the proposal from becoming another totalizing explanation. First, amplification is not severity. A person can have severe, persistent depression with little short-term gain because the state is already deeply established. Another can have low average symptom burden and a high-gain configuration during a specific sleep- or withdrawal-related window. Both require care; the quantities answer different questions.

Second, amplification is not sensitivity as a personality label. The estimated gain belongs to a defined system, coordinate metric, context, and horizon. Change the environment, available inputs, physiology, or timescale and the operator can change. Calling someone “highly non-normal” would convert a local mathematical property into an identity and should be avoided.

Third, a high-gain direction is not necessarily a target for direct suppression. Some couplings serve protection, attachment, learning, or adaptive mobilization. Damping every response can reduce flexibility. The aim is to prevent dangerous alignment and preserve viable range, not to make the system inert. In control terms, robustness and responsiveness must be considered together.

Fourth, association between a perturbation and an amplified response does not establish the internal pathway. An unmeasured common input can drive both. For example, pain can disrupt sleep and worsen mood without sleep being the dominant mediator; social threat can increase rumination and withdrawal simultaneously. Causal claims require design, timing, and rival-model comparison.

Fifth, output selection carries values. If the model minimizes symptom variance while ignoring agency, belonging, cognition, sexuality, creativity, or capacity to work and rest, it may stabilize the wrong objective. A control cost

$$J(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T (\mathbf{x}_t^\top \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{x}_t + \mathbf{u}_t^\top \mathbf{R} \mathbf{u}_t) dt + \mathbf{x}_T^\top \mathbf{Q}_T \mathbf{x}_T \right]$$

contains ethical choices in the weighting matrices $\mathbf{Q}$, $\mathbf{R}$, and $\mathbf{Q}_T$. Those weights cannot be selected by mathematical convenience alone. They should reflect safety, lived priorities, adverse effects, equity, and the least coercive effective route.

Finally, absence of measured gain does not invalidate suffering. The model may be wrong, the relevant variable unobserved, the sampling too sparse, or the process nonlocal and nonlinear. No biomarker or dynamical estimate should become a gatekeeper for belief, treatment, benefits, or compassion. The evidential standard applies to the theory, never to whether a person deserves care.

CORE SENTENCE

A system can be stable in the long run and dangerous in the next hour; non-normality is a precise, testable proposal for that hidden amplification—not an established fact about depression and never a description of the person’s worth.

PART IV

Coupled Capture, Treatment, and Restoration

Recovery widens when care addresses the interacting system rather than making one condition wait outside.

CHAPTER 13

Dual Diagnosis as Coupled Capture

13.1 Beyond co-presence: reciprocal causal coupling

“Dual diagnosis” is a useful service term and an incomplete description of a life. It tells us that a mental disorder and a substance-use disorder are both present; in broader clinical practice, the same logic applies when depression coexists with post-traumatic stress, anxiety, chronic pain, disordered sleep, neurodevelopmental difficulty, an eating disorder, or a burdensome medical condition. What the phrase does not tell us is how the conditions alter one another. Two diagnoses can merely coincide. More often, they change each other’s triggers, reinforcement, severity, visibility, treatment response, and routes of recovery. The clinically important unit is therefore not a pair of labels but a coupled trajectory.

This distinction begins with respect. Alcohol, cannabis, opioids, stimulants, sedatives, nicotine, compulsive behaviour, and other rapid state-changing routes can acquire extraordinary importance when ordinary regulation has become slow, uncertain, or unavailable. A substance may have made sleep possible, reduced social fear, quieted traumatic arousal, ended an evening of unbearable rumination, or briefly returned energy to a depressed body. Naming those functions does not deny harm. It explains why harm alone rarely supplies enough information for change. A route that repeatedly and reliably changes state will be learned, especially when alternative routes are weak. The person is not irrational for having learned from what worked quickly. The tragedy is that a locally effective solution can become a globally destructive one.

Flow Hijacked calls this **Reward–Relief Compression**: the landscape of regulation narrows until a small number of fast routes dominate choice. In depression, the background field often contains low expected reward, high effort cost, diminished future credibility, social withdrawal, and reduced access to corrective experience. Under those conditions, the relative value of immediate relief increases even if the person understands the later cost. Addiction can then deepen the same state through disrupted sleep, withdrawal, shame, conflict, financial loss, medical burden, and the displacement of ordinary rewards. Depression makes relief more valuable; repeated relief-seeking makes depression more probable. The system begins to capture itself.

Let $D(t)$ denote depressive load and $S(t)$ the degree of substance-related capture. A minimal stochastic model is

$$\begin{aligned} dD_t &= [f_D(D_t; \theta_D) + \gamma_{SD}(t)S_t + q_D(z_t) - u_D(t)] dt + \sigma_D(x_t) dW_t^{(D)}, \\ dS_t &= [f_S(S_t; \theta_S) + \gamma_{DS}(t)D_t + q_S(z_t) - u_S(t)] dt + \sigma_S(x_t) dW_t^{(S)}. \end{aligned}$$

Here z_t collects shared drivers such as trauma cues, pain, scarcity, social exclusion, sleep loss, genetic liability, medication effects, and opportunity structure. The coupling coefficients γ_{SD} and γ_{DS} are directional and need not be equal. The functions u_D and u_S represent treatment and protection, but they should not be imagined as single interventions: a safe detoxification plan, medication for alcohol use disorder, treatment of major depression, housing, a trauma-informed relationship, and restored sleep can enter through different control channels. Noise terms acknowledge that human trajectories are not deterministic and that unobserved events matter.

The model earns its place only if it changes questions. Which process leads and which follows? Does use rise on nights of insomnia or after shame? Does depressive slowing make appointments inaccessible? Does abstinence reveal a persistent independent depressive syndrome, or does mood improve as sleep and withdrawal settle? Does trauma activation precede both? Which intervention weakens both loops at once? These are longitudinal questions. A single interview can describe the current state; it cannot reliably reconstruct the vector field that produced it.

Independent, substance-induced, and mutually maintained depression are not moral categories. An independent depressive episode may predate heavy use, recur during sustained remission, or persist after acute substance effects have resolved. A substance-induced state may emerge during intoxication, withdrawal, or protracted neurobehavioural adaptation. The commonest clinical reality is less tidy: earlier vulnerability, repeated exposure, loss, and learned relief alter one another over time. Systematic review of depression in

alcohol-use disorders shows heterogeneous temporal courses rather than one universal direction (Foulds et al., 2015). In STAR*D, substance-use comorbidity was associated with clinically important differences in presentation and outcome, but that secondary analysis cannot identify a single causal pathway (Davis et al., 2005).

Longitudinal diagnosis therefore functions as repeated model comparison. Clinicians reconstruct episodes before heavy use, during lower-use or abstinent intervals, around withdrawals, after medication changes, across seasons, and alongside sleep, energy, psychomotor change, and life events. They ask about hypomania and mixed features because recurrent “depression plus substances” can obscure bipolarity. They consider psychosis, trauma, ADHD, obsessive-compulsive symptoms, eating pathology, pain, sleep apnoea, endocrine illness, and medication-induced states. The formulation is allowed to change as evidence arrives. Treatment begins where urgency requires; diagnostic humility does not mean clinical passivity.

Coupled Capture is the Flow Hijacked name for a stronger claim than comorbidity. It proposes that two or more subsystems become reciprocally self-maintaining: a transition in one raises the probability of entering or remaining in another. This is compatible with symptom-network accounts of comorbidity, in which bridge symptoms connect diagnostic clusters, but it extends the boundary beyond symptoms to body, environment, learning, relationship, and institutions (Cramer et al., 2010; Borsboom, 2017). Insomnia may bridge pain and depression. Shame may bridge alcohol consequences and withdrawal. Avoidance may bridge PTSD and depressed isolation. Financial loss may bridge addiction and chronic threat. The relevant bridges are often ordinary and therefore clinically invisible.

Coupling also explains why apparent improvement can deceive. Alcohol may reduce anxiety for several hours while enlarging the next day’s depressive basin. Early abstinence may reduce chaos without restoring reward, leading a person to conclude that recovery has failed. An analgesic may permit movement while gradually creating physiological dependence; untreated pain may make discontinuation intolerable. Avoidance may reduce traumatic arousal now and strengthen the prediction that the world is unmanageable later. Short observation windows can reward the route that is most expensive across time.

The humane implication is integration. The person should not be passed between services because each diagnosis is considered somebody else’s prerequisite. SAMHSA’s TIP 42 places co-occurring disorders within person-centred, integrated assessment and care, although implementation and evidence differ across specific disorders and health systems (SAMHSA, 2020). Integration does not mean that every professional delivers every intervention. It means there is one intelligible formulation, one safety architecture, explicit ownership of handoffs, and no period in which one service uses the other disorder as a reason to withhold care.

13.2 Depression and alcohol use disorder

Alcohol illustrates coupled capture with unusual clarity because it operates simultaneously as drug, social ritual, sedative, disinhibitor, withdrawal cycle, and material force in relationships. In the short term it may lower inhibition, dampen anxiety, or accelerate sleep onset. Across the night and following day it can fragment sleep, worsen mood regulation, increase impulsivity, and expose the person to interpersonal and occupational consequences. Repeated heavy use can create tolerance and withdrawal; abrupt cessation in physiologically dependent people can be medically dangerous. A depression lecture must therefore resist two errors at once: portraying alcohol use as a failure of character, and romanticizing self-medication as though function erased risk.

The timeline matters more than a slogan. If low mood, anhedonia, guilt, or suicidal thinking began before heavy drinking, an independent disorder becomes more plausible. If symptoms reliably intensify during intoxication or withdrawal and remit with recovery, a substance-induced component becomes more plausible. If sleep, pain, bereavement, poverty, or relationship violence drives both, the apparent two-disorder system may be embedded in a larger common-cause field. Family history, prior episodes, sustained lower-use periods, medication responses, and collateral observations can all update the formulation. None is individually decisive.

Treatment evidence supports neither nihilism nor a universal recipe. A network meta-analysis of 36 randomized trials in adults with depressive and alcohol-use disorders found that cognitive-behavioural therapy probably reduced depressive symptoms and might produce a small reduction in alcohol use, while certainty for many remission and drinking outcomes was low because trials were small and networks sparse (Grant et al., 2021). A double-blind trial of sertraline plus naltrexone suggested that coordinated pharmacological

targeting can be useful in selected patients, but one trial cannot define routine sequencing (Pettinati et al., 2010). Reviews of antidepressants in comorbid alcohol-use disorders likewise show modest and heterogeneous effects rather than a pharmacological solution to the whole coupled system (Iovieno et al., 2011).

The practical response is one plan with distinct but connected targets. Withdrawal risk and acute safety come first. Alcohol-use treatment may include evidence-based psychosocial approaches, mutual-help options, contingency or community reinforcement strategies, and approved medications selected by qualified clinicians according to medical status and preference. Depression care may include psychotherapy, behavioural activation, medication, sleep treatment, and social intervention. Treating sleep can reduce load on both systems; protecting a morning routine can reduce depressive inertia and evening drinking opportunity; repairing one reliable relationship can improve accountability without turning the relationship into surveillance.

Integrated does not mean simultaneous maximal intensity. When the nervous system is highly unstable, sequencing should reduce the most dangerous positive feedback first. Medical withdrawal management may precede cognitively demanding work. Severe suicidal depression may require urgent mood treatment while alcohol care continues. Trauma processing may wait until enough stability exists for memory activation to be tolerable, but trauma-informed engagement begins immediately. The sequence is adaptive, not punitive.

Alcohol also complicates measurement. A lower depression score after detoxification may reflect improved sleep, withdrawal resolution, hope generated by care, or regression to the mean; it does not settle whether major depression is independent. A return to drinking does not erase gains in therapy, and a depressive relapse does not prove alcohol treatment irrelevant. Outcomes should include days of heavy use, craving and loss of control, depressive symptoms, sleep, function, adverse effects, social connection, safety, and the speed with which the person can return after a disruption. The coupled model expects domains to change on different time scales.

13.3 Depression, cannabis, nicotine, opioids, stimulants, and sedatives

Cannabis–depression research is frequently forced into public arguments more categorical than the data. Current systematic reviews find associations between cannabis exposure and depressive disorders or worse mood-course indicators, including prospective associations in some cohorts, but much of the evidence is observational and vulnerable to residual confounding, reverse causation, variation in product potency, age of onset, frequency, and co-use (Sorkhou et al., 2024; Churchill et al., 2025). It is therefore inaccurate to say that cannabis invariably causes depression, and equally inaccurate to treat it as psychologically neutral.

Formulation again requires function and time. Cannabis may be used for sleep, anxiety, pain, trauma-related arousal, appetite, or social belonging. High-potency products, frequent use, withdrawal irritability and insomnia, cognitive effects, and psychosis or bipolar vulnerability can change risk. If the substance has become the only reliable route to sleep, stopping without an alternative sleep plan can intensify the very state that maintained use. If it protects access to a peer group, change may carry social loss as well as pharmacological withdrawal. Treatment must therefore widen the regulatory and relational repertoire, not simply remove one object.

Nicotine often hides in plain sight because it is legal, familiar, and frequently delivered with great temporal precision. It can briefly alter attention, arousal, and affect while dependence produces recurrent withdrawal across the day. Depression is associated with greater smoking burden and more difficult cessation in some populations, yet stopping smoking is not generally associated with deterioration in mental health; systematic reviews have reported subsequent improvements in anxiety, depression, stress, and quality of life, while causal certainty varies by design (Taylor et al., 2021). The compassionate stance is not to postpone tobacco treatment indefinitely, but to coordinate it with mood monitoring and adequate cessation support.

Opioids and sedatives require a different safety grammar. They may begin in pain care, insomnia treatment, trauma-related distress, or nonmedical relief-seeking. Physiological dependence, overdose risk, respiratory depression, drug interactions, and dangerous withdrawal from some sedatives make informal discontinuation advice unsafe. Chronic pain and depression amplify one another through sleep disruption, avoidance, disability, threat, inflammation, loss of role, and medication burden. Treating pain as imaginary because mood is present is cruel; treating opioids as the only legitimate evidence of pain can also contract the field. Integrated pain care asks what restores function, sleep, safety, and choice while minimizing total burden.

Stimulants can intersect with depression through prescribed treatment, nonmedical use, occupational pressure, ADHD, and cycles of activation and depletion. Acute energy can feel like restoration when psychomotor slowing has made action inaccessible. Repeated high-dose use, sleep deprivation, withdrawal, and psychosis or bipolar vulnerability can then produce severe instability. The diagnostically useful question is not whether energy increased, but whether the overall trajectory became more regulated, reality-based, sustainable, and capable of preserving sleep and consequence awareness.

Across substances, the same Flow Hijacked rule holds: ask what state change was obtained, what later costs accumulated, what cues and contexts predict use, and which alternative route can compete on speed, reliability, and accessibility. Education about harm matters. So do medication, peer support, contingency management where evidence supports it, recovery communities, housing, pain treatment, and relationships in which honest disclosure does not threaten belonging. A substance-use plan without a depression plan leaves relief pressure intact. A depression plan that ignores intoxication, withdrawal, and cue learning leaves the mood system under repeated perturbation.

13.4 Depression, trauma, and PTSD

Trauma and depression overlap without becoming interchangeable. PTSD is organized around exposure to trauma and may include intrusion, avoidance, negative changes in cognition and mood, and hyperarousal; depression can arise with or without that pattern. Grief, moral injury, shame, dissociation, chronic threat, and bodily pain may complicate both. The overlap is clinically important because symptoms such as sleep disturbance, concentration difficulty, emotional numbing, hopelessness, and withdrawal can be assigned to either label while their mechanism remains unclear.

Flow Hijacked describes severe post-traumatic capture as **Trauma-Time Capture**: cues in the present acquire the force of unfinished danger, so the system behaves as though the old event remains current. Depression can emerge when repeated mobilization becomes exhaustion, when avoidance removes reward and belonging, when trust collapses, when guilt becomes identity, or when the future is organized around prevention rather than possibility. Depression then feeds PTSD by reducing movement, support, problem-solving, and engagement with treatment. Each condition narrows the exits from the other.

This coupling must not be interpreted as a command to process trauma immediately. Trauma-focused psychotherapies are evidence-based for PTSD, but readiness is not a moral test. Acute suicidality, intoxication or dangerous withdrawal, psychosis, mania, uncontrolled violence, severe dissociation, or an absent safety infrastructure can change timing and setting. Stabilization should not become indefinite avoidance, yet exposure should not be performed as proof of courage. The correct dose is one that permits new learning without recreating helplessness.

Evidence increasingly challenges the idea that trauma-focused treatment must always wait until substance use has fully resolved. In a 2025 randomized trial among women with PTSD and alcohol-use disorder in Swedish outpatient services, concurrent trauma-focused treatment reduced PTSD symptoms more than relapse-prevention treatment; alcohol use decreased in both groups without a detectable between-group difference (Persson et al., 2025). The sample and setting limit generalization, and the absence of a drinking difference matters. Still, the trial supports a broader principle: integrated trauma care can be feasible when safety, competence, and monitoring are built into delivery.

The treatment field should include more than trauma memory. Sleep, housing, legal safety, pain, parenting, cultural meaning, and relationships can determine whether therapy is usable. A person currently exposed to violence does not merely hold an inaccurate threat prediction. A refugee facing uncertain status does not need a cognitive exercise that denies real precarity. Therapy can distinguish current from past danger only when the system also acts on current danger. Compassion is not lowering scientific standards; it is specifying the environment correctly.

13.5 Anxiety, pain, sleep, and physical illness

Depression commonly coexists with anxiety disorders, and the relationship is often sequential and bidirectional. Anxiety can narrow behaviour through avoidance, uncertainty intolerance, physiological arousal, and anticipatory threat. Depression can follow as social and occupational worlds contract, effort rises, and

repeated avoidance is interpreted as incapacity. Depression can also precede anxiety by making the person less confident in coping, increasing rumination and bodily vigilance. The same outward behaviour—staying home—may be maintained by threat, low energy, shame, anhedonia, or all four.

Treatment requires process differentiation. Behavioural activation may widen action but need exposure principles when fear is the barrier. Cognitive work may examine threat probability as well as hopelessness. Medication selection may be influenced by anxiety, sleep, pain, bipolar risk, and adverse-effect preferences. Breathing, relaxation, or interoceptive strategies can help some states and intensify others; dissociation and panic require careful pacing. “Anxious depression” is not merely a higher symptom total. It can be a different control problem.

Pain is especially capable of rewriting the landscape. It increases effort cost, interrupts sleep, narrows movement, threatens livelihood, and demands attention. Depression can amplify disability and negative expectancy; it may alter pain modulation without making pain unreal. A 2025 systematic review and meta-analysis estimated clinically significant depression or anxiety in roughly two in five adults with chronic pain, while substantial heterogeneity and frequent use of screening scales limit disorder-level inference (Aaron et al., 2025). The number should therefore orient attention, not label an individual.

In a coupled pain–depression model, improvement may begin with function rather than pain elimination or happiness. A better-fitted analgesic strategy, physiotherapy, pacing, sleep treatment, workplace accommodation, and psychotherapy can reduce different components of the loop. Overexertion followed by collapse can be as compressive as total avoidance; pacing should preserve adaptive movement without turning every fluctuation into danger. Medication review must consider sedative burden, interactions, dependence, cognition, and the person’s actual experience of benefit.

Sleep is both a symptom and a driver. Insomnia predicts later depression in longitudinal evidence, while depression can produce early waking, delayed sleep, hypersomnia, circadian drift, or irregularity (Baglioni et al., 2011). Alcohol, cannabis, stimulants, sedatives, pain, sleep apnoea, shift work, caregiving, and digital interruption can all distort the signal. Treating sleep is therefore not a lifestyle footnote. CBT for insomnia improved sleep and depression outcomes in a trial of people with comorbid major depression and insomnia, although one study does not settle optimal sequencing (Manber et al., 2008). Regular timing, light, medical evaluation where indicated, and behavioural treatment may create enough reserve for other therapies to work.

Physical illness can couple to depression through inflammation, endocrine change, vascular and neurological disease, fatigue, medication effects, pain, disability, stigma, and altered roles. Depression can in turn impair self-care, attendance, activity, and the ability to communicate symptoms. Integrated care should neither psychologize new physical symptoms nor treat mood as irrelevant to medical outcome. The 2026 SAMHSA advisory on integrating physical and behavioural health underscores a service-level version of the same principle: fragmentation itself can become pathogenic when the person must coordinate incompatible systems while unwell (SAMHSA, 2026).

13.6 Integrated sequencing, shared outcomes, and one safety plan

The goal of integrated care is not to build the largest possible team. It is to build a coherent control architecture. One clinician or team needs responsibility for the overall formulation. Medication lists must be reconciled. Withdrawal, overdose, suicide, violence, psychosis, mania, and severe self-neglect require explicit pathways. Each service must know what the others are treating, which measures are being watched, and who responds when a threshold is crossed. A referral is not a handoff until another person has received it.

The **Multimodal Control Matrix** organizes this work. Rows are bottlenecks: danger, withdrawal, sleep, pain, depressed mood, reward loss, traumatic arousal, social isolation, housing, cognition, and future credibility. Columns are possible inputs: emergency or inpatient care, medical treatment, medication, psychotherapy, behavioural activation, peer or recovery support, family work, exercise or rehabilitation, benefits and housing assistance, workplace accommodation, and neuromodulation. Each cell carries an expected effect, delay, evidence grade, burden, reversibility, interaction risk, and infrastructure requirement. The matrix is not an algorithm that chooses care. It prevents the plan from confusing modality count with coverage.

Shared outcomes follow the coupled formulation. Symptom scales remain useful, but they sit beside substance exposure and loss of control, craving, sleep, pain, function, adverse effects, treatment attendance,

social contact, work or study, safety, and the person's own goals. A single number cannot show whether a lower mood score was purchased with sedation, whether abstinence was accompanied by unbearable pain, or whether improved function restored a valued identity. Measurement should create a more truthful conversation, not another institution that the person must satisfy.

One safety plan should integrate all relevant transitions. It identifies personally specific warning signs: withdrawal risk, escalating use, access to lethal means, sleepless activation, dissociation, isolation, severe hopelessness, or loss of food and medication routines. It names internal steps only where realistic, people and places that can offer support, professional contacts, urgent routes, and measures that reduce access to means of self-harm. It states what a loved one should do if safety cannot be maintained. It is written collaboratively, stored where it can be reached, and revised after each crisis or near-crisis. It is not a promise extracted under pressure.

Coupled Capture changes the moral atmosphere of treatment. A relapse in one domain is not evidence that all progress was false. A depressive episode is not proof that recovery from substance use was meaningless. A person may cause harm and still remain more than the worst state they occupied; accountability and dignity are not opposites. The scientific question is how to reduce the probability that one state recruits the other. The human question is how to do so without making truth-telling cost the person belonging.

The core principle is simple: co-occurring disorders should be differentiated precisely and treated as an interacting system. Depression, addiction, trauma, pain, and illness do not wait politely for one another. Care should not either.

CHAPTER 14

CBT, David Burns, and Therapeutic Reweighting

14.1 Beck's cognitive model and behavioural activation

Cognitive behavioural therapy begins from a disciplined refusal to treat thought, emotion, body, and action as separate worlds. In Aaron Beck's model, depression is associated with negatively biased beliefs and appraisals concerning the self, world, and future; automatic thoughts arise within situations and interact with emotion and behaviour. Later cognitive science has complicated every simple version of this account. Biases are not always conscious, negative predictions are sometimes accurate, social adversity can keep confirming them, and depression cannot be reduced to faulty sentences in the head. Yet the model retains clinical power because it turns global certainty into inspectable process.

"I am a burden" feels like an identity statement. CBT asks what events activate it, what evidence is selected, what alternatives are excluded, what behaviour follows, and what happens next. If the thought leads the person to cancel contact, cancellation removes opportunities to discover that another person wanted the contact. The belief is maintained not only by cognition but by the data environment that avoidance creates. A thought is therefore both representation and intervention: it describes a world and changes which world will be sampled.

The loop can be expressed as a state-dependent update. Let b_t be a belief about a proposition, a_t an action, o_{t+1} the observed outcome, and π_t the precision assigned to the current model. Then

$$b_{t+1} = b_t + \alpha_t \pi_t (o_{t+1} - \hat{o}_{t+1}(b_t, a_t)),$$

where the learning rate α_t and precision π_t depend on sleep, arousal, attention, history, and context. In depression, positive prediction errors may be poorly registered, attributed away, or prevented by withdrawal, while negative outcomes are rapidly generalized. The equation is not a validated patient-level measure. It makes the therapeutic task explicit: create observations that are safe enough to occur, clear enough to interpret, and repeated enough to update a high-confidence model.

Cognitive restructuring is often caricatured as replacing a negative thought with a positive one. Competent practice is closer to collaborative model criticism. What exactly is predicted? How confident is the prediction?

What would count as disconfirming or refining evidence? Does the wording contain all-or-nothing reasoning, mind reading, overgeneralization, discounting of positive evidence, emotional reasoning, imperatives, global labelling, personalization, or catastrophizing? These patterns are not moral defects, and their labels are not diagnoses. They are prompts for examining an inference.

Reality remains authoritative. If a person expects discrimination because discrimination has repeatedly occurred, therapy should not manufacture reassurance. It can distinguish probability from certainty, identify contexts with different risk, plan boundaries, reduce internalized blame, and act on the environment. If debt is unpayable, a thought record is not a debt intervention. Cognitive work becomes humane when it makes the model more accurate and agency more usable, not when it protects the therapist from the world's cruelty.

Behavioural activation brings the same logic to movement and reinforcement. Depression reduces activity, routine, social contact, mastery, and exposure to reward; that loss then deepens depressed mood and low expectation. Activation reverses the inference that action must wait for motivation. It schedules small, observable, values-consistent behaviours and uses their consequences as data. Component and comparative trials helped establish behavioural activation as a credible treatment in its own right, while later reviews support its efficacy with qualifications about study quality and comparators (Jacobson et al., 1996; Dimidjian et al., 2006; Uphoff et al., 2020).

In Flow Hijacked language, activation is controlled exploration of a compressed state-space. The dose matters. A plan containing exercise, social contact, administration, mindfulness, nutrition, work, and perfect sleep can become an experiment designed to confirm failure. The useful starting action is often below the level that pride would choose and above the level that total withdrawal would permit. Its first outcome may be no pleasure at all. It may instead reduce inertia, restore sequence, improve sleep later, create one honest contact, or make the next action less costly.

This is the **Reward Relearning Gradient**: an action matters not only by how good it feels now, but by whether it increases the probability of approaching life again. Let i index an activity and define, conceptually,

$$\text{RRG}_i(t) = \frac{w_v \Delta \widehat{V}_i + w_a \Delta A_i + w_c \Delta C_i + w_m \Delta M_i + w_o \Delta O_i}{E_i(t) + \varepsilon},$$

where the numerator includes changes in expected value, willingness to approach, connection, meaning or authorship, and openness of the reachable field; E_i is effective effort. This is not a clinical score. It protects an important observation: an activity can have little immediate hedonic effect and still create a positive learning gradient. Conversely, intense immediate relief can have a negative gradient if it narrows tomorrow.

14.2 Burns's accessible translation: distortions, written methods, and bibliotherapy

David Burns brought cognitive therapy into public language with unusual reach. *Feeling Good* translated cognitive distortions, mood measurement, written thought work, behavioural methods, and self-help practice into a form that many readers could use outside the consulting room (Burns, 1980). The historical contribution is not trivial. A method becomes socially consequential when people can recognize themselves in it, reproduce it, and bring structured observations into care.

Burns's familiar lists can be helpful because depression often arrives as indivisible truth. Naming "discounting the positive" or "all-or-nothing thinking" introduces a thin space between the person and the conclusion. But a distortion checklist can become another weapon of self-criticism. People may begin policing every thought, treating distress as an error, or hearing that suffering persists because they have failed to think correctly. The checklist should therefore function as a menu of hypotheses, not a court of appeal against emotion.

Written techniques have particular value when working memory is impaired. A structured record externalizes the sequence: situation, emotion, automatic thought, evidence, alternative response, action, and outcome. This reduces the demand to hold the entire problem in mind. It also creates longitudinal data. Which themes recur? Which contexts generate the strongest certainty? Do alternatives change emotion, action, neither, or both? A record that reveals "the thought changed, but the unsafe workplace did not" is not a failed worksheet. It is a better formulation.

Bibliotherapy occupies an evidence category of its own. A small primary-care randomized trial involving 38 patients compared usual care with a prescription to read *Feeling Good*. Both groups improved and there was no overall superiority finding, with adherence and sample size limiting inference (Naylor et al., 2010). That result neither invalidates the book's practical usefulness nor supports sweeping efficacy claims. Self-help can extend access, provide language, and support practice; it should not be represented as equivalent to a fully powered independent trial of a branded therapeutic package.

The same boundary applies to anecdote. Rapid and profound improvement can occur in psychotherapy. A compelling case can teach process. It cannot estimate average benefit, harms, durability, comparative efficacy, or who will not respond. Respect for Burns requires representing both the generativity of his clinical work and the hierarchy of evidence. Admiration becomes more credible, not less, when it can survive calibration.

14.3 TEAM: Testing, Empathy, Assessment of Resistance/Agenda Setting, and Methods

Burns's later TEAM formulation organizes treatment around four interacting functions: Testing, Empathy, Assessment of Resistance—often framed as agenda setting—and Methods (Burns, 2020). **TEAM-CBT** is the label used in the emerging package-specific studies; here, **TEAM** refers to this four-function clinical architecture. As a clinical architecture, it contains several valuable correctives to technique-first therapy. It gives measurement a place within every encounter, makes empathic understanding an active phase rather than a preamble, treats ambivalence as meaningful, and allows a broad method repertoire once goals are genuinely shared.

Testing means that change and the therapeutic relationship are observed rather than assumed. Brief symptom and alliance measures can reveal deterioration, suicidality, misunderstanding, or rupture that a persuasive session narrative might miss. Measurement-based care more broadly can improve recognition and adaptation, although effects depend on whether data are reviewed and acted upon. A form that disappears into the record is administration, not feedback.

Testing must remain subordinate to the person's reality. Scores are samples of experience under a particular wording and time window. They can miss mixed states, psychosis, intoxication, withdrawal, catatonia, escalating agitation, domestic danger, or a carefully concealed suicide plan. They can also overstate pathology in contexts of grief or acute adversity. The ethical sequence is measure, inquire, interpret, and revise—not score, classify, and overrule.

Empathy is often misunderstood as agreement or kindness without direction. Clinically, it is the effort to understand both content and emotional logic: what happened, what it meant, why the present response makes sense, and what the listener may still be missing. Accurate empathy lowers the cost of disclosure. In dynamical terms, it changes the social field in which perturbation occurs. A cognitive challenge delivered before the person feels understood can increase threat gain and make the old belief more rigid. The same question asked within a trustworthy relationship can become tolerable evidence gathering.

Empathy also has epistemic value. It improves the model. A therapist who assumes "avoidance" may learn that the person is preventing violence, managing post-exertional symptoms, or protecting a child. A therapist who assumes "resistance" may discover prior coercion, treatment harms, cultural mistrust, or a rational concern about medication. The relational stance is not separate from science; it determines data quality.

Assessment of Resistance or agenda setting addresses the fact that a symptom can be hated and still protect something. Depression may reduce impossible demands, signal injury, preserve attachment to someone lost, prevent another exposure to humiliation, or provide the only legitimate route to rest. Alcohol may relieve panic; avoidance may prevent traumatic flooding; self-criticism may be experienced as protection against complacency. These functions do not make suffering desirable. They explain why direct attack on a symptom can recruit defensive control.

The word *resistance* can become accusatory if it locates the obstacle inside a difficult patient. A more precise formulation asks about competing goals, predicted costs, values, loyalty, and safety. What would be lost if this problem changed? What danger does the current pattern prevent? Whose expectations would return? What identity would become uncertain? The objective is not to defeat ambivalence but to make its terms speakable. Motivational interviewing offers a compatible discipline: evoke the person's own reasons for change, respect autonomy, and avoid turning persuasion into a power struggle (Miller and Rollnick, 2013).

Methods include cognitive, behavioural, exposure-based, motivational, interpersonal, acceptance, imagery, compassion, and other techniques. Breadth is useful only when formulation governs selection. A rapid succession of methods can overwhelm a depleted person or turn therapy into performance. Method choice should follow mechanism: behavioural experiment for a testable prediction; activation for collapsed action and reinforcement; exposure for avoidance maintained by threat learning; problem solving for a solvable external obstacle; compassion-focused work for punitive self-attack; interpersonal work for grief, role transition, or recurrent relational patterns.

The sequence Testing–Empathy–Agenda–Methods should not be fetishized as a rigid four-step law. Human conversations loop. New data may require renewed empathy; a method may expose an unrecognized cost; improvement may change goals. The durable contribution is architectural: do not assume outcome, do not challenge before understanding, do not interpret ambivalence as bad faith, and do not choose technique before agreeing on the problem.

Direct evidence for TEAM as a complete branded package remains limited. A 2024 feasibility study of a TEAM-inspired mobile intervention reported acceptability and symptom-change signals but was not a definitive randomized efficacy trial (Bisconti et al., 2024). A retrospective naturalistic study in 116 adolescents and young adults reported symptom reductions, often early in treatment, but lacked randomization and a comparison group (Bourgeois-Munoz et al., 2024). These are legitimate signals for further research. They do not justify equating package-specific claims with the much larger CBT evidence base.

14.4 Positive reframing without romanticizing suffering

Positive reframing asks what a symptom, thought, or emotion says about values and protective intentions. Guilt may express conscience; anxiety may express care; sadness may express attachment; guardedness may reflect survival learning; perfectionism may protect belonging in a demanding environment. This can reduce shame by showing that the person’s system is not arbitrary. It can also increase willingness to change because treatment no longer demands betrayal of what matters.

The intervention is powerful precisely because it is easy to misuse. Suffering should not be made noble in order to be taken seriously. Suicidal despair, addiction, starvation, panic, and disabling depression are not gifts that need to be appreciated before care is deserved. Abuse, discrimination, poverty, and neglect are not reframed into character development. A protective origin does not imply a beneficial present function. The correct formulation is often: this response makes sense given what it tried to protect, and its current cost has become unacceptable.

The **Adaptive Compression Engine** provides a systems translation. Under threat or depleted reserve, narrowing attention and action can conserve energy, reduce exposure, and prioritize immediate safety. That is an adaptive function. Compression becomes pathological when it persists after its context has changed, generalizes too broadly, or prevents the experiences needed to revise the model. The therapy preserves the wisdom of protection while renegotiating its range.

This stance is especially important in dual diagnosis. If alcohol supplied the only predictable quiet, asking a person to hate the drinking can intensify shame without creating an alternative. If avoidance prevented traumatic overwhelm, calling it cowardice reproduces the original loss of control. If depression forced rest in an exploitative setting, treating activation as unconditional productivity can return the person to injury. Positive reframing should identify the need—quiet, safety, sleep, dignity, relief—then help build routes that meet it with lower long-term cost.

14.5 CBT as Therapeutic Vector-Field Reweighting

CBT is often described through content: thoughts become more balanced and behaviour becomes more active. Flow Hijacked instead asks how therapy changes motion. Let the person’s state be

$$x(t) = [b(t), n(t), c(t), i(t), r(t), w(t), f(t)]^T,$$

representing body, brain, cognition, identity, relationships, world, and future. A controlled stochastic system may be written

$$dx_t = F(x_t, \theta_t) dt + B(x_t, t)u_t dt + \Sigma(x_t, t) dW_t.$$

Therapy is not the input u_t alone. Successful psychotherapy changes parameters θ_t , so future motion differs when the therapist is absent. **Therapeutic Vector-Field Reweighting** names this durable change in the relative pull of appraisals, habits, emotions, actions, and relationships. The aim is not to replace the whole person's dynamics with a therapist's preferred trajectory. It is to weaken forces that produce involuntary capture and strengthen routes the person endorses.

In a local approximation around state x^* ,

$$\delta\dot{x} = A(t)\delta x + B(t)u(t) + \eta(t).$$

A cognitive intervention can alter entries linking event interpretation to shame and withdrawal. Behavioural activation can alter the channel from action to observed reward and future expectation. Empathy can reduce social threat and improve incoming reach. Agenda work can expose an unmodelled constraint. Repeated behavioural experiments can change slow parameters governing precision and generalization. Because the system is coupled, a small change in one channel can propagate into sleep, relationship, reward, and identity.

The model also explains why the same method has different effects across states. In a high-gain trauma state, direct challenge may transiently amplify arousal. In severe psychomotor slowing, a complex worksheet may exceed working-memory capacity. In a materially unsafe environment, an experiment that assumes safety is invalid. In active withdrawal, cognition may be too unstable for reliable learning. Formulation is therefore partly a problem of timing: which perturbation is tolerable now, and which route must be stabilized first?

Semantic–Affective Gating connects this process to the broader brain chapter of the monograph. Language does not merely report feeling; it selects, frames, inhibits, and retrieves meanings that can alter affect and action. Inferior frontal regions including BA45 participate in controlled semantic retrieval and selection, while reappraisal recruits distributed control, salience, memory, and valuation networks. Depression-related differences involving BA45 or neighbouring inferior frontal systems are emerging and task-dependent, not evidence of a cognitive-therapy centre. The cautious synthesis is that language-mediated appraisal is one control channel through which distributed networks may be reconfigured.

CBT can thus act on several prior Flow Hijacked concepts. It increases the **Navigability Margin** by reducing the effective cost of a next step. It updates the **Flow Map** by identifying triggers, constraints, and exits. It counters **Identity Entrapment** by separating a state-bound thought from the whole self. It reduces **Salience Collapse** by deliberately sampling neglected cues. It supports **Agency Re-Expansion** through observable authorship. It increases the **Reward Relearning Gradient** by making action informative. It can widen the **Reciprocal Reachability Field** when the person can initiate more contact and receive more of what contact brings.

These are hypotheses about process, not a new claim that CBT solves every bottleneck. Therapy cannot pharmacologically manage dangerous withdrawal, reverse sleep apnoea, remove an abusive partner, supply housing, or substitute for urgent treatment of psychosis or catatonia. It can help people make decisions, communicate, test models, and take action within those realities. Its effectiveness increases when the rest of the treatment system makes those actions possible.

14.6 Evidence boundary: CBT efficacy versus TEAM-specific claims

The broad evidence base for CBT in depression is large. A 2023 meta-analytic update included 409 trials and 52,702 participants and found moderate-to-large effects relative to control conditions, alongside substantial heterogeneity, risk-of-bias concerns, and smaller effects against active comparators (Cuijpers et al., 2023). Network meta-analysis supports several psychotherapies rather than a single universal winner, and patient preference, severity, comorbidity, therapist competence, access, and cultural adaptation matter (Cuijpers et al., 2021). Guided internet CBT can expand access and has supportive individual-participant meta-analytic evidence, with guidance and engagement infrastructure affecting outcome (Karyotaki et al., 2021).

Effect size is conditional on comparison. A waiting list, care as usual, attention control, and another bona fide psychotherapy ask different questions. A 2025 meta-analysis across hundreds of randomized trials showed that control condition materially changes estimated psychotherapy effects (Cuijpers et al., 2025). This is not

a statistical technicality. It is why “large effect” cannot be interpreted without asking: compared with what, measured when, in whom, and under what risk of bias?

The evidence also does not license indifference to common factors. Alliance, empathy, expectation, cultural fit, and treatment credibility can influence engagement and outcome, while specific methods remain important for specific processes. A false contest between relationship and technique impoverishes both. The relationship creates a field in which technique can be used; technique gives the relationship directional work.

For Burns and TEAM, the fair conclusion is layered. The books are influential clinical and public translations. The TEAM architecture is coherent and generates testable process hypotheses. Early feasibility and naturalistic studies justify further trials. The package does not yet possess an independent randomized evidence base comparable to CBT broadly. Claims of unusually rapid recovery should therefore be presented as clinical reports or hypotheses unless supported by adequately powered, preregistered comparative studies with transparent harms, durability, allegiance, and attrition data.

This evidential boundary is an expression of respect, not hostility. It protects readers who are desperate enough to interpret every confident promise as a verdict on themselves. If improvement is slower than a book or testimonial suggests, the person has not failed the method. The formulation, delivery, diagnosis, environment, and treatment portfolio should be reviewed. Depression is heterogeneous; no psychotherapy owns every route out.

The deepest CBT contribution is not the demand to think positively. It is the conviction that predictions can be examined, behaviour can generate new evidence, and a person can become a collaborator in revising the processes that constrain life. Under the Flow Hijacked lens, that is therapeutic reweighting: one force loses inevitability, one action becomes possible, and the field begins to change.

CLOSING ORIENTATION

Technique inside relationship

CBT is strongest here when it remains collaborative, empirical, and state-sensitive. Burns and TEAM-CBT add memorable methods for empathy, agenda work, resistance, and rapid feedback; the broad independent evidence base belongs to CBT and behavioural activation more securely than to every element of the branded package. The clinical question is therefore not which worksheet wins. It is which prediction, avoidance loop, effort barrier, or social threat can be tested without humiliating or overwhelming the person—and what observation would genuinely update the shared formulation.

Treatment Is a Portfolio, Not a Referendum

Scale	Examples	Responsible question
Immediate safety	Crisis care, means safety, withdrawal management, medical stabilisation	What cannot wait, and who will receive the handoff?
Body and rhythm	Sleep/circadian care, pain treatment, movement, nutrition, medical review	Which bodily load is reversible without lifestyle blame?
Psychological	CBT, behavioural activation, interpersonal and problem-solving therapies	Which prediction, avoidance loop, skill, or relationship can change?
Medication	Antidepressants and indicated treatments for co-occurring conditions	What are the likely benefits, delays, adverse effects, interactions, and discontinuation plan?
Rapid/somatic	ECT, ketamine/esketamine, TMS/TBS, selected neuromodulation	Is severity/resistance sufficient, and how will benefit be maintained?
Relational and social	Family/peer support, housing, income, workplace, transport, protection from violence	Does the world permit the prescribed action to occur?
Integrated dual diagnosis	Concurrent depression, substance-use, trauma, pain, sleep and harm-reduction care	Which processes are coupled, and will separate plans undermine each other?

CORE SENTENCE

The most sophisticated plan is not the one with the most components; it is the one that protects safety, locates the dominant bottleneck, respects preference, and leaves enough margin for participation.

CHAPTER 15

A Treatment Portfolio Across Scales

15.1 Shared decision-making and preference-sensitive care

There is no single treatment ladder for depression because there is no single depressive state. A first episode after prolonged adversity, melancholic depression with profound psychomotor change, recurrent depression with anxiety, bipolar depression, psychotic depression, post-partum illness, depression during alcohol withdrawal, and chronic low-reward life under pain may share symptoms while requiring different priorities. Guidelines offer evidence-informed routes, not a machine for replacing clinical judgment. The first treatment decision is therefore a formulation decision.

Good formulation separates at least six questions. What is dangerous now? What syndrome and course are most plausible? Which mechanisms or bottlenecks appear dominant? What has already been tried adequately, with what benefit and burden? Which interventions are reachable in the person's actual world? What does the person value enough to protect while treatment acts? These questions keep severity, evidence, preference, and infrastructure inside the same frame.

Shared decision-making is not the ritual of presenting a menu and asking the most depleted person in the room to choose alone. Depression can reduce concentration, future simulation, confidence, and the ability to compare delayed outcomes. The clinician should translate options into lived trade-offs: expected time to benefit; common and serious adverse effects; monitoring; travel; cost; effects on sleep, sexuality, weight, cognition, pregnancy, driving, work, or caregiving; what happens after nonresponse; and the plan for continuation. The person contributes history, priorities, previous harms, and what is realistically tolerable. Expertise is shared without being abandoned.

Urgency changes proportionality. For less severe depression, active monitoring, guided self-help, structured psychotherapy, behavioural activation, exercise, and social intervention may be appropriate depending on guideline, access, and preference; NICE advises against routinely offering antidepressants first-line for less severe depression unless that is the person's informed preference (NICE, 2022). Moderate or severe illness may justify psychotherapy, medication, or their combination. Psychotic depression, catatonia, inability to eat or drink, severe self-neglect, mania, dangerous withdrawal, or imminent suicide risk can require specialist or emergency care. Equal dignity does not imply equal treatment intensity.

The **Navigability Margin** is the distance between present capacity and the demands required for a safe next transition. A treatment can improve this margin by increasing energy, reducing rumination, restoring sleep, lowering threat, supplying transport, or simplifying the route into care. It can also worsen the margin through sedation, akathisia, cognitive burden, expense, complex monitoring, or an appointment schedule the person cannot meet. Efficacy is therefore not separable from usability.

This leads to the Flow Hijacked rule of the **least-burdensome sufficient lever**. The phrase does not mean choosing the mildest intervention by default. If delay is dangerous, a slower low-intensity option can carry greater total burden than an intensive treatment that acts rapidly. If a small supported behavioural intervention is sufficient, escalation can add unnecessary harm. The relevant comparison is among reachable alternatives in the current state—not between treatment and an imaginary untouched life.

15.2 Antidepressants, continuation, withdrawal, and switching

Antidepressants are neither frauds nor universal chemical corrections. In the 2018 network meta-analysis by Cipriani and colleagues, all 21 included antidepressants were more efficacious than placebo on average in acute adult major depression, with differences in efficacy and acceptability (Cipriani et al., 2018). Those are population-level short-term estimates. They do not predict which individual will respond, which adverse effects will matter most, or whether a particular drug is appropriate in bipolar depression, pregnancy, medical illness, or complex polypharmacy.

The old public story that depression simply reflects a serotonin deficiency is not a defensible account of causation. That correction does not imply that medicines affecting serotonin or other neurotransmitter systems cannot help. Drugs alter distributed signalling, plasticity, sleep, arousal, anxiety, and learning over time; therapeutic effects cannot be read directly from a slogan about one transmitter. A person's response is empirical data. The responsible stance is plural: explain uncertainty, monitor the target, and take benefit and harm equally seriously.

Medication selection is preference-sensitive. Previous response, family history, symptom profile, anxiety, sleep, pain, sexual function, weight and metabolic risk, cardiac or seizure risk, interactions, overdose safety, pregnancy or lactation, substance use, and access to follow-up all matter. Pharmacogenomic tests have limited, context-dependent utility and should not be sold as deterministic maps of the right antidepressant. Baseline measurement can help, but an instrument does not replace a clinical review for activation, mixed features, suicidality, psychosis, or adverse effects.

The first prescription should contain an update rule. What improvement is being sought? When is meaningful benefit expected? Which early adverse effects can be observed, and which require urgent contact? Who will review the result? What constitutes adequate delivery, and what is the next step after partial response or nonresponse? "Continue and see" can be reasonable, but it should have a date. Repeatedly extending an ineffective or intolerable trial without reassessment is not patience.

After insufficient benefit, options include optimizing delivery, switching, combining, augmenting, adding psychotherapy, or changing modality. Each new step should trigger a diagnostic and contextual audit: bipolarity, substances, sleep apnoea, pain, endocrine illness, trauma, adherence barriers, adverse effects, and whether the prior psychotherapy was actually delivered with fidelity and enough attendance. The term "treatment-resistant depression" can support access to specialist care but can also make resistance sound like a property of the patient. "Difficult-to-treat depression" keeps delivery, comorbidity, and long-term management visible while acknowledging that some illness remains genuinely refractory despite excellent care.

Augmentation strategies can include selected second-generation antipsychotics, lithium, thyroid hormone, and other guideline-supported options in particular circumstances. They differ substantially in evidence and burden. Akathisia, sedation, metabolic change, prolactin effects, movement disorders, kidney or thyroid risk, toxicity, pregnancy implications, and interaction burden belong in the decision, not in a later footnote. No discussion here supplies a regimen; these choices require qualified prescribers and appropriate monitoring.

Continuation and maintenance are distinct from acute treatment. Once symptoms improve, the system may remain vulnerable: sleep and routine may not have stabilized, social consequences may persist, and new learning may not yet generalize. Episode history, residual symptoms, severity, suicidality, recurrence after stopping, bipolarity, comorbidity, preference, and adverse effects influence duration. In the ANTLER trial, adults who felt well enough to consider stopping long-term antidepressants had more relapse over 52 weeks after discontinuation than after continuation—56% compared with 39%—while many people discontinued without relapse (Lewis et al., 2021). The finding supports informed maintenance decisions, not indefinite medication for everyone.

Withdrawal symptoms are real and heterogeneous. Dizziness, disequilibrium, sensory disturbances, nausea, vivid dreams, anxiety, irritability, insomnia, and low mood can follow dose reduction or cessation. A 2024 systematic review and meta-analysis estimated excess discontinuation symptoms beyond nonspecific or nocebo-associated events and found severe symptoms in a minority, while study methods, abrupt stopping, brief exposure, and short follow-up limited estimates (Henssler et al., 2024). Withdrawal and relapse can resemble one another; timing and symptom quality can help, but no simple rule decides every case.

Abrupt discontinuation can be destabilizing and should generally be avoided unless an urgent medical reason is managed by clinicians. Tapering is individualized, supervised, and revised in response to experience. This monograph deliberately gives no universal schedule. A humane taper plan includes continuity of supply, suitable formulations, a review date, what to monitor, what to do if symptoms emerge, and a rapid route back into care. Slowing or pausing is information, not failure. Medication is not a moral test of dependence or independence.

15.3 Psychotherapies, behavioural activation, sleep, movement, and social intervention

Psychotherapy is a family of interventions, not a single rival to medication. CBT, behavioural activation, interpersonal psychotherapy, problem-solving therapy, psychodynamic approaches, mindfulness-based cognitive therapy, couple or family work, and other structured therapies recruit different processes. Large syntheses support several psychotherapies for depression, with differences reduced when study quality, comparators, and allegiance are considered (Cuijpers et al., 2021, 2023). The question is not which school owns recovery, but which process is needed, which treatment can deliver it competently, and whether the person can reach and use it.

CBT is particularly suited to explicit appraisals, avoidance loops, biased information sampling, and relapse skills. Behavioural activation can begin where reward and movement have collapsed. Interpersonal psychotherapy targets grief, role transitions, disputes, and relational patterns. Mindfulness-based cognitive therapy has evidence in relapse prevention for recurrent depression, but contemplative practice can also produce adverse effects and should not be universalized (Kuyken et al., 2016; Farias et al., 2020). Trauma-focused treatment is indicated when PTSD processes are active; it should not be replaced by generic positive thinking.

Delivery format matters. Guided internet CBT can reduce travel and extend specialist reach, but guidance, privacy, crisis response, digital literacy, language, disability access, and device cost are clinical variables (Karyotaki et al., 2021). Group treatment can create belonging and universality but may expose shame. Individual therapy can be private and precise but can intensify dependence on one weekly relationship if the wider field remains empty. A good service offers routes rather than treating one format as proof of seriousness.

Exercise has supportive evidence for depression, including a 2024 systematic review and network meta-analysis, while effect estimates are affected by study quality, expectancy, adherence, and the impossibility of blinding behaviour in the same way as a pill (Noetel et al., 2024). The translation must be compassionate. “Exercise” can mean a supervised programme, walking, resistance work, cycling, dance, adapted movement, or rehabilitation. Severe fatigue, pain, disability, medication effects, unsafe neighbourhoods, caregiving, and cost shape feasibility. A prescription without access is an accusation disguised as advice.

Sleep and circadian treatment can be primary levers when insomnia, irregular timing, shift work, sleep apnoea, substance cycles, or delayed phase sustains depression. Food security, adequate nutrition, and medical assessment matter more than fashionable supplement lists. Supplements should be evaluated for evidence, dose, interaction, contamination, and opportunity cost; “natural” does not mean inert. The same standard applies to light treatment, which can help particular seasonal or circadian presentations but requires attention to bipolar activation risk and ocular or medical context.

Social intervention belongs inside the treatment portfolio. Housing, income support, debt advice, protection from violence, childcare, transport, work accommodation, and culturally safe community contact can alter causal inputs that psychotherapy and medication cannot. This is not an argument that depression disappears when society improves. It is the recognition that a treatment delivered into continuing hunger, eviction threat, discrimination, or isolation is being asked to oppose a live vector field.

15.4 Ketamine, esketamine, psychedelic-assisted treatment, and ECT

Rapid-acting treatments have changed the temporal imagination of depression care. Ketamine’s antidepressant effects helped move research beyond a delayed monoamine-only narrative toward glutamatergic signalling, synaptic plasticity, and network reconfiguration. Intravenous racemic ketamine is used in specialized settings; esketamine has regulatory approval in particular jurisdictions and indications with required monitoring. Neither should be reduced to a miracle molecule.

Benefits can emerge rapidly in some people, but response is not universal and durability usually requires a continuation strategy. Dissociation, blood-pressure change, nausea, sedation, perceptual effects, misuse potential, access, cost, transport, and monitoring requirements matter. Suicidal thinking may improve rapidly in some trials, but acute risk still requires comprehensive safety care; a change in one scale does not guarantee safety after discharge. Clinics should separate evidence-based regulated treatment from commercial atmospheres that convert urgency into certainty.

The ELEKT-D randomized noninferiority trial compared intravenous ketamine with electroconvulsive therapy in selected adults with nonpsychotic treatment-resistant depression. Ketamine was noninferior for acute response under the study's prespecified conditions, while the trial excluded psychotic depression and the treatments differ in durability, cognitive effects, logistics, and maintenance (Anand et al., 2023). Noninferiority in one population is not equivalence across all severe depression.

KARMA-Dep 2 supplies an important active-control counterpoint. In this double-blind, pragmatic, single-centre trial, 65 inpatients with a unipolar or bipolar major depressive episode were randomized and 62 were analysed; up to eight twice-weekly infusions of ketamine or midazolam were added to usual inpatient care. At the end of treatment, the adjusted between-group difference in MADRS score was -3.16 points (95% CI -8.54 to 2.22 ; $P = .25$), and secondary efficacy, cognitive, quality-of-life, and economic outcomes did not show significant separation. Participants often inferred their allocation, and the sample and setting constrain generalization. The result does not establish that ketamine has no antidepressant action; it shows that superiority to serial midazolam was not demonstrated under this protocol in this inpatient population (Jelovac et al., 2025).

Psilocybin-assisted treatment requires the same paired reading. In a phase 2 trial of 104 adults with moderate-to-severe major depressive disorder, one 25-mg psilocybin dose delivered with psychological support produced a day-43 adjusted MADRS difference of -12.3 points versus niacin (95% CI -17.5 to -7.2 ; $P < .001$). The selected sample excluded psychosis, mania, active substance-use disorder, and active suicidal intent; follow-up was short, functional unblinding was difficult to prevent, and overall and severe adverse events were more frequent with psilocybin (Raison et al., 2023). In contrast, the triple-blind, two-centre EPISODE trial randomized 144 adults with treatment-resistant depression to adjunctive 25-mg or 5-mg psilocybin or nicotinamide. Its primary week-6 HAMD-17 response rates were 17.0%, 12.5%, and 10.6%, respectively; the odds ratio for 25 mg versus nicotinamide was 1.73 (95% CI 0.53 to 6.23; $P = .19$). Some secondary symptom-change outcomes favoured 25 mg, while dosing-day suicidal ideation occurred in 4% versus 1%–2% and two serious treatment-related reactions were reported, including one persistent perceptual disturbance (Mertens et al., 2026). Together, these studies justify neither dismissal nor certainty. They do not support self-treatment: any clinical use belongs in lawful, regulated specialist care with careful screening, preparation, monitoring, emergency capacity, and follow-up.

ECT remains one of the most effective acute treatments for severe depression and is especially important when psychosis, catatonia, refusal or inability to eat and drink, extreme functional collapse, or life-threatening delay is present (NICE, 2022; Lam et al., 2024). Contemporary ECT is performed under anaesthesia with muscle relaxation and medical monitoring. It is neither punishment nor a trivial procedure. Stigma can deny access; uncritical enthusiasm can conceal cognitive burden.

The ethical quality of ECT includes indication, continuing consent, capacity safeguards, technical calibration, cognitive monitoring, and what happens afterward. Acute confusion and difficulty learning new information are common around treatment. Group studies can show recovery of many objective cognitive functions while individuals report autobiographical memory gaps that remain meaningful. Both forms of evidence belong in the decision. Electrode placement, pulse width, dose, frequency, age, and concurrent medication affect efficacy and cognitive burden. Continuation medication, psychotherapy, continuation ECT, or other maintenance planning is often needed because relapse after acute response is common.

Under Flow Hijacked, ketamine, carefully delivered psychedelic-assisted treatment, and ECT can create an **Attractor Revision Corridor**: a time-limited period in which entrenched motion has loosened enough for new learning and reconnection to become possible. This is a conceptual synthesis, not a measured biological window common to all patients. Its practical implication is modest and important: a rapid symptom change should be connected immediately to sleep, relationship, behavioural, occupational, and continuation infrastructure. Opening a corridor is not the same as building a life through it.

15.5 TMS, accelerated TBS, tDCS, VNS, MST, and DBS

Neuromodulation is not one treatment class with one evidential status. Non-invasive stimulation, implanted stimulation, and seizure therapies differ in mechanism, intensity, reversibility, burden, and maturity. Technical novelty should never be used as a proxy for superiority.

Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation has an established role for major depression after inadequate response, with protocols targeting dorsolateral prefrontal networks and related circuits. Intermittent theta-

burst stimulation can deliver a shorter session; the THREE-D trial supported noninferiority of iTBS to standard high-frequency left-sided rTMS in its studied population (Blumberger et al., 2018). Targeting has increasingly used individual connectivity rather than scalp coordinates alone, but personalization claims should be distinguished from evidence that a particular method improves outcomes.

An intensive, accelerated iTBS protocol targeted through individual connectivity—Stanford neuromodulation therapy—produced large acute effects in a small single-centre sham-controlled trial, generating justified excitement and a requirement for replication, implementation study, and durability data (Cole et al., 2022). A separate 2025 triple-blind sham-controlled trial of a more pragmatic three-sessions-per-day accelerated iTBS protocol in 100 participants reported efficacy and acceptable safety, while its single-centre design and longer-term questions remain (Ramos et al., 2025). “Accelerated” describes schedule as well as hoped-for recovery; travel and daily intensity can make it inaccessible to the very people it is meant to help.

Home-based transcranial direct-current stimulation may shift infrastructure. In a fully remote phase 2 randomized sham-controlled trial of 174 adults, active home tDCS produced greater symptom improvement over ten weeks than sham, with selected eligibility, skin effects, possible unblinding, and replication needs limiting immediate generalization (Woodham et al., 2025). The important innovation is not merely current delivered through electrodes. It is the possibility of moving some treatment burden from repeated clinic travel into supervised home care. Remote does not mean unsupported; training, device integrity, adverse-event monitoring, and crisis routes remain essential.

A 2026 systematic review and meta-analysis places that individual trial inside a more cautious pooled estimate. Across six sham-controlled randomized trials involving approximately 600 people with major depressive disorder, remotely supervised home tDCS showed a pooled Hedges’ g of 0.36 (95% CI 0.06 to 0.66; $P = .03$; $I^2 = 34.3\%$), with the evidence graded moderate. The signal was not robust when the largest positive trial was removed, and two of the three largest trials did not show a benefit. This is a promising aggregate effect, not yet a basis for routine adoption; replication, durability, blinding integrity, equitable access, and implementation safety remain decisive (Haimi et al., 2026).

Vagus nerve stimulation acts on a slower time scale and requires implantation. In a one-year randomized sham-controlled trial among people with highly treatment-resistant depression, the primary endpoint—percentage of time in MADRS response—did not separate groups, although several other response measures favoured VNS and safety was considered acceptable (Conway et al., 2025). The mixed endpoint pattern should be represented exactly. A procedure cannot be declared successful by selecting only favourable outcomes after a primary endpoint is negative; nor should potentially meaningful secondary patterns be erased. Implant burden, delayed response, cost, and patient selection are central.

Magnetic seizure therapy attempts to retain convulsive efficacy while reducing cognitive burden through more focal magnetic induction. The 2026 CREST–MST multicentre double-blind noninferiority trial compared MST with right-unilateral ultrabrief ECT in 239 participants. MST met the prespecified noninferiority criterion for remission and showed a more favourable autobiographical-memory profile in the study (Blumberger et al., 2026). It remains a specialist procedure whose availability, maintenance strategy, longer-term outcomes, and broader replication will determine its clinical place. A promising new comparator does not make ECT obsolete.

Deep brain stimulation is more invasive and remains investigational for depression in many settings. Early open-label work targeting subcallosal cingulate circuitry was influential, but a later multicentre sham-controlled trial did not demonstrate the hoped-for short-term separation (Mayberg et al., 2005; Holtzheimer et al., 2017). Tractography, individualized targeting, biomarkers, and adaptive stimulation continue to develop. The history offers a scientific lesson: mechanistic elegance and compelling open-label response do not substitute for blinded controlled evidence. For a desperate person, investigational status must never be hidden inside futuristic design language.

15.6 Sequencing, combination, access, adverse effects, and maintenance

Treatment planning is a constrained control problem. Let $k = 1, \dots, K$ index bottlenecks and $j = 1, \dots, J$ candidate interventions. Let $M_{kj}(t)$ represent the uncertain effect of intervention j on bottleneck k , and let $v_j(t)$ include delay, adverse effects, logistical burden, cost, irreversibility, and interaction risk. A conceptual objective is

$$u^* = \arg \max_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \mathbb{E}[\Delta \mathcal{R}_T \mid x_t, u] - \lambda^\top v(u) \quad \text{subject to safety, preference, access, and diagnostic constraints,}$$

where $\Delta \mathcal{R}_T$ denotes change in reachable life over horizon T . The expression is not a clinical calculator. It makes visible what ordinary algorithms omit: an effective treatment with an impossible delivery system may have zero realized effect; a burdensome treatment can be the least harmful option when delay is dangerous; combinations can be synergistic or mutually obstructive.

The **Multimodal Control Matrix** is the corresponding clinical discipline. Medication may reduce pervasive biological load. Psychotherapy can change predictions and behaviour. Behavioural activation can generate reward data. Sleep treatment can lower gain. A social worker can reopen housing or benefits. A family intervention can reduce criticism or distribute care. Neuromodulation can alter network dynamics. Each modality has a characteristic time constant. Good care coordinates them so the early lever makes the later one usable.

Sequencing should be adaptive. A person in dangerous withdrawal needs medical stabilization before a complex cognitive programme. Someone with severe psychotic depression may need ECT or specialist pharmacotherapy before ordinary outpatient activation is possible. Another person may need transport and childcare before any evidence-based therapy is truly available. A person who strongly prefers psychotherapy should not be told that medication is the price of being believed; a person who wants medication should not be told that this reflects avoidance of deeper work.

Combination treatment can be powerful because depression spans scales. It can also create burden. Multiple prescribers, uncoordinated supplements, fragmented therapy, and device clinics can leave nobody responsible for the whole regimen. Medication reconciliation, explicit targets, adverse-effect tracking, and one overall plan are not administrative niceties. Coordination is itself an intervention.

Maintenance begins before remission. Every acute treatment needs a bridge: how benefit will be consolidated; who will monitor recurrence; how sleep, work, relationships, and substance risk will be protected; what happens when symptoms return; and how access will be restored without repeating the entire intake ordeal. A treatment that opens the field and then removes its infrastructure can turn improvement into another loss.

The portfolio finally protects against therapeutic hierarchy. Medication is not less authentic than psychotherapy. Psychotherapy is not merely support around biology. Exercise is not a moral substitute for care. Social intervention is not political decoration. ECT is not defeat. Innovation is not transcendence. Each route is a possible lever with an evidence base, burden, time scale, and context. Recovery belongs to the person, not to the modality that helped make it possible.

CLOSING ORIENTATION

One portfolio, one accountable plan

A treatment portfolio is not a pile of referrals. It has named targets, a sequence, ownership, adverse-effect surveillance, access support, and a maintenance bridge. Medication, psychotherapy, sleep treatment, neuromodulation, substance-use care, physical medicine, relational work, and material protection enter through different channels and time constants. The most evidence-based option on paper has no realised effect when it cannot be reached or sustained. Good care therefore measures both clinical efficacy and delivery geometry: who can act, what can arrive, what may interact, and who remains responsible for the whole.

The Possibility Compression Cascade

A proposed sequence; never a compulsory biography

1 · Distributed loading Sleep loss, pain, stress, loss, threat, scarcity, withdrawal, inflammation in a subgroup, or developmental sensitisation increase load across scales.

2 · Candidate non-normal transient amplification Coupled, non-orthogonal channels may amplify some perturbations out of proportion to their initial size; this is a testable candidate mechanism.

3 · Nonlinear threshold crossing Feedback crosses a boundary into persistent rumination, withdrawal, agitation or slowing, sleep disruption, and reward collapse.

4 · Landscape rewriting Learning, allostasis, habit, memory, and social consequences deepen some basins and raise barriers to alternatives.

5 · Reciprocal reach collapse The person can reach less of life, and less help, reward, and corrective experience can reach the person in usable form.

6 · Coupled capture Substance use, trauma, anxiety, pain, medical illness, or social adversity become reciprocally sustaining subsystems.

7 · Distributed restoration Safety, body regulation, treatment, relationship, resources, action, meaning, and future credibility reopen routes; mood need not improve first.

STATUS PCC is a Flow Hijacked research hypothesis—not a diagnosis, biomarker, proprietary score, universal chronology, or explanation that is allowed to fit every outcome after the fact.

CORE SENTENCE

The prior concepts become one architecture when each is assigned a different job: conditions, amplification, threshold, maintenance, reach, coupled capture, measurement, or restoration.

CHAPTER 16

Recovery, the Reciprocal Reachability Field, and the Possibility Compression Cascade

16.1 The seven-stage Possibility Compression Cascade

Depression has now been encountered as syndrome, developmental trajectory, social predicament, bodily state, network configuration, inferential style, disruption of reward and effort, nonlinear system, and participant in comorbidity. None of these views is dispensable; none is complete. The final task is not to force them into one master cause. It is to specify how processes at different scales could become organized into a self-maintaining loss of possibility—and how that organization could be tested, contradicted, and changed.

The **Possibility Compression Cascade**, or PCC, is the new umbrella concept of this monograph. It is a Flow Hijacked research hypothesis, not a diagnosis, biomarker, proprietary score, or universal story of depression. It proposes a candidate multiscale sequence: distributed loading; candidate non-normal transient amplification; nonlinear threshold crossing; landscape rewriting; reciprocal reach collapse; coupled capture; and distributed restoration. The stages are conceptual operators, not a mandatory chronology. Some people may enter through a rapid biological transition, some through prolonged loss or scarcity, some through trauma, pain, or withdrawal, and some without an identifiable initiating event. Several stages may overlap or recur. The scientific value of PCC lies in the predictions and comparisons it makes possible, not in the elegance of its vocabulary.

The state vector is deliberately wider than the brain:

$$x(t) = [b(t) \quad n(t) \quad c(t) \quad i(t) \quad r(t) \quad w(t) \quad f(t)]^T,$$

where b denotes body state; n , neural state and network organization; c , cognition, attention, and learning; i , identity and self-model; r , relationships and social field; w , material and institutional world; and f , the represented future. The coordinates are themselves high-dimensional and cannot be read from one instrument. Their purpose is to prevent a category error: relationships and housing are not external “context” added after the real brain model, while the brain is not a decorative mediator of social explanation. They are coupled parts of one evolving person–world system.

A slow–fast stochastic formulation is

$$\begin{aligned} dx_t &= F(x_t, \theta_t, s_t) dt + B(x_t, t) u_t dt + \Sigma(x_t, t) dW_t, \\ d\theta_t &= \varepsilon H(x_t, \theta_t, s_t) dt + \Gamma(x_t, \theta_t) dV_t, \quad 0 < \varepsilon \ll 1. \end{aligned}$$

Fast states x_t include momentary arousal, affect, appraisal, and action. Slow parameters θ_t include learned precision, habits, inflammatory or endocrine regulation, circadian organization, relationship expectations, institutional consequences, and identity commitments. The exogenous or partially exogenous process s_t includes events such as loss, violence, infection, withdrawal, debt, or care. The control input u_t contains treatment and support. Slow variables explain why removing an initiating stressor may not restore the earlier landscape; noise acknowledges events and mechanisms not observed.

16.1.1 Stage 1: Distributed loading

The cascade begins when load accumulates across one or more coordinates. Sleep loss raises affective reactivity and effort. Pain captures attention and movement. Loss removes a relationship and a future. Inflammation may contribute to fatigue and anhedonic states in a subset of patients. Alcohol withdrawal destabilizes sleep and autonomic regulation. Debt and housing threat keep future danger objectively active. Developmental sensitization can alter how later events are learned. None of these exposures is necessary or sufficient for depression.

An **Adaptive Compression Engine** may initially narrow behaviour for intelligible reasons. Sickness behaviour conserves energy; withdrawal from danger reduces exposure; rumination may attempt to solve uncertainty; emotional numbing may limit overwhelm. The PCC therefore refuses to begin with defect. Stage 1 becomes clinically concerning when protective narrowing generalizes, persists, or creates feedback that blocks recovery. Adaptive compression then approaches **Pathological Compression**.

16.1.2 Stage 2: candidate non-normal transient amplification

Around a time-varying trajectory $x^*(t)$, local perturbations satisfy approximately

$$\delta\dot{x}(t) = A(t)\delta x(t) + B(t)u(t) + \eta(t), \quad A(t) = \left. \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} \right|_{x^*(t)}.$$

If the modes of A are non-orthogonal—formally, if $AA^* \neq A^*A$ —the system is non-normal. Even when all eigenvalues suggest asymptotic stability, selected perturbations can grow transiently because decaying modes interfere constructively. For a state-transition operator $\Phi(t_0 + \tau, t_0)$, finite-time energy gain can be defined as

$$G_{\max}(t_0, T) = \max_{0 < \tau \leq T} \|\Phi(t_0 + \tau, t_0)\|_2^2.$$

The clinical intuition is compelling: one poor night may have little effect in one configuration and propagate through energy, attention, irritability, conflict, shame, and substance use in another. The initial perturbation is small; the coupled response is not. Yet direct evidence that depression as a whole is a highly non-normal system is not established. Whole-brain work on turbulent dynamics and treatment response is relevant to time-varying neural coordination, but turbulence is not equivalent to non-normality (Escrichs et al., 2025). PCC makes non-normal amplification a candidate mechanism and demands comparison with ordinary autoregressive, nonlinear, and common-cause models.

This stage refines the earlier **Cognitive Reynolds Number**, a heuristic metaphor for when cognitive flow becomes unstable or rigid under load. The metaphor should not be treated as a measured fluid parameter. PCC replaces rhetorical force with testable quantities: finite-time amplification, impulse response, pseudospectral sensitivity, and recovery after perturbation. If normal models predict the data equally well, the non-normal proposal should be abandoned.

16.1.3 Stage 3: nonlinear threshold crossing

Transient growth can move the system into a region where nonlinear feedback dominates. Rumination reduces sleep; poor sleep weakens control and reward; withdrawal removes corrective contact; inactivity increases effort; missed obligations generate consequences; consequences confirm hopelessness. A gradual change in load can then yield a discontinuous change in state.

The language of bifurcation and tipping points is useful only with restraint. Human depression is not one low-dimensional potential well, and evidence for individualized early-warning signals remains limited and methodologically contested (Helmich et al., 2024; Smit et al., 2025). Critical slowing, rising autocorrelation, or variance may appear before some transitions and fail before others. Monitoring should never become an oracle that tells a person relapse is inevitable.

The more durable idea is **hysteresis**: conditions required to leave a state may differ from those associated with entry. Several weeks of sleep disruption and isolation may precede an episode; two good nights will not necessarily reverse it. The system has changed while crossing the threshold. The **Dynamical Deformation Taxonomy** prevents every transition from being called the same thing: compression, trapping, amplification, instability, overcoupling, and depletion may require different interventions.

16.1.4 Stage 4: landscape rewriting

Once depression persists, learning, allostasis, habit, memory, and consequence can change future transitions. An unanswered message becomes evidence of burdensomeness. Repeated absence weakens relationships. Loss of work removes structure and income. A substance gains thousands of fast reinforcement trials while

ordinary reward is sampled rarely. Sleep drifts; movement declines; pain rises; the self-story changes from “I am in a state” to “this is what I am.” The landscape acquires memory.

“Landscape” is a metaphor and not necessarily a scalar potential. Brain–body–social systems are driven, history-dependent, and frequently non-equilibrium. In a non-gradient system there may be no single $V(x)$ whose descent explains all motion. Quasipotential and transition-path methods may still approximate relative stability under particular assumptions, but PCC should not disguise mathematical convenience as ontology.

Stage 4 links **Identity Entrapment**, **Salience Collapse**, and the **Flat Valley**. Identity becomes a slow variable that stabilizes prediction and behaviour. Salience allocation favours threat, failure, and effort while weak positive signals fail to recruit action. In addition recovery or after an acute depressive shift, the flat valley can persist because ordinary rewards remain weak and the social world has not yet repaired. Removing the driver does not instantly restore the field.

16.1.5 Stage 5: reciprocal reach collapse

Depression is often described by what the person cannot initiate: getting out of bed, answering, deciding, working, eating, or asking for help. That is outgoing or efferent reach. Equally important is what no longer arrives effectively. Care may be offered but experienced as undeserved. A positive event may occur but fail to register. A clinic may exist but be inaccessible by transport, cost, language, or administrative complexity. An invitation may stop arriving after repeated absence. This is incoming or afferent reach.

The **Reciprocal Reachability Field** holds both directions. It asks whether the person can reach action, relationship, world, and future, and whether reward, care, safety, and opportunity can reach the person. Collapse can occur on either side. A person may want contact but be unable to initiate it; a family may love deeply but communicate through criticism; a medication may reduce symptoms while the workplace remains impossible; an external opportunity may be real while depression prevents it from registering as credible.

16.1.6 Stage 6: coupled capture

Once reach narrows, another system can become the remaining reliable route. Alcohol brings rapid relief; avoidance controls trauma cues; compulsive behaviour alters arousal; pain reorganizes movement; anxiety recruits reassurance; a hostile institution supplies repeated threat. The second process is not merely added to depression. It can stabilize the same basin. This is Coupled Capture.

The PCC predicts that joint models of depression with substance use, pain, PTSD, anxiety, or sleep will sometimes predict transitions better than parallel independent models. It does not predict that every comorbidity is a causal loop. Shared causes, diagnostic overlap, measurement artefact, and chance remain rival explanations. Direction and strength must be estimated rather than assumed.

16.1.7 Stage 7: distributed restoration

Recovery need not reverse the stages in perfect order. Safety may come first, or sleep, housing, medication, trauma treatment, a morning walk, ECT, abstinence support, food, one relationship, or a future commitment. A biological treatment can create capacity for psychotherapy; social protection can make medication adherence possible; activation can improve sleep; sleep can reduce effort; reduced effort can permit contact; contact can restore meaning. These changes can become superadditive because each makes the others more usable.

Re-expansion of State-Space means more safe options, greater reversibility, and more return routes after perturbation. **Agency Re-Expansion** means authorship grows within that wider field. Neither requires permanent happiness. Distributed restoration seeks a life that can again be entered, affected, and revised.

16.2 RRF as the map; PCC as the generative account

The Reciprocal Reachability Field and the Possibility Compression Cascade answer different questions. RRF maps accessibility now: which actions, relationships, resources, bodily states, and futures can be reached; which signals can enter and matter. PCC proposes how that field may have narrowed across time. The map

can be useful without accepting the causal story. The causal story is scientifically credible only if it predicts changes in the map.

Control theory supplies precise language for outgoing reach. For dynamics $\dot{x} = F(x, u, t)$, the forward reachable set from x_0 over horizon T is

$$\mathcal{R}_T^+(x_0) = \{x(T) : x(0) = x_0, u(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U}, \text{ safety and resource constraints hold} \}.$$

The set depends on available inputs. Advice that requires money, transport, privacy, executive function, or social safety does not belong to the person's actual admissible-control set if those conditions are absent. Treatment can enlarge $\mathcal{U}$, reduce transition cost, or change the dynamics themselves.

Incoming reach is less standard. Conceptually, define a family of external signals $q(\cdot)$ —care, reward, information, resources, opportunity—and ask which produce a detectable, usable change in the person's state:

$$\mathcal{R}_T^-(x_0; \epsilon) = \{q(\cdot) : d(x_T^q, x_T^0) \geq \epsilon \text{ and the change is safe, registered, and retainable} \}.$$

This is not conventional backward reachability and is not a validated metric. It formalizes an ethical observation: provision is not receipt. A service can exist without reaching; love can be expressed without being heard; pleasure can occur without being encoded. Incoming reach depends on signal strength, channel integrity, precision, trust, accessibility, and the state in which the signal arrives.

RRF is therefore bottleneck-sensitive. If food is absent, insight may not compensate. If sleep is destroyed, reward learning may not consolidate. If a person expects humiliation, an invitation may increase threat. If administrative burden exceeds working memory, a benefit exists only on paper. The useful question is not "What resources are available?" but "Which resource can traverse the current field and alter the next state?"

This is why **reach before mood** is a serious outcome principle. Early recovery may appear as a message sent, food accepted, a shower completed, a little more regular sleep, an appointment reached, a choice made, or a positive event not immediately cancelled. Global mood may lag. These changes matter because they enlarge the data and control available to the system. They should never be used to deny persistent suffering or declare premature remission; they are leading indicators of re-entry.

16.3 How the Novel Concepts form one architecture

The preceding Flow Hijacked concepts can now be placed in a single architecture without being flattened into synonyms. **Reduced Navigability** names the lived and functional result: fewer states and futures feel reachable. The **Adaptive Compression Engine** names the protective capacity to narrow under threat or depleted reserve. **Pathological Compression** names the point at which narrowing becomes self-maintaining and blocks corrective experience. The **Navigability Margin** describes how much capacity remains relative to the demands of the next transition.

The **Flow Map** is the clinical grammar of states, vectors, constraints, attractors, and exits. The **Dynamical Deformation Taxonomy** distinguishes the geometry of compression, trapping, amplification, instability, overcoupling, and depletion. The **Dynamical Flow Fingerprint** asks that these properties be inferred from repeated within-person observation rather than one cross-sectional score. PCC supplies a candidate longitudinal sequence that the map and fingerprint can test.

Sleep, pain, inflammation, hormones, medication, movement, metabolism, and interoception remain inside the model through **Embodied State-Space**. Relationships, money, housing, work, culture, discrimination, and digital environments remain inside it through **Social State-Space**. The subsidiary **Relational Vector Field** names how other people and institutions can change direction, gain, available control, and transition cost inside that shared state-space; it is a formulation lens, not a measured biological field. Together, these concepts set the proper system boundary.

Within that boundary, **Identity Entrapment** describes the slow fusion of self with defeat, defectiveness, exhaustion, or permanence. **Salience Collapse** describes the narrowing of cues that recruit interest and action. **Trauma-Time Capture**, a subsidiary clinical construct, describes configurations in which present cues acquire the force of unfinished danger; within PCC it can contribute to distributed loading, transient

amplification, temporal compression, and coupled capture without making trauma a universal cause of depression. The **Cognitive Reynolds Number** remains a heuristic precursor for cognitive instability under load; PCC translates the intuition into falsifiable dynamical quantities rather than defending the metaphor as a biomarker. **Semantic–Affective Gating** identifies language-mediated selection and reframing as one distributed control channel, with BA45 treated as a task- and network-dependent contributor rather than a depression centre.

The reward and temporal concepts specify why a route can dominate. **Reward–Relief Compression** explains how immediate state change outcompetes delayed alternatives. The **Flat Valley** explains why early recovery can remain low-reward after acute danger or substance use has stopped. The **Reward Relearning Gradient** asks whether an action increases later willingness, connection, meaning, or openness even before pleasure returns. **Temporal Compression** describes the loss of detail, ownership, and competitive value in the future. The **Recovery Decision Margin** asks by how much a future-oriented action can beat immediate relief after probability, delay, effort, and trust are considered.

The change concepts describe intervention. **Therapeutic Vector-Field Reweighting** is psychotherapy’s alteration of the forces governing future movement. The **Multimodal Control Matrix** coordinates levers across scales and delays. The **Attractor Revision Corridor** names a time-limited opportunity—sometimes opened by therapy, medication, ECT, ketamine, neuromodulation, safety, or a major environmental change—in which new learning may become unusually consequential. **Agency Re-Expansion** and **Re-expansion of State-Space** name the return of authorship, safe options, and reversibility.

Finally, **Reciprocal Reachability Field** is the map of outgoing and incoming access. **REACH** is its formulation discipline. PCC is the generative hypothesis joining the architecture across time. It does not replace the earlier concepts. It tells us where each one operates, what transition it might explain, and what evidence would make the connection fail.

16.4 REACH formulation and treatment handoffs

REACH translates a large theory into five disciplined domains: **Risk and reality; Efferent reach; Afferent reach; Couplings; Horizon and handoff**. It is a conversation map, not a diagnostic scale.

Risk and reality come first. Is there current suicidal intent or inability to maintain safety? Psychosis, catatonia, mania or mixed activation, intoxication or dangerous withdrawal, severe self-neglect, violence, abuse, inability to eat or drink, or acute medical illness? Which threats are internal predictions and which are occurring in the world? A systems formulation that delays urgent care has failed before it begins.

Efferent reach asks what the person can initiate today. Can they move, eat, wash, communicate, attend, decide, work, care for someone, use a coping strategy, or ask for help? What is possible at ten, thirty, and seventy percent capacity? The objective is not to grade effort. It is to identify the smallest action that preserves a route and the support needed to make it feasible.

Afferent reach asks what can arrive and register. Does reassurance feel credible? Can pleasure, care, achievement, food, medication, daylight, or a clinician’s message enter the state? Are channels blocked by anhedonia, shame, distrust, cognitive overload, language, cost, transport, or an institution that never responds? Sometimes the correct intervention changes the signal; sometimes it repairs the channel.

Couplings identify feedback. How do sleep, pain, alcohol, trauma cues, conflict, work, medication, digital use, and isolation alter one another? Which loop is dangerous, which is protective, and which can be interrupted from more than one direction? The map should include supporters’ limits. A loved one can be a bridge without becoming the whole road.

Horizon and handoff asks what future has enough credibility to exert force now, and who owns the next transition. A handoff is not “referral sent.” It names a receiving person or service, timing, transport, information transfer, interim safety, and what happens if contact fails. The future becomes credible when systems deliver what they promise.

REACH also protects sequencing. Risk may require emergency intervention; low efferent reach may require accompaniment; low afferent reach may require repetition or a different relational channel; strong coupling may require integrated care; a collapsed horizon may require reducing immediate friction before discussing long-term goals. The framework does not prescribe one modality. It makes the reason for the next lever visible.

16.5 Predictions, falsifiers, rival explanations, and measures

PCC must risk being wrong. Its first prediction concerns **transient gain**: some people will show large short-term amplification after standardized or naturally occurring perturbations despite apparently stable average symptom levels. Intensive longitudinal data on sleep, affect, activity, substance use, physiology, and context could compare non-normal state-space models with normal linear, nonlinear autoregressive, and common-cause alternatives. The decisive result is out-of-sample prediction, not a persuasive retrospective fit.

The second is the **reach-before-mood prediction**: outgoing and incoming reach may improve before global mood during recovery. Repeated measures of action initiation, social contact, functional choice, positive-signal registration, and conventional symptoms can test temporal ordering. If reach indicators add nothing beyond symptom and function scales, the RRF claim should contract.

The third is the **coupled-capture prediction**: joint models of depression with substance use, pain, PTSD, anxiety, or sleep will sometimes predict transitions better than separate models. Multivariate hidden-state, dynamic network, or causal time-series approaches could test this with external validation. Failure of joint models to improve prediction would count against PCC's coupling emphasis.

The fourth is the **BA45 gating prediction**: change in rumination and reappraisal may covary with person-specific reconfiguration of inferior frontal and language-control networks, but not uniformly across patients. Precision fMRI or EEG, semantic selection tasks, therapy-process measures, laterality analysis, and sensitivity to atlas boundaries are required. A regional result without behavioural specificity would not validate the mechanism.

The fifth is the **distributed-control prediction**: sequencing matched to the dominant bottleneck may accelerate re-expansion or reduce recurrence more than modality selection based only on diagnosis. This requires pragmatic adaptive trials comparing bottleneck-informed sequencing with high-quality stepped, preference-based, or measurement-guided care. A vague personalized-care claim is not enough; the comparator must already be good.

The sixth is the **hysteresis prediction**: the route out will often differ from the route in because slow variables have changed. Longitudinal studies following stressor removal alongside sleep, habit, social, inflammatory, and identity measures could test this. If removing the initiating driver reliably restores the prior state without path dependence, the landscape-rewriting stage is overstated.

Additional falsifiers are necessary. If orthogonal-mode models predict perturbation response as well as non-normal models across preregistered datasets, non-normality should leave the core account. If PCC constructs cannot be measured reliably across languages, cultures, disability contexts, and socioeconomic conditions, they cannot support universal claims. If bottleneck-matched treatment does not outperform simpler high-quality care, the Multimodal Control Matrix remains descriptive rather than prescriptive. If the proposed ordering repeatedly reverses without identifiable moderators, "cascade" may be the wrong organizing form.

PCC should also compete with rival accounts. A latent-disease model may explain covariance more parsimoniously. Symptom-network models may predict bridge dynamics without a seven-domain state vector. Reinforcement-learning accounts may explain behaviour with fewer constructs. Allostatic, immunological, circadian, predictive-processing, or social-determinant models may dominate in particular phenotypes. PCC succeeds only where integration improves explanation or action without becoming unfalsifiable. It should not win by redescribing every possible result after the fact.

Measurement must therefore be plural and modest. Experience sampling can capture within-person affect, rumination, reward, and context. Actigraphy and sleep diaries can add timing and movement. Physiological data may index arousal or autonomic change. Language tasks can probe semantic-affective gating. Neuroimaging and EEG can characterize networks, but group-level associations are not individual diagnoses. Administrative and geospatial data can measure whether housing, transport, or services are actually available. Qualitative accounts identify meanings that a sensor cannot infer.

Digital phenotyping creates special ethical risk. Missing data may reflect the very collapse being measured, or simply a dead battery, privacy choice, disability, work pattern, or unequal technology access. Passive monitoring should require informed consent, data minimization, clear clinical utility, and an explicit response pathway. A model that detects danger but has nobody assigned to act can increase surveillance without increasing care.

The **Dynamical Flow Fingerprint** is the appropriate aspiration: a repeated, person-specific account of state occupancy, transition, perturbation response, recovery time, and context. It is not a hidden score generated from opaque commercial data. A useful fingerprint remains interpretable, revisable, culturally situated, and subordinate to the person's report.

16.6 Recovery as a life becoming reachable again

Recovery is often judged too late. A person is asked whether sadness has gone while the earlier changes—eating, replying, arriving, tolerating light, allowing company, making one choice—remain unnamed. PCC and RRF propose a different order of attention. Notice the return of reach without pretending it is remission. Protect it long enough to connect to another route.

The first route may be **minimum viable contact with life**: one meal, medication taken as agreed, five minutes outside, one honest message, one appointment kept with accompaniment. The minimum is not a permanent ceiling and should never normalize undertreatment. It is the smallest protected encounter that keeps safety, physiology, relationship, or tomorrow connected when capacity is low. As reserve grows, the field should expand.

Recovery also changes return dynamics. The aim is not a life without perturbation. It is a shallower descent, earlier recognition, preserved contact, lower danger, and a faster or more reliable route back. Recurrence planning names individually meaningful warning signs, not only generic symptoms. It protects sleep, treatment access, social contact, substance recovery, and a living safety plan. It does not turn every sad day into a forecast of collapse.

The future matters because action is partly governed by what can be believed about what comes next. **Temporal Compression** makes tomorrow thin, distant, unowned, or unreliable. The **Recovery Decision Margin** can be widened by reducing friction and increasing delivery: transport arranged, an appointment confirmed, a trusted person waiting, a task made small enough to begin, a benefit application completed, a medication review scheduled. Hope becomes less rhetorical when the world keeps appointments.

No model should own the person's interpretation of recovery. Some seek remission; some rebuild meaning and function while symptoms recur; some reject illness-centred language; some find diagnosis protective. Culture, faith, disability, neurodiversity, family, politics, and life stage shape what a reachable life means. The model must include those differences without colonizing them.

PCC finally returns to the beginning: the system may be captured, but the person is not identical to the capture. Body, brain, cognition, identity, relationship, world, and future can become mutually constraining; they can also become mutually enabling. A treatment can alter a circuit. A relationship can lower threat. A right can change the material field. A repeated action can teach reward. A truthful formulation can reduce shame. A handoff that actually arrives can make the future more credible.

The new umbrella concept therefore does not conclude that depression is more complicated than previously believed. Complexity without care can become another distance from the person. The conclusion is more practical: there are multiple places where possibility can be lost, multiple time scales on which loss can persist, and multiple levers through which life can reopen. Science should identify those levers, test them honestly, and state where knowledge ends. Care should choose the next sufficient one and remain present long enough to learn what changed.

A life becoming reachable again may not announce itself with joy. It may begin as a little less impossibility. One route appears where none was visible, then becomes traversable, then survives a difficult day. That is not a sentimental ending. It is a change in the geometry of what can happen next.

CLOSING ORIENTATION

A hypothesis that must earn its place

The Possibility Compression Cascade unites the earlier concepts provisionally. It earns its place only if coupled and transient-gain models outperform serious rivals, reciprocal reach adds information, bottleneck-matched care improves meaningful outcomes, and failures are honoured. Ethically, it must widen the response to suffering, preserve veto and authorship, and make help more reachable. If it cannot improve explanation, prediction, or humane coordination, it should be revised.

Afterword

16.7 The Future Is Not an Argument

A person in depression is often asked to accept conclusions that the current state makes unavailable. Things will get better. People care. Treatment works. You have reasons to live. Each sentence may be offered with love, and each may fail because love is arriving in the form of an argument. The problem is not necessarily that the person has never heard the proposition. The problem may be that the system cannot currently assign it enough precision, value, ownership, or causal credibility to guide action.

This is the central lesson of possibility compression. A future does not compete merely because it is described. It competes when the organism has evidence that present action can reach it, when the delay is survivable, when the effort is financeable, when the social promise is reliable, and when the self expected to arrive there still feels continuous with the self who must act now. Depression can damage each link. Dual diagnosis can intensify the asymmetry by placing immediate relief beside a future whose reward is delayed and uncertain. Under those conditions, choosing relief is not proof that the person does not care about life. It may be evidence about the field in which the choice occurred.

The humane response is not to romanticise that choice. Alcohol can deepen depression and danger. Opioids can stop breathing. Cannabis can worsen some trajectories and is not established as a primary treatment for depression. Avoidance can preserve fear. Withdrawal can turn loneliness into apparent confirmation. Immediate relief can become coupled capture. Compassion means seeing why a route was selected while remaining honest about where it leads.

The scientific response is to identify leverage without pretending to own the person's story. Depression is not one attractor in one brain. It is a family of states and trajectories that can share a diagnostic name while differing in sleep, reward, cognition, pain, inflammation, trauma, social context, development, substance use, and response to treatment. No single level can claim sovereignty. The brain matters, but a scan does not settle meaning. Cognition matters, but a true eviction threat is not a distortion. The body matters, but an inflammatory subgroup is not the whole syndrome. Society matters, but structural explanation does not remove the need for acute treatment. Each level becomes more accurate when it is prevented from becoming exclusive.

This is also why treatment should be understood as a portfolio rather than a referendum. Psychotherapy and medication are not rival beliefs. Behavioural activation, cognitive work, empathy, sleep treatment, pain care, exercise, peer support, family intervention, housing assistance, addiction medication, TMS, ECT, and other modalities act on different constraints. Some can be combined. Some must be sequenced. Some are inappropriate for a particular person. Some are unavailable because health systems have compressed their own possibility. A sophisticated plan is not the plan with the most components. It is the plan that locates the dominant bottleneck, protects safety, respects preference, and leaves enough margin for the person to participate.

CBT occupies an important place in that portfolio. Its power is not exhausted by a list of cognitive distortions. At its best, CBT creates experiments in which an interpretation loses monopoly, an action produces new evidence, and a person discovers that a prediction can be examined without the self being placed on trial. David Burns helped make many of these methods accessible and placed empathy, measurement, ambivalence, and a broad methods repertoire at the centre of TEAM. Scientific respect requires two statements at once: Burns's work is historically and clinically influential, and the evidence for CBT as a broad family is far stronger than the direct independent trial evidence for TEAM as a complete branded package. Honesty does not diminish contribution. It tells the reader which confidence belongs to which claim.

The brain chapter makes the same move. BA45 is worth attention because words are actions in the nervous system. Semantic selection, controlled retrieval, inhibition, inner speech, and reappraisal can influence which description of self and future becomes available. Yet BA45 is one parcel with variable borders inside distributed networks. It is not the location of despair, and a highlighted region should never become a visual

verdict. The official Flow Hijacked brain atlas in this work is therefore presented as an educational, group-level conceptual visual—not a scan, prediction, or diagnosis. The image invites orientation; it does not claim possession of an individual brain.

The mathematical chapters carry an even stricter obligation. Complex-system language can make a familiar truth sound newly proven. Feedback, thresholds, hysteresis, and slow variables are useful only when they change what is measured or compared. Non-normality is especially tempting because it gives precise language to disproportionate transient growth. But the step from a matrix property to depressive illness is empirical, not rhetorical. The appropriate conclusion is not “depression is highly non-normal.” It is: some depressive trajectories may be better explained and predicted by models that permit non-orthogonal coupling and transient amplification, and those models should be tested against equally flexible alternatives. A beautiful equation is an invitation to an experiment.

The Possibility Compression Cascade stands under that rule. It will be useful if it predicts that reciprocal reach sometimes improves before mood, that joint models of depression and substance use outperform parallel models, that the pathway out differs from the pathway in, that perturbations produce unexpectedly large transient responses in identifiable individuals, and that bottleneck-matched portfolios improve outcome beyond diagnosis-only sequencing. It will fail if its stages cannot be measured, if every outcome can be explained after the fact, or if a simpler model performs as well. The possibility that the framework is wrong is not a threat to the work. It is part of the work.

For the reader who is suffering, falsifiability may feel remote. The immediate question is usually smaller: what can be done from here? The answer depends on safety and context, but the framework suggests a disciplined sequence. First, do not ask the person to solve the whole system while in danger. Reduce acute risk and bring in another human being. Second, look for reversible loads: withdrawal, medication effects, sleep loss, pain, hunger, conflict, exposure to violence, impossible administrative demands. Third, find one route with a tolerable activation cost. Fourth, make the return path explicit; an experiment should not become a trap. Fifth, notice changes in reach, not only changes in mood. The ability to answer, attend, shower, eat, walk outside, tolerate company, postpone use, or imagine tomorrow for thirty seconds may be an early movement even when sadness remains.

None of these movements should be turned into a new performance standard. Severe depression can remain severe despite courage, excellent treatment, and devoted support. Some people require repeated courses, long-term care, disability accommodation, supported living, harm reduction, or specialist procedures. Some recover unevenly. Some live meaningful lives with persistent symptoms. Some cannot yet identify meaning and should not be required to. A compassionate model must make room for lives that do not follow a triumphant arc.

The reciprocal part of reach matters here. Recovery culture often concentrates on what the person must do: attend, practise, exercise, disclose, adhere, abstain, challenge, connect. The world also has work to do. Services must answer. Appointments must become accessible. Clinicians must listen when adverse effects are reported. Families must learn to stay without policing. Workplaces must accommodate. Communities must reduce stigma. Governments must address housing, violence, poverty, discrimination, and the scarcity of care. Life must become better able to reach the person.

This shared responsibility changes the meaning of hope. Hope is not optimism imposed from outside. It is not the denial of evidence accumulated through pain. It is the gradual construction of conditions under which another outcome becomes credible. Sometimes the first carrier of hope is not the person with depression but a relationship, a treatment, a routine, a team, a medication that begins to work, a safe place, a peer who recognises the terrain, or an institution that finally completes the handoff. Hope can be distributed before it becomes internal.

The book began with 332 million people because scale matters. It ends by returning to one person because scale is never the whole truth. No prevalence estimate knows the texture of this morning. No brain atlas contains this biography. No treatment ranking determines this response. No model can announce the limit of this future. The task is to meet the state with accuracy, the person with dignity, the danger with action, and uncertainty with enough patience to keep looking.

Depression compresses possibility. Recovery is the work of reopening it: biologically, psychologically, relationally, materially, culturally, and temporally. That work may begin before hope, and it need not begin alone.

Help and Safety

16.8 If this has become immediate

This book contains discussion of depression, suicide, trauma, addiction, withdrawal, and severe mental illness. Reading can sometimes intensify distress or bring an existing danger into sharper focus. If you may act on thoughts of suicide or self-harm, have taken steps toward an attempt, are at risk of overdose or dangerous withdrawal, cannot keep yourself or another person safe, or are in danger from someone else, **do not rely on this book for crisis care.**

Contact your local emergency services now or go to the nearest emergency department. If calling emergency services could create a specific safety risk in your setting, contact a local crisis service, urgent mental-health team, medical service, or trusted person who can help you reach the safest available care. Country systems differ; local professionals and services are the authority for your location.

If possible, use plain words with another person: **“I am not safe alone,” “I may hurt myself,” “I am at risk of using/overdosing,” or “I need help getting urgent care.”** You do not need to make your distress sound reasonable before it deserves a response. Ask the person to stay with you, in person or by phone, while help is arranged.

Create distance from anything you could use to harm yourself, but only in a way that does not put you or someone else in greater danger. A trusted person may be able to secure medication, weapons, substances, car keys, or other means; move with you to a safer setting; or help you leave an unsafe environment. If you may be physically dependent on alcohol or benzodiazepines, do not attempt abrupt withdrawal alone: seizures, delirium, and life-threatening complications are possible. Opioid withdrawal is different—in otherwise medically stable adults it is usually not directly life-threatening by the same mechanism, but it can be severe, and reduced tolerance can make a later return to use fatal. Overdose, severe withdrawal, confusion, seizures, or inability to keep fluids down require urgent medical care (American Society of Addiction Medicine, 2020; Brunner et al., 2025; Yakovenko et al., 2024).

For country-specific telephone, text, and chat support, these current global directories may help:

- **International Association for Suicide Prevention:** [Suicidal crisis support](#) and [crisis centres/helplines](#)
- **Befrienders Worldwide:** [Find help now](#)
- **Find A Helpline:** [search the current country directory](#)

A directory can be useful, but it may not contain every current local service and it cannot assess immediate danger. If a listed service does not answer, try another route: emergency care, an urgent clinic, a hospital, a primary-care clinician, a substance-use service, a domestic-violence service, a trusted community or faith leader, a peer-support organisation, a family member, a friend, a neighbour, or another person who can remain present and help coordinate care.

16.9 If the danger is not immediate but the burden is growing

Arrange an assessment with a qualified health or mental-health professional. Mention changes in sleep, agitation, energy, impulsivity, substance use, medication, pain, physical illness, pregnancy or postpartum status, unusual beliefs or perceptions, and any history of mania/hypomania or dangerous withdrawal. These details can change diagnosis and treatment. If you already have care, tell the clinician that risk or functioning has changed rather than waiting for the next routine review.

Different kinds of discontinuation carry different risks. Abrupt alcohol or benzodiazepine cessation after physiological dependence can be life-threatening. Abrupt opioid cessation can cause severe withdrawal and drive return to use; as tolerance falls, using a previous dose can cause fatal overdose. Abrupt antidepressant discontinuation can cause genuine, sometimes severe symptoms and may destabilize treatment, but it is not the same withdrawal syndrome. Seek appropriate medical guidance for each rather than using a single

“just stop” rule (American Society of Addiction Medicine, 2020; Brunner et al., 2025; Yakovenko et al., 2024; Henssler et al., 2024; Zaccoletti et al., 2026).

If cost, transport, language, disability, immigration status, caregiving, housing, discrimination, or fear of punishment blocks access, say so explicitly to the service or to someone helping you. These are treatment variables, not personal failures.

If you are supporting someone else, ask directly and calmly whether they are thinking about suicide, whether they have a plan or access to means, and whether they can stay safe while help is arranged. Listening does not require agreeing with hopelessness. Available evidence has not found that asking directly implants suicidal thinking or increases suicidal behaviour, but the answer still requires judgment and action rather than reassurance alone (Polihronis et al., 2022). Do not promise secrecy when life may be in danger. Stay, reduce immediate access to lethal means when it is safe to do so, and involve appropriate local help.

This page is intentionally direct because survival comes before interpretation. The state can change. The next task is not to solve a lifetime; it is to cross the next distance with enough support.

Research and Evidence Appendices

16.10 Appendix A — Evidence architecture: how claims are allowed to speak

16.10.1 A.1 The purpose of an evidence architecture

The scientific task of this monograph is not to make every paragraph sound equally certain. It is to preserve distinctions that matter: between a modelled global estimate and a clinical trial; between an association and a causal effect; between a finding in a group and a prediction about one person; between a study’s result, its authors’ interpretation, and a Flow Hijacked synthesis. A humane account requires this discipline because inflated certainty does not merely damage scholarship. It can frighten a person with a developmental history, make a group-average brain difference feel like an individual verdict, or turn a promising treatment into an implied obligation.

The evidence architecture therefore operates at the level of the claim. A paper does not receive one permanent grade that transfers to everything said in it. A large randomized trial may support a specific short-term efficacy comparison while leaving durability, rare harms, generalizability, and mechanism uncertain. An authoritative guideline may provide a strong clinical standard while incorporating older evidence and value judgments specific to its health system. A mechanistic experiment may be rigorous within its task and still offer only an indirect bridge to depression in daily life.

For each important assertion, the working audit asked seven questions: What is being claimed? In whom or what was it studied? By which design? What did the study directly find? What did the investigators infer? What principal limitation could alter interpretation? How should the result be used—or not used—in this monograph? This structure follows the broader evidence-assimilation principle that provenance, population, design, direction of effect, uncertainty, contradiction, and clinical boundary should travel with a claim rather than be exiled to a generic disclaimer (Guyatt et al., 2008; Page et al., 2021).

16.10.2 A.2 Source roles rather than a single hierarchy

Several source classes were used for different jobs. Current WHO reports and fact sheets supplied global burden, service-coverage, and safety context. Clinical guidelines from CANMAT, NICE, VA/DoD, WHO mhGAP, and relevant specialty bodies supplied decision guardrails. Systematic reviews and meta-analyses were used to estimate average treatment effects, heterogeneity, and the limits of entire literatures. Randomized trials were used when the claim concerned a specified intervention, comparator, population, and time window. Longitudinal cohorts and population analyses informed course, development, social conditions,

and comorbidity, with explicit attention to confounding and reverse causation. Neuroimaging, EEG, computational, and perturbational studies informed candidate mechanisms without being promoted into individual diagnostics. Historical books and landmark papers were retained when they explain the lineage of CBT, dynamical systems, brain circuitry, or Flow Hijacked concepts, not because age makes them authoritative.

No source class is universally superior. A randomized trial cannot estimate global prevalence. A WHO estimate cannot determine which treatment will help one person. A meta-analysis can aggregate biased trials and produce a precise answer to a narrow or inconsistent question. A small deeply sampled precision-mapping study can reveal stable individual topography while remaining unable to estimate population performance. The correct standard is fitness between design and claim.

16.10.3 A.3 Calibrated claim language

Direct empirical results are described with verbs such as *found*, *observed*, or *estimated*, accompanied by population and design. Repeated but heterogeneous patterns are described as recurring across studies, with variability made explicit. Associations are said to be *associated with* or *linked to* an outcome; they are not translated into causal arrows. An interpretation offered by investigators is attributed to them. Broader integration is introduced as an inference supported by a body of findings. A Flow Hijacked construct is labelled as a synthesis. A new concept is called a testable hypothesis and is accompanied by conditions under which it would fail.

Null findings receive the same grammatical clarity as positive ones. “The prespecified primary endpoint did not differ” should not become “mixed evidence” until the endpoint has been stated. A secondary signal does not erase a primary null, and a primary null does not prove that every clinically relevant effect is absent. Similarly, “no qualifying depression trials were found” means that evidence is absent under the review’s criteria; it does not mean a treatment has been shown ineffective.

Numbers remain attached to their denominators. WHO’s estimate of 727,000 suicide deaths in 2021 is an all-cause suicide figure, not a count of deaths attributable to depression. The estimate that 332 million people experience depression is modelled global prevalence, not a census of private suffering. The finding that mental disorders collectively were the leading cause of years lived with disability in GBD 2023 is a class-level burden statement; it must not be converted into a depression-only ranking (WHO, 2025a; WHO, 2026; GBD 2023 Mental Disorder Collaborators, 2026).

The same restraint applies to treatment. An average benefit does not predict an individual response. “Noninferior” depends on a prespecified margin and does not mean identical. Remission on a scale is not the whole of recovery. A statistically detectable difference may be too small, burdensome, inaccessible, or short-lived to govern an individual decision. Benefit, speed, durability, adverse effects, cost, infrastructure, preference, and reversibility remain separate dimensions.

16.10.4 A.4 Evidence, interpretation, synthesis, hypothesis

Throughout the monograph, four layers should remain visually and verbally distinguishable.

1. **Finding:** what a study or convergent literature directly reports. For example, Lynch and colleagues reported trait-like expansion of an individually mapped salience network in people with depression and state-related frontostriatal connectivity changes in deeply sampled cohorts and replications (Lynch et al., 2024).
2. **Interpretation:** what the investigators or field think the finding may mean. In that example, altered network topography may contribute to vulnerability and symptom fluctuation.
3. **Flow Hijacked synthesis:** how the finding relates to concepts such as Salience Collapse, Reduced Navigability, or Reciprocal Reachability. The synthesis may connect several literatures without being directly measured in any one study.
4. **New hypothesis:** a specified proposition that can be tested against rivals. The Possibility Compression Cascade belongs here. It is not licensed to borrow the certainty of the studies that motivated it.

This four-layer structure also protects against “citation laundering,” in which a source supporting one component is placed after a much larger theoretical sentence. Citations should sit next to the clauses they support. When a paragraph changes from empirical result to Flow Hijacked interpretation, the change should be explicit.

16.10.5 A.5 Person-level boundaries

No routine biomarker currently functions as an oracle for an individual person with depression. Neuroimaging, inflammatory markers, genetics, language tasks, wearables, and machine-learning models are active research domains. Their group performance, sampling limitations, calibration, and transportability do not justify telling a person what their brain “shows” without an appropriate clinical test. The same boundary applies to risk prediction. Conventional and machine-learning suicide models have shown limited predictive performance under base-rate, bias, and validation constraints; direct assessment, safety planning, means safety, and reliable follow-up remain primary (Franklin et al., 2017; Belsher et al., 2019).

Developmental and social findings are also population-bound. Childhood maltreatment increases risk and is associated with a less favourable average course, but does not make illness or nonresponse inevitable (Nanni et al., 2012; Grummitt et al., 2024). Digital exposure has heterogeneous, often bidirectional relationships with mental health; an average association cannot identify whether a particular online community is protective or harmful for a particular young person (Odgers & Jensen, 2020). Evidence is most compassionate when it enlarges inquiry rather than delivering a verdict.

16.11 Appendix B — The acquisition pipeline actually used

16.11.1 B.1 Corpus recovery and provenance

The acquisition pipeline began with the Flow Hijacked corpus available to the editorial team for this edition, not with an assumption that every lecture ever recorded had been reviewed. Ten substantive lecture files were initially available as searchable text or PDF: Lecture 24, *From Theory to Method*; Lecture 25, *Dynamical Taxonomy*; Lecture 27, *The Body as State-Space*; Lecture 28, *The Social Geometry of the Mind*; Lecture 29, *Psychotherapy as Dynamical Choreography*; Lecture 31, *Measuring the Flow*; Lecture 40, *Depression After the Bottle*; Lecture 42, *When Tomorrow Cannot Compete*; Lecture 46, *The Chemistry of Possibility*; and Lecture 48, *Depression: When Reach Collapses*. A subsequent authenticated Drive pass located and opened full-text files for Lectures 19, 21, 22, 23, and 26, and independently reacquired Lectures 27 and 28. This produced a fifteen-lecture materialised corpus. File identity and provenance were retained rather than silently merging versions. Lecture 19 and Lecture 21 contained no explicit bibliography; their absence from the reference portfolio is therefore reported rather than filled by inference.

Reference extraction was possible from thirteen source-bearing texts: Lectures 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 31, 40, 42, 46, and the selected-reference appendix of Lecture 48. This yielded **821 citation instances**: 630 from the first extraction and 191 added through the Drive pass. “Instances” is the operative word: repeated citations across lectures and within main-text and mathematical-appendix lists were preserved because provenance matters. A normalization pass over case, spacing, punctuation, identifiers, and close bibliographic strings produced **800 near-unique normalized strings** in the working audit. Near-unique is not the same as canonical. Title variants, incomplete citations, editions, corrections, and multiple records for one work can survive string normalization. Conversely, aggressive normalization can merge genuinely distinct items. The 821-instance register therefore remains a historical provenance layer, not a count of 821 verified studies.

The corpus recovery served three purposes. It protected continuity with the existing intellectual project; showed which concepts had already been developed and with which anchors; and exposed domains where inherited citations were old, incomplete, promotional, or absent. It did not serve as a systematic search of depression research.

16.11.2 B.2 The initial structured gap review

The next layer was an initial **64-source structured gap review**. Sources were deliberately distributed across phenotype and safety; development and social conditions; brain geography and BA45; complex dynamics and non-normality; body–brain interfaces; CBT and David Burns; dual diagnosis; and established or frontier treatments. Each record contained bibliographic identity, year, evidence design, direct finding, intended monograph use, principal limitation, status, a contextual evidence grade, and a canonical link where available.

This stage was designed to answer, “What important literature would be missing if the monograph relied only on its recovered lectures?” It therefore included recent work from 2024–2026, but it also retained older

developmental and conceptual anchors when a new paper could not substitute for them. Currency was treated as one property of relevance, not a quality score.

The initial review was not generated by counting search results. Inclusion required a claim the monograph actually needed to make. Sources were selected because they could support, qualify, or contradict that claim. Official or primary records were preferred: WHO for global estimates; original journal articles for trials and imaging; guideline publishers for clinical recommendations; DOI, PMID, or stable publisher pages for identity. Secondary summaries were used only to locate a primary record, not as the evidentiary endpoint.

16.11.3 B.3 Expansion to a claim-level audit

The gap review was then expanded into an **82-entry claim-level audit representing 78 canonical sources**. The difference between entries and sources is intentional: one canonical work could support more than one carefully bounded claim, while paired positive and corrective sources sometimes occupied a single evidential question. The audit covered global burden; phenotype, diagnosis, and safety; developmental trajectories; social and material ecology; dual diagnosis; CBT and Burns; and the treatment frontier. The brain and dynamical chapters were cross-checked against their specialist source lists and the inherited corpus rather than treated as free-standing proof of PCC.

Each claim entry recorded the exact manuscript-suitable statement, population or context, source metadata, evidence type, principal limitations, and recommended placement. This makes the audit reusable. A future revision can update the source or claim without rebuilding the monograph's entire prose architecture.

The expansion also tightened date-sensitive claims. WHO's depression fact sheet was checked at its 29 August 2025 update. The suicide figure was separated and updated from WHO's 28 August 2026 fact sheet. The 2026 GBD 2023 analysis was used for the burden of mental disorders as a class. Treatment-frontier searches extended through **5 September 2026**, allowing inclusion of CREST-MST, a corrective pooled analysis of home tDCS, and a negative primary-endpoint psilocybin trial, among other developments.

16.11.4 B.4 Contradiction and null-result search

The pipeline did not stop after finding a positive anchor. For each frontier claim, it searched for a stronger comparator, later replication, prespecified null, correction, pooled sensitivity analysis, or methodological critique. This changed the manuscript in material ways.

Serial ketamine was paired with an active-control inpatient trial that did not show superiority over midazolam, preventing earlier large effects from being presented as context-free (Jelovac et al., 2025). The positive remotely supervised home-tDCS trial was paired with a later pooled evaluation showing a small and study-sensitive average effect. The year-long sham-controlled VNS study retained both facts: the prespecified percent-time-in-response endpoint did not separate groups, while several secondary response and function measures favoured active treatment (Conway et al., 2025). A positive phase-2 psilocybin study was set beside a 2026 treatment-resistant-depression trial that did not meet its primary endpoint. Critical-slowness-down claims were revised in light of reviews showing limited sensitivity, false positives, and uncertain individual forecasting (Helmich et al., 2024).

Contradiction search also applied outside pharmacology. Social-media sections were written to preserve small average effects, bidirectionality, and person-specific benefit as well as harm. BA45 was retained as an emerging semantic-affective gating candidate rather than a depression centre. Turbulence findings were not treated as proof of non-normal dynamics. The broad evidence base for CBT was separated from the much narrower independent evidence for TEAM-CBT. Childhood-adversity associations were paired with measurement and counterfactual limitations. Dual-diagnosis evidence was used to justify integrated formulation, not one universal direction of causation.

16.11.5 B.5 What this pipeline can and cannot establish

This was a targeted, multi-stage evidence acquisition and assimilation process. It combined a recovered project corpus, a structured current gap review, claim-level verification, and explicit contradiction search. It was designed for a monograph that integrates many domains while preserving source-level traceability.

It was **not a PRISMA systematic review**. There was no protocol registered in advance; no complete database-native search across all required bibliographic databases; no dual independent screening of every title, abstract, and full text; no formal risk-of-bias assessment for every included work; no PRISMA flow diagram; and no claim of exhaustive coverage. Absence from the audit does not mean a study does not exist. Inclusion does not mean the work is the only or strongest possible source. The source counts describe the pipeline, not the size of the literature.

Search and access constraints also matter. Web indexing favours discoverable and often English-language sources. Paywalled supplements, data appendices, corrections, regional journals, dissertations, and non-English research may be underrepresented. Publisher metadata can change. Even verified sources may be misapplied if the final prose expands beyond the audited claim. For that reason, the claim ledger—not the reference count—is the durable unit of quality control.

16.12 Appendix C — The transparent acquisition backlog

The following items remain outside the evidential boundary of this edition. Naming them is part of the result, not an admission to be hidden.

16.12.1 C.1 Earlier Flow Hijacked material

Titles, lecture-map entries, and conceptual descriptions exist for Lectures 14–23, including the foundational depression, deeper-depression, computational, social, trauma, neurotransmitter, dynamical-pattern, and compression sequence. The Drive pass materially recovered Lectures 19 and 21–23; those files were opened and inspected, and the explicit anchors in Lectures 22 and 23 were added to the provenance register. Lecture 19 and Lecture 21 contained no explicit bibliography. Audio files mapped to Lectures 14–17 were located and their file identities checked, but the connected Drive text interface returned no transcript for those recordings; Lecture 18 appears to overlap Lecture 17 and had no independent file. They are therefore mapped as recordings, not represented as page-level reviews or sources of extracted references. No exact full-text file for Lecture 20 was located. Concepts from these boundaries enter only where they recur in later accessible lectures or the consolidated project map.

The L17/L18 boundary remains particularly uncertain because one mapped recording appears to overlap the social-bonding and social-nervous-system material. Lecture 26 was recovered, opened, and its 31 numbered anchors extracted. Lecture 30—the multimodal-control lecture—remains mapped but was not located as an exact full-text file. A future edition should acquire stable transcripts or source manuscripts for the remaining items, record file identity and date, compare duplicates, and extract their references before claiming complete series continuity.

16.12.2 C.2 Reference assets not yet recovered

Lecture 48 describes a machine-readable **168-anchor ledger**, but only the PDF's 46 selected references were accessible for extraction. The 168-anchor file should be recovered and checked at section level. Its absence means this edition can use Lecture 48's prose and selected bibliography, but cannot assert that all 168 intended anchors were independently reverified.

The Drive versions of Lectures 27 and 28 were opened and their reference sections extracted: 53 anchors from Lecture 27 and 89 reference instances from Lecture 28, including its mathematical appendix. Incomplete anchor strings are preserved as they appeared rather than upgraded into invented bibliographic detail. The resulting 800 near-unique strings still require true bibliographic deduplication by DOI, PMID, title, edition, correction, and authorship; near-matching text is not a substitute for record-level identity. Only records independently verified for present claims were admitted to the 230-work canonical register.

16.12.3 C.3 Search work required for a systematic update

A systematic evidence product would require database-native strategies in PubMed/MEDLINE, PsycINFO, Embase where available, Scopus or Web of Science, and Cochrane; recorded queries and dates; explicit eligibility rules; duplicate screening; full-text disposition; risk-of-bias assessment; and a PRISMA flow. Citation chaining should proceed both backward and forward from major anchors, including Lynch 2024, Escrichs

2025, Katayama 2025, Helmich 2024, the 2026 GBD analysis, CREST–MST 2026, home tDCS, integrated dual-diagnosis reviews, and corrective ketamine and psilocybin trials. Corrections, protocols, supplementary adverse-event tables, and null replications require specific retrieval.

The social and developmental sections need stronger geographic and linguistic breadth. Region-specific sources should be added only when denominators, case definitions, dates, and sampling are transparent. US prevalence or service figures must not be silently relabelled as local or global. Research in Hebrew-speaking populations should be sought without assuming that language or right-to-left reading direction changes depression biology.

16.12.4 C.4 Human and clinical review still required

Literature coherence cannot establish acceptability. The complete editions should be reviewed by people living with depression, people with co-occurring substance-use and other conditions, family or peer supporters, and clinicians from psychiatry, psychology, primary care, addiction, neurology, and social work. Review should specifically examine stigma, safety wording, treatment burden, cultural fit, disability access, whether examples feel blaming, and whether the proposed concepts clarify experience or merely rename it. Lived-experience review should be compensated and should not be treated as a ceremonial endorsement. Clinical review should include a documented response to disagreements rather than a request for a single seal of approval.

16.13 Appendix D — A prospective research programme for the Possibility Compression Cascade

16.13.1 D.1 From synthesis to testable model

The Possibility Compression Cascade (PCC) proposes a sequence: distributed loading; candidate non-normal transient amplification; nonlinear threshold crossing; landscape rewriting through learning, allostasis, habit, and social consequence; collapse of reciprocal reach; coupled capture with co-occurring conditions; and distributed restoration. This is a Flow Hijacked research hypothesis, not a diagnosis or validated score. The sequence should not be protected by making every possible result compatible with it.

A minimal multiscale state may be written as

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = [b(t), n(t), c(t), i(t), r(t), w(t), f(t)]^T,$$

where the components denote body, brain, cognition, identity, relationships, material world, and future. This vector is not presumed directly observable. A measurement model must distinguish latent state from questionnaire responses, behaviour, physiology, language, and imaging. Local perturbations can be represented by

$$\delta\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \mathbf{A}(t)\delta\mathbf{x}(t) + \mathbf{B}(t)\mathbf{u}(t) + \eta(t),$$

with interventions or supports in $\mathbf{u}(t)$ and unresolved stochastic or deterministic influence in $\eta(t)$. Calling $\mathbf{A}(t)$ highly non-normal requires evidence about its operator geometry; turbulence, volatility, or symptom severity alone does not establish non-normality.

16.13.2 D.2 Six preregisterable predictions

Prediction 1: transient gain. Some individuals will show large short-term amplification after defined perturbations despite relatively stable mean symptom levels. A study could combine high-frequency ecological momentary assessment, sleep and activity measurement, and ethically acceptable natural or experimental perturbations. Competing normal and non-normal state-space models should be fit on training data and compared prospectively on held-out impulse-response shape, peak, spread, and return time. PCC is weakened if normal orthogonal-mode models predict at least as well or if estimated amplification is an artefact of measurement error, irregular sampling, or unmodelled inputs.

Prediction 2: reach before mood. During recovery, some changes in outgoing and incoming reach—leaving bed, replying, attending care, receiving social reward, completing a practical task—should precede change in a global mood score. Temporal order must be preregistered and tested against ordinary functioning and symptom measures. The construct fails to add value if Reciprocal Reachability indicators are unreliable, reduce to existing scales, or do not improve out-of-sample prediction of meaningful outcomes.

Prediction 3: coupled capture. Joint dynamical models of depression with alcohol or other substance use, pain, PTSD, or sleep disturbance should predict transitions better than two parallel independent models. The comparison should use the same data budget, penalize complexity, and validate in an external cohort. Superior in-sample fit is insufficient. PCC is weakened if coupling parameters are unstable, if independent models generalize equally well, or if apparent coupling disappears when shared causes and time-varying confounders are represented.

Prediction 4: BA45 semantic–affective gating. Change in rumination or cognitive reappraisal may covary with reconfiguration of individual BA45/inferior-frontal networks for a subset of people, especially during language-based therapy processes. Testing requires precision fMRI or EEG, explicit semantic-selection and reappraisal tasks, process measures during CBT, individual parcellation, laterality and neighbouring BA44/46/47 sensitivity analyses, and replication across languages. Uniform change is not predicted. The proposal is weakened if BA45 adds no information beyond broader control or language networks, if effects do not replicate, or if localization depends entirely on one task or atlas.

Prediction 5: distributed control. Treatment sequences matched to the dominant bottleneck—such as sleep, medical burden, immediate safety, substance withdrawal, behavioural access, social threat, or future credibility—should reduce recurrence or accelerate re-expansion more than sequencing based only on diagnosis. A pragmatic adaptive trial could compare bottleneck-informed care with high-quality stepped and preference-based care. Any advantage must exceed assessment burden and survive adjustment for clinician attention. The hypothesis is weakened if simpler care performs as well, matching rules prove unreliable, or added complexity worsens access.

Prediction 6: hysteresis. The route out of a depressive state will often differ from the route in because slow variables have changed. Removing an initiating stressor may therefore be insufficient after sleep, habit, bodily regulation, relationship structure, or expected reward has reorganized. Longitudinal change-point models can test whether recovery follows a distinct path after the initiating condition is removed. PCC is weakened if deterioration and recovery are adequately described by the same reversible mapping or if the proposed slow variables do not explain the difference.

16.13.3 D.3 Explicit model-level falsifiers

Across the programme, PCC should be considered materially undermined if: normal models consistently match perturbation response as well as non-normal models; Reciprocal Reachability adds no reliable predictive or clinical information beyond existing measures; coupled models fail to improve external prediction; the proposed ordering from loading through amplification, threshold, and landscape change is absent or systematically reversed without moderators; bottleneck-matched sequencing fails against simpler care; or the constructs cannot be measured with acceptable invariance across language, culture, disability, and socioeconomic context. Individual predictions may fail while a revised partial model survives. Repeated failure should contract the theory, not generate additional invisible variables.

16.13.4 D.4 Measurement, analysis, and ethics

The programme requires intensive within-person data without confusing density with truth. Candidate measures include symptoms, sleep continuity and timing, activity, pain, substance use, craving, medication change, social contact, practical functioning, future imagery, effort valuation, and receipt as well as initiation of support. Missingness is potentially informative—nonresponse may rise when reach collapses—but it must not automatically be interpreted as deterioration. Observation models should include device failure, burden, work schedule, disability, and deliberate privacy choices.

Analysis should be preregistered at the level of prediction, comparator, outcome, time horizon, and failure criterion. Models require out-of-sample and preferably external validation, calibration as well as discrimination, sensitivity to sampling interval, and comparison with parsimonious baselines. Multiverse or

specification-curve analyses should expose dependence on preprocessing and parcellation. Code, synthetic examples, and de-identified summary data should be shared where consent and re-identification risk permit.

Ethically, the programme must not become a surveillance system disguised as care. Consent should be ongoing and specific; data collection minimized; participants able to pause without losing treatment; and alerts connected to a human response pathway. Passive data, language, and social-contact measures are especially sensitive. Automated risk outputs must not substitute for direct suicide assessment or trigger coercive action without a clinically accountable process. People with severe symptoms, co-occurring substance use, poverty, language difference, or disability should not be excluded simply to make the signal cleaner; their participation requires accessible design and adequate safety infrastructure.

The decisive outcome is not whether PCC can be made to fit retrospective data. It is whether the model predicts something useful, survives serious rivals, improves decisions without adding disproportionate burden, and can say clearly when it was wrong.

16.14 Appendix E — Visual atlas boundaries

The brain plate in this monograph uses the official Flow Hijacked Recovery Brain Atlas visual as an educational, group-level conceptual atlas. It is not an individual scan, diagnostic device, treatment target, or prediction. A static PDF rendering cannot reproduce every affordance of an interactive three-dimensional model; the live atlas link therefore accompanies the plate, while the PDF preserves a high-resolution view that remains usable offline.

Any BA45 highlight is anatomically restrained and identified by view and laterality. Brodmann boundaries do not map perfectly onto visible gyri, individual brains vary, and BA45 borders BA44, BA46, and BA47 differently across atlases and methods. Highlighting the left pars triangularis region does not imply that depression is located there or that one coloured area is causally primary. Captions should identify BA45 as a candidate semantic–affective gating node inside distributed language, control, salience, and value networks.

The visual system avoids decorative pathway lines and causal arrows unsupported by tractography or intervention evidence. Labels remain selectable where possible; colour is supplemented by text; and an adjacent textual description states the anatomical view, highlighted region, and evidential boundary. The present PDF is not claimed to be a fully tagged accessible document. The atlas is a map for orientation, not an oracle about a person.

REGISTER LOGIC The consolidated register supplies the single canonical bibliography for the present monograph. The recovered portfolio preserves every recovered prior citation instance with its lecture provenance, including records not admitted to the canonical register. These two layers answer different questions: what supports the present text, and what was carried forward from the recoverable lecture archive. Their counts should not be collapsed or mistaken for a formal systematic-review flow.

Brain and Network Reference Atlas

This atlas preserves the regional material without returning to a broken-part model. Each entry is a functional orientation embedded in networks, tasks, body state, development, and context. No entry is an individual diagnostic marker.

BA25 / subgenual anterior cingulate

FUNCTIONAL ORIENTATION Valuation, autonomic–affective integration, persistent negative mood circuits

DEPRESSION EVIDENCE Metabolism/connectivity differences and treatment targeting are repeatedly reported.

CONFIDENCE High relevance; heterogeneous findings

CLAIM BOUNDARY Not a depression switch; invasive targeting evidence is specialized and mixed.

BA24/32 / dorsal–rostral ACC

FUNCTIONAL ORIENTATION Conflict monitoring, affective appraisal, effort, pain, control allocation

DEPRESSION EVIDENCE Frequently implicated across symptoms and treatment-response studies.

CONFIDENCE Moderate–high

CLAIM BOUNDARY Subregions differ; task and atlas choices change conclusions.

BA10 / frontopolar cortex

FUNCTIONAL ORIENTATION Prospection, counterfactuals, long-horizon goals, self-generated thought

DEPRESSION EVIDENCE Relevant to hopelessness, future simulation, and cognitive control.

CONFIDENCE Moderate

CLAIM BOUNDARY Function is not unitary and laterality matters.

BA9 and BA46 / dorsolateral PFC

FUNCTIONAL ORIENTATION Working memory, cognitive control, rule maintenance, reappraisal

DEPRESSION EVIDENCE Commonly altered in depression and major targets for TMS/tDCS.

CONFIDENCE High as network target

CLAIM BOUNDARY Clinical effects depend on connectivity, state, dose, and individual anatomy.

BA11/47 / orbitofrontal cortex

FUNCTIONAL ORIENTATION Reward/nonreward, outcome updating, credit assignment, regret

DEPRESSION EVIDENCE Connectivity and structural findings link OFC to anhedonia and negative valuation.

CONFIDENCE Moderate–high

CLAIM BOUNDARY BA47 borders the IFG; labels vary across atlases.

BA45 / pars triangularis, inferior frontal gyrus

FUNCTIONAL ORIENTATION Semantic selection, controlled retrieval, inhibitory control, language-mediated reappraisal

DEPRESSION EVIDENCE EEG centrality, cortical thickness, and IFG/OFC connectivity studies report depression-related differences.

CONFIDENCE Emerging and indirect

CLAIM BOUNDARY Treat as a semantic–affective gating node, not a depression centre; BA44/45/47 boundaries and task dependence matter.

Anterior insula

FUNCTIONAL ORIENTATION Interoception, salience, uncertainty, state switching

DEPRESSION EVIDENCE Common node in salience-network and body–brain accounts.

CONFIDENCE High at network level

CLAIM BOUNDARY Hyper- and hypoconnectivity both occur across samples and states.

Amygdala

FUNCTIONAL ORIENTATION Threat learning, emotional salience, relevance detection

DEPRESSION EVIDENCE Robust involvement in affective processing and treatment studies.

CONFIDENCE High but nonspecific

CLAIM BOUNDARY Not specific to depression and not reducible to fear alone.

Hippocampus

FUNCTIONAL ORIENTATION Context, memory, stress regulation, pattern separation

DEPRESSION EVIDENCE Structural and functional differences recur, especially with chronicity and stress.

CONFIDENCE Moderate–high

CLAIM BOUNDARY Medication, episode burden, age, and adversity confound interpretation.

Nucleus accumbens / ventral striatum

FUNCTIONAL ORIENTATION Motivation, reward anticipation, effort–value integration

DEPRESSION EVIDENCE Central to anhedonia, learning, and addiction–depression coupling.

CONFIDENCE High

CLAIM BOUNDARY Dopamine is not pleasure; reward components must be separated.

Dorsal striatum

FUNCTIONAL ORIENTATION Habits, action selection, reinforcement history

DEPRESSION EVIDENCE Relevant to psychomotor change, habit capture, and substance-use comorbidity.

CONFIDENCE Moderate

CLAIM BOUNDARY Findings are transdiagnostic and behavior-dependent.

Habenula

FUNCTIONAL ORIENTATION Negative prediction, aversive learning, reward omission

DEPRESSION EVIDENCE Strong mechanistic rationale and specialized treatment literature.

CONFIDENCE Promising, limited clinical translation

CLAIM BOUNDARY Human evidence and targeting remain technically constrained.

Thalamus

FUNCTIONAL ORIENTATION Arousal, gating, integration across cortical systems

DEPRESSION EVIDENCE Frequently appears in connectivity and whole-brain dynamics studies.

CONFIDENCE Moderate

CLAIM BOUNDARY Different nuclei have different functions; whole-thalamus statements are weak.

Hypothalamus / brainstem neuromodulatory nuclei

FUNCTIONAL ORIENTATION Sleep, appetite, endocrine rhythms, autonomic state, monoaminergic gain

DEPRESSION EVIDENCE Explains vegetative and circadian dimensions and treatment mechanisms.

CONFIDENCE High biological relevance

CLAIM BOUNDARY Neurotransmitter slogans are inadequate; cell types and projections differ.

Cerebellum

FUNCTIONAL ORIENTATION Prediction, timing, motor–cognitive–affective coordination

DEPRESSION EVIDENCE Increasingly implicated in large-scale depression networks.

CONFIDENCE Emerging

CLAIM BOUNDARY Often undermeasured; regional specificity is essential.

HOW TO READ THE ATLAS Regional names orient inquiry; they do not partition a person. The evidential unit is a claim about a defined sample, task, measure, atlas, contrast, and timepoint. A clinically responsible interpretation then asks how that result participates in networks, body state, learning, development, relationship, and world. Where findings conflict, heterogeneity is part of the result—not a reason to select the most vivid map.

Consolidated Reference Register

This register consolidates sources cited in the new monograph layer and the current evidence audit. It contains 230 deduplicated works and complements—rather than replaces—the provenance-preserving recovered portfolio that follows.

- Aaron, R. V., et al. (2025). Prevalence of depression and anxiety among adults with chronic pain: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Network Open*, 8, e250268. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2025.0268>
- Acuff, S. F., MacKillop, J., & Murphy, J. G. (2023). A contextualized reinforcer pathology approach to addiction. *Nature Reviews Psychology*, 2, 309–323. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s44159-023-00167-y>
- Addis, D. R., Wong, A. T., & Schacter, D. L. (2007). Remembering the past and imagining the future: Common and distinct neural substrates during event construction and elaboration. *Neuropsychologia*, 45, 1363–1377. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2006.10.016>
- Ainsworth, M. D. S., Blehar, M. C., Waters, E., & Wall, S. (1978). *Patterns of Attachment: A Psychological Study of the Strange Situation*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates. ISBN 978-0-89859-461-4.
- Albaum, C., Irwin, S. H., Muha, J., et al. (2025). Safety planning interventions for suicide prevention in children and adolescents: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Pediatrics*, 179(8), 886–895. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.pediatrics.2025.1012>
- American Psychiatric Association. (2022). *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* (5th ed., text rev.; DSM-5-TR). American Psychiatric Association Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.books.9780890425787>
- American Society of Addiction Medicine. (2020). The ASAM clinical practice guideline on alcohol withdrawal management. *Journal of Addiction Medicine*, 14(3S Suppl 1), 1–72. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ADM.0000000000000668>
- Amunts, K., Schleicher, A., Bürgel, U., Mohlberg, H., Uylings, H. B. M., & Zilles, K. (1999). Broca's region revisited: Cytoarchitecture and intersubject variability. *Journal of Comparative Neurology*, 412, 319–341. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1096-9861\(19990920\)412:2%3C319::AID-CNE10%3E3.0.CO;2-7](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9861(19990920)412:2%3C319::AID-CNE10%3E3.0.CO;2-7)
- Anand, A., Mathew, S. J., Sanacora, G., et al. (2023). Ketamine versus ECT for nonpsychotic treatment-resistant major depression. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 388, 2315–2325. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2302399>
- Aron, A. R., Robbins, T. W., & Poldrack, R. A. (2014). Inhibition and the right inferior frontal cortex: One decade on. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 18, 177–185. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2013.12.003>
- Baglioni, C., Battagliese, G., Feige, B., Spiegelhalter, K., Nissen, C., Voderholzer, U., Lombardo, C., & Riemann, D. (2011). Insomnia as a predictor of depression: A meta-analytic evaluation of longitudinal epidemiological studies. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 135, 10–19. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2011.01.011>
- Bahji, A. (2025). Integrated management of co-occurring alcohol use disorder and major depressive disorder. *Canadian Journal*

- of Psychiatry. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12408529/>
- Bair, M. J., Robinson, R. L., Katon, W., & Kroenke, K. (2003). Depression and pain comorbidity: A literature review. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, 163, 2433–2445. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archinte.163.20.2433>
- Bassett, D. S., & Sporns, O. (2017). Network neuroscience. *Nature Neuroscience*, 20(3), 353–364. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn.4502>
- Bayer, H. M., & Glimcher, P. W. (2005). Midbrain dopamine neurons encode a quantitative reward prediction error signal. *Neuron*, 47(1), 129–141. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2005.05.020>
- Beck, A. T. (1976). *Cognitive Therapy and the Emotional Disorders*. International Universities Press. ISBN 978-0-8236-0990-1.
- Beck, A. T., Rush, A. J., Shaw, B. F., & Emery, G. (1979). *Cognitive Therapy of Depression* (1st ed.). Guilford Press. ISBN 978-0-89862-919-4.
- Beck, A. T., Weissman, A., Lester, D., & Trexler, L. (1974). The measurement of pessimism: The Hopelessness Scale. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 42, 861–865. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0037562>
- Befrienders Worldwide. (n.d.). *Find help now*. Retrieved September 5, 2026, from <https://befrienders.org/find-help-now/>
- Belsher, B. E., Smolenski, D. J., Pruitt, L. D., Bush, N. E., Beech, E. H., Workman, D. E., Morgan, R. L., Evatt, D. P., Tucker, J., & Skopp, N. A. (2019). Prediction models for suicide attempts and deaths: A systematic review and simulation. *JAMA Psychiatry*, 76(6), 642–651. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2019.0174>
- Berridge, K. C., & Robinson, T. E. (1998). What is the role of dopamine in reward: hedonic impact, reward learning, or incentive salience? *Brain Research Reviews*, 28, 309–369. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0173\(98\)00019-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0173(98)00019-8)
- Bisconti, N., Odier, M., Becker, M., & Bullock, K. (2024). Feasibility and acceptability of a mobile app-based TEAM-CBT intervention for depression: secondary data analysis. *JMIR Mental Health*, 11, e52369. <https://doi.org/10.2196/52369>
- Blumberger, D. M., Maller, J. J., Thomson, L., et al. (2018). Effectiveness of theta-burst versus high-frequency repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in depression (THREE-D). *The Lancet*, 391, 1683–1692. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(18\)30295-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)30295-2)
- Blumberger, D. M., McClintock, S. M., Thorpe, K. E., et al. (2026). Confirmatory efficacy and safety trial of magnetic seizure therapy versus right unilateral ultra-brief ECT in depression (CREST-MST). *The Lancet Psychiatry*, 13, 376–386. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366\(26\)00060-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(26)00060-X)
- Boden, J. M., & Fergusson, D. M. (2011). Alcohol and depression. *Addiction*, 106, 906–914. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1360-0443.2010.03351.x>
- Borsboom, D. (2017). A network theory of mental disorders. *World Psychiatry*, 16(1), 5–13. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20375>
- Borsini, A., St John Wallis, A., Zunszain, P., Pariante, C. M., & Kempton, M. J. (2020). Characterizing anhedonia: a systematic review of neuroimaging across the subtypes of reward processing deficits in depression. *Cognitive, Affective, & Behavioral Neuroscience*, 20(4), 816–841. <https://doi.org/10.3758/s13415-020-00804-6>
- Bourgeois-Munoz, E., & Corcoran, J. (2024). TEAM-CBT for adolescents and young adults with depression and anxiety: testing short-term impact and within-session change. *Cognitive and Behavioral Practice*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpra.2024.04.003>
- Bowlby, J. (1982). *Attachment and Loss, Volume 1: Attachment* (2nd ed.; original work published 1969). Basic Books. ISBN 978-0-465-00543-7.
- Breedvelt, J. J. F., Karyotaki, E., Warren, F. C., et al. (2024). An individual participant data meta-analysis of psychological interventions for preventing depression relapse. *Nature Mental Health*, 2(2), 154–163. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s44220-023-00178-x>
- Brunner, E., Chen, C.-Y. A., Klein, T., et al. (2025). Joint clinical practice guideline on benzodiazepine tapering: Considerations when risks outweigh benefits. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 40, 2814–2859. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-025-09499-2>
- Buhle, J. T., Silvers, J. A., Wager, T. D., Lopez, R., Onyemekwu, C., Kober, H., Weber, J., & Ochsner, K. N. (2014). Cognitive reappraisal of emotion: A meta-analysis of human neuroimaging studies. *Cerebral Cortex*, 24, 2981–2990. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bht154>
- Buntrock, C., Harrer, M., Sprenger, A. A., Illing, S., Sakata, M., Furukawa, T. A., Ebert, D. D., Cuijpers, P., & IPD-PrevDep Consortium. (2024). Psychological interventions to prevent the onset of major depression in adults: A systematic review and individual participant data meta-analysis. *The Lancet Psychiatry*, 11(12), 990–1001. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366\(24\)00316-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(24)00316-X)
- Burns, D. D. (1980). *Feeling Good: The New Mood Therapy*. William Morrow. <https://feelinggood.com/books/>
- Burns, D. D. (2020). *Feeling Great: The Revolutionary New Treatment for Depression and Anxiety*. PESI Publishing. <https://feelinggood.com/feeling-great/>
- Churchill, V., Chubb, C. S., Popova, L., et al. (2025). The association between cannabis and depression: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychological Medicine*, 55, e44. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291724003143>
- Cipriani, A., Furukawa, T. A., Salanti, G., et al. (2018). Comparative efficacy and acceptability of 21 antidepressant drugs for acute treatment of adults with major depressive disorder. *The Lancet*, 391, 1357–1366. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(17\)32802-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)32802-7)
- Clayborne, Z. M., Varin, M., & Colman, I. (2019). Systematic review and meta-analysis: Adolescent depression and long-term psychosocial outcomes. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 58(1), 72–79. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaac.2018.07.896>
- Coan, J. A., & Sbarra, D. A. (2015). Social Baseline Theory: The social regulation of risk and effort. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 1, 87–91. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2014.12.021>
- Coan, J. A., Schaefer, H. S., & Davidson, R. J. (2006). Lending a hand: Social regulation of the neural response to threat. *Psychological Science*, 17(12), 1032–1039. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9280.2006.01832.x>
- Cole, E. J., Phillips, A. L., Bentzley, B. S., et al. (2022). Stanford neuromodulation therapy for treatment-resistant depression: a randomized controlled trial. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 179, 132–141. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2021.20101429>
- Conway, C. R., Aaronson, S. T., Sackeim, H. A., et al. (2025). Vagus nerve stimulation in treatment-resistant depression: a one-year, randomized, sham-controlled trial. *Brain Stimulation*, 18, 676–689. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brs.2024.12.1191>
- Copeland, W. E., Alaie, I., Jonsson, U., & Shanahan, L. (2021). Associations of childhood and adolescent depression with adult psychiatric and functional outcomes. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 60(5), 604–611. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaac.2020.07.895>

- COVID-19 Mental Disorders Collaborators. (2021). Global prevalence and burden of depressive and anxiety disorders in 204 countries and territories in 2020 due to the COVID-19 pandemic. *The Lancet*, 398(10312), 1700–1712. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(21\)02143-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)02143-7)
- Craig, A. D. (2009). How do you feel—now? The anterior insula and human awareness. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 10, 59–70. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2555>
- Cramer, A. O. J., van Borkulo, C. D., Giltay, E. J., et al. (2016). Major depression as a complex dynamic system. *PLoS ONE*, 11(12), e0167490. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0167490>
- Cramer, A. O. J., Waldorp, L. J., van der Maas, H. L. J., & Borsboom, D. (2010). Comorbidity: a network perspective. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 33, 137–150. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X09991567>
- Cryan, J. F., O’Riordan, K. J., Cowan, C. S. M., Sandhu, K. V., Bastiaansen, T. F. S., Boehme, M., et al. (2019). The microbiota–gut–brain axis. *Physiological Reviews*, 99, 1877–2013. <https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00018.2018>
- Cuijpers, P., et al. (2025). Effect of control condition on psychotherapy outcomes across 375 randomized trials. *JAMA Psychiatry*, 82(6), 563–571. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2025.0482>
- Cuijpers, P., Miguel, C., Harrer, M., et al. (2023). Cognitive behavior therapy versus control conditions, other psychotherapies, pharmacotherapies and combined treatment for depression: a comprehensive meta-analysis including 409 trials with 52,702 patients. *World Psychiatry*, 22, 105–115. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.21069>
- Cuijpers, P., Quero, S., Noma, H., et al. (2021). Psychotherapies for depression: a network meta-analysis covering efficacy, acceptability, and long-term outcomes of all main treatment types. *World Psychiatry*, 20, 283–293. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20860>
- Danese, A., & McEwen, B. S. (2012). Adverse childhood experiences, allostasis, allostatic load, and age-related disease. *Physiology & Behavior*, 106(1), 29–39. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2011.08.019>
- Dantzer, R., O’Connor, J. C., Freund, G. G., Johnson, R. W., & Kelley, K. W. (2008). From inflammation to sickness and depression: When the immune system subjugates the brain. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 9, 46–56. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2297>
- Davis, E. P., & Glynn, L. M. (2024). Annual Research Review: The power of predictability—patterns of signals in early life shape neurodevelopment and mental health trajectories. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 65(4), 508–534. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.13958>
- Davis, L. L., Rush, A. J., Wisniewski, S. R., et al. (2005). Substance use disorder comorbidity in major depressive disorder: an exploratory analysis of the STAR*D cohort. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, 46, 81–89. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comppsy.2004.07.025>
- Der-Avakian, A., & Markou, A. (2012). The neurobiology of anhedonia and other reward-related deficits. *Trends in Neurosciences*, 35(1), 68–77. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2011.11.005>
- Dimidjian, S., Hollon, S. D., Dobson, K. S., et al. (2006). Randomized trial of behavioral activation, cognitive therapy, and antidepressant medication in the acute treatment of adults with major depression. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 74, 658–670. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-006X.74.4.658>
- Disner, S. G., Beevers, C. G., Haigh, E. A. P., & Beck, A. T. (2011). Neural mechanisms of the cognitive model of depression. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 12, 467–477. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3027>
- Drevets, W. C., Price, J. L., & Furey, M. L. (2008). Brain structural and functional abnormalities in mood disorders: Implications for neurocircuitry models of depression. *Brain Structure and Function*, 213, 93–118. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-008-0189-x>
- Durstewitz, D., Huys, Q. J. M., & Koppe, G. (2021). Psychiatric illnesses as disorders of network dynamics. *Biological Psychiatry: Cognitive Neuroscience and Neuroimaging*, 6(9), 865–876. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpsc.2020.01.001>
- Eisenberger, N. I. (2012). The pain of social disconnection: Examining the shared neural underpinnings of physical and social pain. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 13(6), 421–434. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3231>
- Eisenberger, N. I., Lieberman, M. D., & Williams, K. D. (2003). Does rejection hurt? An fMRI study of social exclusion. *Science*, 302(5643), 290–292. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1089134>
- Erzen, E., & Çikrikci, Ö. (2018). The effect of loneliness on depression: A meta-analysis. *International Journal of Social Psychiatry*, 64(5), 427–435. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0020764018776349>
- Escrachs, A., Sanz Perl, Y., Fisher, P. M., et al. (2025). Whole-brain turbulent dynamics predict responsiveness to pharmacological treatment in major depressive disorder. *Molecular Psychiatry*, 30, 1069–1079. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41380-024-02690-7>
- Evans, G. W., Li, D., & Whipple, S. S. (2013). Cumulative risk and child development. *Psychological Bulletin*, 139(6), 1342–1396. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0031808>
- Farias, M., Maraldi, E., Wallenkampf, K. C., & Lucchetti, G. (2020). Adverse events in meditation practices and meditation-based therapies: a systematic review. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, 142, 374–393. <https://doi.org/10.1111/acps.13225>
- Felger, J. C., & Treadway, M. T. (2017). Inflammation effects on motivation and motor activity: Role of dopamine. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, 42, 216–241. <https://doi.org/10.1038/npp.2016.143>
- Find A Helpline. (n.d.). *Global helpline directory*. Retrieved September 5, 2026, from <https://findahelpline.com/>
- Foulds, J. A., Adamson, S. J., Boden, J. M., Williman, J. A., & Mulder, R. T. (2015). Depression in patients with alcohol use disorders: systematic review and meta-analysis of outcomes for independent and substance-induced disorders. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 185, 47–59. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2015.06.024>
- Franklin, J. C., Ribeiro, J. D., Fox, K. R., Bentley, K. H., Kleiman, E. M., Huang, X., Musacchio, K. M., Jaroszewski, A. C., Chang, B. P., & Nock, M. K. (2017). Risk factors for suicidal thoughts and behaviors: A meta-analysis of 50 years of research. *Psychological Bulletin*, 143(2), 187–232. <https://doi.org/10.1037/bul0000084>
- Freidlin, M. I., & Wentzell, A. D. (2012). *Random Perturbations of Dynamical Systems* (3rd ed.). Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-25847-3>
- Fried, E. I., & Nesse, R. M. (2015a). Depression is not a consistent syndrome: An investigation of unique symptom profiles in the STAR*D study. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 172, 96–102. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2014.10.010>
- Fried, E. I., & Nesse, R. M. (2015b). Depression sum-scores do not add up: Why analyzing specific depression symptoms is essential. *BMC Medicine*, 13, 72. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-015-0325-4>

- Fried, E. I., van Borkulo, C. D., Cramer, A. O. J., Boschloo, L., Schoevers, R. A., & Borsboom, D. (2017). Mental disorders as networks of problems: a review of recent insights. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*, 52, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00127-016-1319-z>
- Friston, K. (2010). The free-energy principle: A unified brain theory? *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 11(2), 127–138. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2787>
- Gariépy, G., Honkaniemi, H., & Quesnel-Vallée, A. (2016). Social support and protection from depression: Systematic review of current findings in Western countries. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 209(4), 284–293. <https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.bp.115.169094>
- GBD 2019 Mental Disorders Collaborators. (2022). Global, regional, and national burden of 12 mental disorders in 204 countries and territories, 1990–2019: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *The Lancet Psychiatry*, 9(2), 137–150. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366\(21\)00395-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(21)00395-3)
- GBD 2023 Mental Disorder Collaborators. (2026). Updated trends in the global prevalence and burden of mental disorders, 1990–2023: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2023. *The Lancet*, 407(10543), 2040–2064. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(26\)00519-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(26)00519-2)
- Gilbert, P. (2010). *Compassion Focused Therapy: Distinctive Features* (1st ed.). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203851197>
- Grande, I., Berk, M., Birmaher, B., & Vieta, E. (2016). Bipolar disorder. *The Lancet*, 387(10027), 1561–1572. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(15\)00241-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(15)00241-X)
- Grant, S., Azhar, G., Han, E., et al. (2021). Clinical interventions for adults with comorbid alcohol use and depressive disorders: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *PLOS Medicine*, 18, e1003822. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1003822>
- Grimes, P. Z., Adams, M. J., Thng, G., et al. (2024). Genetic architectures of adolescent depression trajectories in 2 longitudinal population cohorts. *JAMA Psychiatry*, 81(8), 807–816. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2024.0983>
- Grummitt, L., Baldwin, J. R., Lafoa'i, J., Keyes, K. M., & Barrett, E. L. (2024). Burden of mental disorders and suicide attributable to childhood maltreatment. *JAMA Psychiatry*, 81(8), 782–788. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2024.0804>
- Gu, S., Pasqualetti, F., Cieslak, M., et al. (2015). Controllability of structural brain networks. *Nature Communications*, 6, 8414. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms9414>
- Guyatt, G. H., Oxman, A. D., Vist, G. E., Kunz, R., Falck-Ytter, Y., Alonso-Coello, P., & Schünemann, H. J. (2008). GRADE: An emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *BMJ*, 336(7650), 924–926. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.39489.470347.AD>
- Haimi, M., Almeida-Antunes, N., Ferreira, S. P. R., & Rocha, N. B. (2026). Remotely supervised, home-based transcranial direct current stimulation for major depressive disorder: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *JMIR Mental Health*, 13, e92522. <https://doi.org/10.2196/92522>
- Halahakoon, D. C., Kieslich, K., O'Driscoll, C., Nair, A., Lewis, G., & Roiser, J. P. (2020). Reward-processing behavior in depressed participants relative to healthy volunteers: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Psychiatry*. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2020.2139>
- Hallford, D. J., Austin, D. W., Takano, K., & Raes, F. (2018). Psychopathology and episodic future thinking: A systematic review and meta-analysis of specificity and episodic detail. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 102, 42–51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2018.01.003>
- Hallford, D. J., Rusanov, D., Yeow, J. J. E., Austin, D. W., D'Argembeau, A., Fuller-Tyszkiewicz, M., & Raes, F. (2023). Reducing anhedonia in major depressive disorder with Future Event Specificity Training: A randomized controlled trial. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, 47, 20–37. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10608-022-10330-z>
- Hamilton, J. P., Farmer, M., Fogelman, P., & Gotlib, I. H. (2015). Depressive rumination, the default-mode network, and the dark matter of clinical neuroscience. *Biological Psychiatry*, 78, 224–230. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2015.02.020>
- Hammen, C. (1991). Generation of stress in the course of unipolar depression. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 100(4), 555–561. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-843X.100.4.555>
- Hammen, C. (2005). Stress and depression. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*, 1, 293–319. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.clinpsy.1.102803.143938>
- Helmich, M. A., Schreuder, M. J., Bringmann, L. F., Riese, H., Snippe, E., & Smit, A. C. (2024). Slow down and be critical before using early warning signals in psychopathology. *Nature Reviews Psychology*, 3, 767–780. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s44159-024-00369-y>
- Hennequin, G., Vogels, T. P., & Gerstner, W. (2014). Optimal control of transient dynamics in balanced networks supports generation of complex movements. *Neuron*, 82(6), 1394–1406. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2014.04.045>
- Henssler, J., et al. (2024). Incidence of antidepressant discontinuation symptoms: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *The Lancet Psychiatry*, 11(7), 526–535. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366\(24\)00133-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(24)00133-0)
- Herrman, H., Patel, V., Kieling, C., et al. (2022). Time for united action on depression: A Lancet–World Psychiatric Association Commission. *The Lancet*, 399(10328), 957–1022. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(21\)02141-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)02141-3)
- Hershfield, H. E. (2011). Future self-continuity: How conceptions of the future self transform intertemporal choice. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 20, 30–36. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0963721410391721>
- Hock, E. S., Blank, L., Fairbrother, H., et al. (2024). Exploring the impact of housing insecurity on the health and wellbeing of children and young people in the United Kingdom: A qualitative systematic review. *BMC Public Health*, 24, 2453. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-024-19735-9>
- Holt-Lunstad, J., Smith, T. B., & Layton, J. B. (2010). Social relationships and mortality risk: A meta-analytic review. *PLOS Medicine*, 7(7), e1000316. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1000316>
- Holtzheimer, P. E., Husain, M. M., Lisanby, S. H., et al. (2017). Subcallosal cingulate deep brain stimulation for treatment-resistant depression: a multisite, randomised, sham-controlled trial. *The Lancet Psychiatry*, 4, 839–849. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366\(17\)30371-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(17)30371-1)
- Horne, S. J., Topp, T. E., & Quigley, L. (2021). Depression and the willingness to expend cognitive and physical effort for rewards: a systematic review. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 88, 102065. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2021.102065>
- Husain, M., & Roiser, J. P. (2018). Neuroscience of apathy and anhedonia: a transdiagnostic approach. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 19, 470–484. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41583-018-0029-9>
- Hutchison, R. M., Womelsdorf, T., Allen, E. A., Bandettini, P. A., Calhoun, V. D., Corbetta, M., et al. (2013). Dynamic functional connectivity: Promise, issues, and interpretations. *NeuroImage*, 80, 360–378. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.05.079>
- Huys, Q. J. M., Pizzagalli, D. A., Bogdan, R., & Dayan, P. (2013). Mapping anhedonia onto reinforcement learning: a

- behavioural meta-analysis. *Biology of Mood & Anxiety Disorders*, 3, 12. <https://doi.org/10.1186/2045-5380-3-12>
- Hysing, M., Petrie, K. J., Harvey, A. G., et al. (2026). From loneliness to depression: A longitudinal diagnostic study among Norwegian university students. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*, 61, 449–459. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00127-025-02989-y>
- International Association for Suicide Prevention. (n.d.). *Suicidal crisis support and crisis centres/helplines*. Retrieved September 5, 2026, from <https://www.iasp.info/suicidalthoughts/>
- Iovieno, N., Tedeschini, E., Bentley, K. H., Evins, A. E., & Papakostas, G. I. (2011). Antidepressants for major depressive disorder and dysthymic disorder in patients with comorbid alcohol use disorders: a meta-analysis. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*, 72, 1144–1151. <https://doi.org/10.4088/JCP.10m06217>
- Jacobson, N. S., Dobson, K. S., Truax, P. A., et al. (1996). A component analysis of cognitive-behavioral treatment for depression. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 64, 295–304. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-006X.64.2.295>
- Jelovac, A., McCaffrey, C., Terao, M., et al. (2025). Serial ketamine infusions as adjunctive therapy to inpatient care for depression: The KARMA-Dep 2 randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Psychiatry*, 82(12), 1216–1224. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2025.3019>
- Kaiser, R. H., Andrews-Hanna, J. R., Wager, T. D., & Pizzagalli, D. A. (2015). Large-scale network dysfunction in major depressive disorder: A meta-analysis of resting-state functional connectivity. *JAMA Psychiatry*, 72, 603–611. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2015.0071>
- Karrer, T. M., Kim, J. Z., Stiso, J., et al. (2020). A practical guide to methodological considerations in the controllability of structural brain networks. *Journal of Neural Engineering*, 17(2), 026031. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1741-2552/ab6e8b>
- Karyotaki, E., Efthimiou, O., Miguel, C., et al. (2021). Internet-based cognitive behavioral therapy for depression: a systematic review and individual patient data network meta-analysis. *JAMA Psychiatry*, 78, 361–371. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2020.4364>
- Katayama, N., Shinagawa, K., Hirano, J., et al. (2025). Dynamic neural network modulation associated with rumination in major depressive disorder. *Translational Psychiatry*, 15, 267. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41398-025-03489-y>
- Keyes, K. M., Kreski, N. T., & Patrick, M. E. (2024). Depressive symptoms in adolescence and young adulthood. *JAMA Network Open*, 7(8), e2427748. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.27748>
- Khalsa, S. S., Adolphs, R., Cameron, O. G., Critchley, H. D., Davenport, P. W., Feinstein, J. S., et al. (2018). Interoception and mental health: A roadmap. *Biological Psychiatry: Cognitive Neuroscience and Neuroimaging*, 3, 501–513. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpsc.2017.12.004>
- Kirkbride, J. B., Anglin, D. M., Colman, I., et al. (2024). The social determinants of mental health and disorder: Evidence, prevention and recommendations. *World Psychiatry*, 23(1), 58–90. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.21160>
- Kuranova, A., Booij, S. H., Menne-Lothmann, C., et al. (2020). Measuring resilience prospectively as the speed of affect recovery in daily life: A complex systems perspective on mental health. *BMC Medicine*, 18, 36. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-020-1500-9>
- Kuyken, W., Warren, F. C., Taylor, R. S., et al. (2016). Efficacy of mindfulness-based cognitive therapy in prevention of depressive relapse: an individual patient data meta-analysis. *JAMA Psychiatry*, 73, 565–574. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2016.0076>
- Lam, R. W., Kennedy, S. H., Adams, C., Bahji, A., Beaulieu, S., Bhat, V., et al. (2024). Canadian Network for Mood and Anxiety Treatments (CANMAT) 2023 update on clinical guidelines for management of major depressive disorder in adults. *The Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, 69(9), 641–687. <https://doi.org/10.1177/07067437241245384>
- Lambon Ralph, M. A., Jefferies, E., Patterson, K., & Rogers, T. T. (2017). The neural and computational bases of semantic cognition. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 18, 42–55. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn.2016.150>
- Leamy, M., Bird, V., Le Boutillier, C., Williams, J., & Slade, M. (2011). Conceptual framework for personal recovery in mental health: Systematic review and narrative synthesis. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 199(6), 445–452. <https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.bp.110.083733>
- Lewis, G., Marston, L., Duffy, L., et al. (2021). Maintenance or discontinuation of antidepressants in primary care. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 385, 1257–1267. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2106356>
- Litz, B. T., Stein, N., Delaney, E., Lebowitz, L., Nash, W. P., Silva, C., & Maguen, S. (2009). Moral injury and moral repair in war veterans: A preliminary model and intervention strategy. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 29, 695–706. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2009.07.003>
- Lund, C., Brooke-Sumner, C., Baingana, F., et al. (2018). Social determinants of mental disorders and the Sustainable Development Goals: A systematic review of reviews. *The Lancet Psychiatry*, 5(4), 357–369. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366\(18\)30060-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(18)30060-9)
- Lurie, D. J., Kessler, D., Bassett, D. S., Betzel, R. F., Breakspear, M., Kheilholz, S., Kucyi, A., et al. (2020). Questions and controversies in the study of time-varying functional connectivity in resting fMRI. *Network Neuroscience*, 4(1), 30–69. https://doi.org/10.1162/netn_a_00116
- Lynch, C. J., Elbau, I. G., Ng, T., et al. (2024). Frontostriatal salience network expansion in individuals in depression. *Nature*, 633, 624–633. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-07805-2>
- MacLeod, A. K., & Salaminiou, E. (2001). Reduced positive future-thinking in depression: Cognitive and affective factors. *Cognition and Emotion*, 15, 99–107. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0269993004200006>
- Manber, R., Edinger, J. D., Gress, J. L., San Pedro-Salcedo, M. G., Kuo, T. F., & Kalista, T. (2008). Cognitive behavioral therapy for insomnia enhances depression outcome in patients with comorbid major depressive disorder and insomnia. *Sleep*, 31, 489–495. <https://doi.org/10.1093/sleep/31.4.489>
- Martin, S., Ferrante, M., Bruera, A., & Hartwigsen, G. (2025). Causal contributions of left inferior and medial frontal cortex to semantic and executive control. *Communications Biology*, 8, 1343. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-025-08848-5>
- Mavranetzouli, I., Megnin-Viggars, O., Pedder, H., et al. (2024). A systematic review and network meta-analysis of psychological, psychosocial, pharmacological, physical and combined treatments for adults with a new episode of depression. *eClinicalMedicine*, 75, 102780. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eclim.2024.102780>
- Mayberg, H. S., Liotti, M., Brannan, S. K., McGinnis, S., Mahurin, R. K., Jerabek, P. A., Silva, J. A., Tekell, J. L., Martin, C. C., Lancaster, J. L., & Fox, P. T. (1999). Reciprocal limbic-cortical function and negative mood: Converging PET findings in depression and normal sadness. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 156(5), 675–682. <https://doi.org/10.1176/ajp.156.5.675>
- Mayberg, H. S., Lozano, A. M., Voon, V., et al. (2005). Deep brain stimulation for treatment-resistant depression. *Neuron*,

- 45, 651–660. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2005.02.014>
- McEwen, B. S. (1998). Protective and damaging effects of stress mediators. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 338(3), 171–179. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199801153380307>
- McGuire, J., Kaiser, C., & Bach-Mortensen, A. M. (2022). A systematic review and meta-analysis of the impact of cash transfers on subjective well-being and mental health in low- and middle-income countries. *Nature Human Behaviour*, 6, 359–370. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-021-01252-z>
- McIntyre, R. S., et al. (2023). Treatment-resistant depression: Definition, prevalence, detection, management, and investigational interventions. *World Psychiatry*, 22(3), 394–412. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.21120>
- Medaglia, J. D., Pasqualetti, F., Hamilton, R. H., Thompson-Schill, S. L., & Bassett, D. S. (2017). Brain and cognitive reserve: translation via network control theory. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 75, 53–64. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2017.01.016>
- Menon, V., & Uddin, L. Q. (2010). Saliency, switching, attention and control: A network model of insula function. *Brain Structure and Function*, 214, 655–667. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-010-0262-0>
- Mertens, L. J., Koslowski, M., Betzler, F., et al. (2026). Efficacy and safety of psilocybin in treatment-resistant major depression: The EPISODE randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Psychiatry*, 83(5), 448–460. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2026.0132>
- Meyer, I. H. (2003). Prejudice, social stress, and mental health in lesbian, gay, and bisexual populations: Conceptual issues and research evidence. *Psychological Bulletin*, 129(5), 674–697. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.129.5.674>
- Miller, A. H., & Raison, C. L. (2016). The role of inflammation in depression: From evolutionary imperative to modern treatment target. *Nature Reviews Immunology*, 16(1), 22–34. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nri.2015.5>
- Miller, W. R., & Rollnick, S. (2013). *Motivational Interviewing: Helping People Change* (3rd ed.). Guilford Press. ISBN 978-1-60918-227-4.
- Mohr, D. C., Zhang, M., & Schueller, S. M. (2017). Personal sensing: Understanding mental health using ubiquitous sensors and machine learning. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*, 13, 23–47. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-032816-044949>
- Moitra, M., Santomauro, D., Collins, P. Y., Vos, T., Whiteford, H., Saxena, S., et al. (2022). The global gap in treatment coverage for major depressive disorder in 84 countries from 2000–2019: A systematic review and Bayesian meta-regression analysis. *PLOS Medicine*, 19(2), e1003901. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1003901>
- Molenaar, P. C. M. (2004). A manifesto on psychology as idiographic science: bringing the person back into scientific psychology, this time forever. *Measurement*, 2(4), 201–218. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15366359MEA0204_1
- Montague, P. R., Dolan, R. J., Friston, K. J., & Dayan, P. (2012). Computational psychiatry. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 16(1), 72–80. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2011.11.018>
- Moss, H. E., Abdallah, S., Fletcher, P., Bright, P., Pilgrim, L., Acres, K., & Tyler, L. K. (2005). Selecting among competing alternatives: Selection and retrieval in the left inferior frontal gyrus. *Cerebral Cortex*, 15(11), 1723–1735. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhi049>
- Murphy, B. K., & Miller, K. D. (2009). Balanced amplification: a new mechanism of selective amplification of neural activity patterns. *Neuron*, 61(4), 635–648. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2009.02.005>
- Myin-Germeys, I., Kasanova, Z., Vaessen, T., et al. (2018). Experience sampling methodology in mental health research: new insights and technical developments. *World Psychiatry*, 17(2), 123–132. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20513>
- Nagata, J. M., Otmar, C. D., Shim, J., et al. (2025). Social media use and depressive symptoms during early adolescence. *JAMA Network Open*, 8(5), e2511704. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2025.11704>
- Nanni, V., Uher, R., & Danese, A. (2012). Childhood maltreatment predicts unfavorable course of illness and treatment outcome in depression: A meta-analysis. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 169(2), 141–151. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.aip.2011.11020335>
- National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. (2014; updated 2023). *Bipolar disorder: Assessment and management* (Clinical guideline CG185). <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg185>
- National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. (2022; surveillance reviewed 2026). *Depression in adults: Treatment and management* (NICE guideline NG222). <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng222>
- Naylor, E. V., Antonuccio, D. O., Litt, M., et al. (2010). Bibliotherapy as a treatment for depression in primary care. *Journal of Clinical Psychology in Medical Settings*, 17, 258–271. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20803165/>
- Niv, Y., Daw, N. D., Joel, D., & Dayan, P. (2007). Tonic dopamine: opportunity costs and the control of response vigor. *Psychopharmacology*, 191, 507–520. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00213-006-0502-4>
- Noetel, M., Sanders, T., Gallardo-Gómez, D., Taylor, P., Del Pozo Cruz, B., van den Hoek, D., et al. (2024). Effect of exercise for depression: Systematic review and network meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *BMJ*, 384, e075847. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj-2023-075847>
- Nolen-Hoeksema, S., Wisco, B. E., & Lyubomirsky, S. (2008). Rethinking rumination. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 3, 400–424. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6924.2008.00088.x>
- Ochsner, K. N., Bunge, S. A., Gross, J. J., & Gabrieli, J. D. E. (2002). Rethinking feelings: An fMRI study of the cognitive regulation of emotion. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 14, 1215–1229. <https://doi.org/10.1162/089892902760807212>
- Odgers, C. L., & Jensen, M. R. (2020). Annual Research Review: Adolescent mental health in the digital age: Facts, fears, and future directions. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 61(3), 336–348. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.13190>
- Onnela, J.-P., & Rauch, S. L. (2016). Harnessing smartphone-based digital phenotyping to enhance behavioral and mental health. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, 41(7), 1691–1696. <https://doi.org/10.1038/npp.2016.7>
- Orben, A. (2020). Teenagers, screens and social media: A narrative review of reviews and key studies. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*, 55(4), 407–414. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00127-019-01825-4>
- Osimo, E. F., Baxter, L. J., Lewis, G., Jones, P. B., & Khandaker, G. M. (2019). Prevalence of low-grade inflammation in depression: A systematic review and meta-analysis of CRP levels. *Psychological Medicine*, 49, 1958–1970. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291719001454>
- Otte, C., Gold, S. M., Penninx, B. W. J. H., et al. (2016). Major depressive disorder. *Nature Reviews Disease Primers*, 2, 16065. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrdp.2016.65>
- Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C., Mulrow, C. D., et al. (2021). The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ*, 372, n71. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n71>

- Paradies, Y., Ben, J., Denson, N., et al. (2015). Racism as a determinant of health: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLOS ONE*, 10(9), e0138511. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0138511>
- Penninx, B. W. J. H., Lamers, F., Jansen, R., Berk, M., Khandaker, G. M., De Picker, L., & Milaneschi, Y. (2025). Immuno-metabolic depression: From concept to implementation. *The Lancet Regional Health – Europe*, 48, 101166. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lanepe.2024.101166>
- Persson, A., Axén, Å., Capusan, A. J., Magnusson, Å., & Heilig, M. (2025). Concurrent treatment of posttraumatic stress disorder and alcohol use disorder in women: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Network Open*, 8, e2521087. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2025.21087>
- Peters, J., & Büchel, C. (2010). Episodic future thinking reduces reward delay discounting through an enhancement of prefrontal–mediotemporal interactions. *Neuron*, 66, 138–148. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2010.03.026>
- Pettinati, H. M., Oslin, D. W., Kampman, K. M., et al. (2010). A double-blind clinical trial combining sertraline and naltrexone for treating co-occurring depression and alcohol dependence. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 167, 668–675. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2009.08060852>
- Pizzagalli, D. A. (2014). Depression, stress, and anhedonia: toward a synthesis and integrated model. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*, 10, 393–423. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-050212-185606>
- Poldrack, R. A. (2006). Can cognitive processes be inferred from neuroimaging data? *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 10, 59–63. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2005.12.004>
- Polihronis, C., Cloutier, P., Kaur, J., Skinner, R., & Cappelli, M. (2022). What's the harm in asking? A systematic review and meta-analysis on the risks of asking about suicide-related behaviors and self-harm with quality appraisal. *Archives of Suicide Research*, 26(2), 325–347. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13811118.2020.1793857>
- Raison, C. L., Sanacora, G., Woolley, J. D., et al. (2023). Single-dose psilocybin treatment for major depressive disorder: A randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*, 330(9), 843–853. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2023.14530>
- Ramos, M. R. F., Goerigk, S., Aparecida da Silva, V., et al. (2025). Accelerated theta-burst stimulation for treatment-resistant depression: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Psychiatry*, 82, 442–450. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2025.0013>
- Ratcliffe, M. (2015). *Experiences of Depression: A Study in Phenomenology*. Oxford University Press. ISBN 978-0-19-960897-3.
- Richardson, T., Elliott, P., & Roberts, R. (2013). The relationship between personal unsecured debt and mental and physical health: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 33(8), 1148–1162. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2013.08.009>
- Ridley, M., Rao, G., Schilbach, F., & Patel, V. (2020). Poverty, depression, and anxiety: Causal evidence and mechanisms. *Science*, 370(6522), eaay0214. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aay0214>
- Rizvi, S. J., Pizzagalli, D. A., Sproule, B. A., & Kennedy, S. H. (2016). Assessing anhedonia in depression: potentials and pitfalls. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 65, 21–35. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2016.03.004>
- Robinaugh, D. J., Hoekstra, R. H. A., Toner, E. R., & Borsboom, D. (2020). The network approach to psychopathology: a review of the literature 2008–2018 and an agenda for future research. *Psychological Medicine*, 50, 353–366. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291719003404>
- Rolls, E. T., Cheng, W., Du, J., Wei, D., Qiu, J., Dai, D., Zhou, Q., Xie, P., & Feng, J. (2020). Functional connectivity of the right inferior frontal gyrus and orbitofrontal cortex in depression. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*, 15, 75–86. <https://doi.org/10.1093/scan/nsaa014>
- Salamone, J. D., & Correa, M. (2012). The mysterious motivational functions of mesolimbic dopamine. *Neuron*, 76(3), 470–485. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2012.10.021>
- Schacter, D. L., Benoit, R. G., & Szpunar, K. K. (2017). Episodic future thinking: Mechanisms and functions. *Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences*, 17, 41–50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cobeha.2017.06.002>
- Scheffer, M., Bascompte, J., Brock, W. A., et al. (2009). Early-warning signals for critical transitions. *Nature*, 461, 53–59. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08227>
- Scheffer, M., Carpenter, S. R., Lenton, T. M., et al. (2012). Anticipating critical transitions. *Science*, 338(6105), 344–348. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1225244>
- Schmaal, L., Hibar, D. P., Sämann, P. G., et al. (2017). Cortical abnormalities in adults and adolescents with major depression based on brain scans from 20 cohorts worldwide in the ENIGMA Major Depressive Disorder Working Group. *Molecular Psychiatry*, 22, 900–909. <https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2016.60>
- Schmid, P. J. (2007). Nonmodal stability theory. *Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics*, 39, 129–162. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.fluid.38.050304.092139>
- Schouten, M. J. E., Christ, C., Dekker, J. J. M., Riper, H., Goudriaan, A. E., & Blankers, M. (2022). Digital interventions for people with co-occurring depression and problematic alcohol use: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Alcohol and Alcoholism*, 57(1), 113–124. <https://doi.org/10.1093/alcalc/agaa147>
- Schultz, W. (2016). Dopamine reward prediction-error signalling: a two-component response. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 17, 183–195. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn.2015.26>
- Schultz, W., Dayan, P., & Montague, P. R. (1997). A neural substrate of prediction and reward. *Science*, 275, 1593–1599. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.275.5306.1593>
- Shim, M., Im, C.-H., Kim, Y.-W., & Lee, S.-H. (2018). Altered cortical functional network in major depressive disorder: A resting-state electroencephalogram study. *NeuroImage: Clinical*, 19, 1000–1007. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2018.06.012>
- Slavich, G. M. (2020). Social Safety Theory: A biologically based evolutionary perspective on life stress, health, and behavior. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*, 16, 265–295. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-032816-045159>
- Slavich, G. M., & Irwin, M. R. (2014). From stress to inflammation and major depressive disorder: A social signal transduction theory of depression. *Psychological Bulletin*, 140(3), 774–815. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0035302>
- Smit, A. C., Helmich, M. A., Bringmann, L. F., Oldehinkel, A. J., Wichers, M., & Snippe, E. (2025). Critical slowing down in momentary affect as early warning signal of impending transitions in depression. *Clinical Psychological Science*, 13(4), 760–773. <https://doi.org/10.1177/21677026241305136>
- Sorkhou, M., et al. (2024). Cannabis use and mood disorders: a systematic review. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 12, 1346207. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2024.1346207>
- Stanley, B., Brown, G. K., Brenner, L. A., et al. (2018). Comparison of the Safety Planning Intervention with follow-up versus usual care of suicidal patients treated in the emergency department. *JAMA Psychiatry*, 75(9), 894–900. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2018.1776>

- Sterling, P. (2012). Allostasis: A model of predictive regulation. *Physiology & Behavior*, 106, 5–15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2011.06.004>
- Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. (2020). *Substance Use Disorder Treatment for People With Co-Occurring Disorders* (Treatment Improvement Protocol 42, updated ed.; PEP20-02-01-004). <https://library.samhsa.gov/product/tip-42-substance-use-treatment-persons-co-occurring-disorders/pep20-02-01-004>
- Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. (2026). *Integrating Physical and Behavioral Health: Addressing Co-Occurring Disorders*. <https://library.samhsa.gov/product/integrating-physical-behavioral-health-co-occurring-disorders/pep26-01-005>
- Taylor, G. M. J., et al. (2021). Smoking cessation for improving mental health. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, CD013522. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD013522.pub2>
- Thapar, A., Eyre, O., Patel, V., & Brent, D. (2022). Depression in young people. *The Lancet*, 400(10352), 617–631. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(22\)01012-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(22)01012-1)
- Thayer, J. F., & Lane, R. D. (2000). A model of neurovisceral integration in emotion regulation and dysregulation. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 61(3), 201–216. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0327\(00\)00338-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0327(00)00338-4)
- Thompson-Schill, S. L., D'Esposito, M., Aguirre, G. K., & Farah, M. J. (1997). Role of left inferior prefrontal cortex in retrieval of semantic knowledge: A reevaluation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 94, 14792–14797. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.94.26.14792>
- Tian, Y. E., Cole, J. H., Bullmore, E. T., & Zalesky, A. (2024). Brain, lifestyle and environmental pathways linking physical and mental health. *Nature Mental Health*, 2, 1250–1261. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s44220-024-00303-4>
- Treadway, M. T., & Zald, D. H. (2011). Reconsidering anhedonia in depression: lessons from translational neuroscience. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 35(3), 537–555. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2010.06.006>
- Trefethen, L. N., & Embree, M. (2005). *Spectra and Pseudospectra: The Behavior of Nonnormal Matrices and Operators*. Princeton University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1515/9780691213101> (ISBN 978-0-691-11946-5)
- Trefethen, L. N., Trefethen, A. E., Reddy, S. C., & Driscoll, T. A. (1993). Hydrodynamic stability without eigenvalues. *Science*, 261(5121), 578–584. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.261.5121.578>
- U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs & U.S. Department of Defense. (2022). *VA/DoD Clinical Practice Guideline for the Management of Major Depressive Disorder* (Version 4.0). <https://www.healthquality.va.gov/guidelines/MH/mdd/>
- Uphoff, E., Ekers, D., Robertson, L., et al. (2020). Behavioural activation therapy for depression in adults. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, CD013305. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD013305.pub2>
- van de Leemput, I. A., Wichers, M., Cramer, A. O. J., et al. (2014). Critical slowing down as early warning for the onset and termination of depression. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111(1), 87–92. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1312114110>
- Veal, C., Tomlinson, A., Cipriani, A., Bulteau, S., Henry, C., Müh, C., Touboul, S., De Waal, N., Levy-Soussan, H., Furukawa, T. A., Fried, E. I., Tran, V.-T., & Chevance, A. (2024). Heterogeneity of outcome measures in depression trials and the relevance of the content of outcome measures to patients: A systematic review. *The Lancet Psychiatry*, 11(4), 285–294. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366\(23\)00438-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(23)00438-8)
- Wang, F., Hou, X., Guo, X., Zang, C., Wu, G., & Zhao, J. (2024). Regional decreases of cortical thickness in major depressive disorder and their correlation with illness duration: A case-control study. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 15, 1297204. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2024.1297204>
- Watkins, E. R. (2008). Constructive and unconstructive repetitive thought. *Psychological Bulletin*, 134, 163–206. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.134.2.163>
- Watkins, E. R., Mullan, E., Wingrove, J., Rimes, K., Steiner, H., Bathurst, N., Eastman, R., & Scott, J. (2011). Rumination-focused cognitive-behavioural therapy for residual depression: Phase II randomized controlled trial. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 199, 317–322. <https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.bp.110.090282>
- Weinstock, L. M., Jones, R. N., Miller, T. R., et al. (2025). Safety planning vs standard care for suicide prevention after pretrial jail detention. *JAMA Network Open*, 8(11), e2543156. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2025.43156>
- Whitton, A. E., Treadway, M. T., & Pizzagalli, D. A. (2015). Reward processing dysfunction in major depression, bipolar disorder and schizophrenia. *Current Opinion in Psychiatry*, 28(1), 7–12. <https://doi.org/10.1097/YCO.0000000000000122>
- Wichers, M., Groot, P. C., Psychosystems ESM Group, & EWS Group (2016). Critical slowing down as a personalized early warning signal for depression. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics*, 85(2), 114–116. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000441458>
- Wilson, J., Dobson, O., Langcake, A., Mishra, P., Bryant, Z., Leung, J., Dawson, D., Graham, M., Teesson, M., Freeman, T. P., Hall, W., Chan, G. C. K., & Stockings, E. (2026). The efficacy and safety of cannabinoids for the treatment of mental disorders and substance use disorders: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *The Lancet Psychiatry*, 13(4), 304–315. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366\(26\)00015-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(26)00015-5)
- Winter, N. R., Blanke, J., Leenings, R., Ernsting, J., et al. (2024). A systematic evaluation of machine learning-based biomarkers for major depressive disorder. *JAMA Psychiatry*, 81(4), 386–395. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2023.5083>
- Wong, S., Le, G. H., Phan, L., et al. (2024). Effects of anhedonia on health-related quality of life and functional outcomes in major depressive disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 356, 684–698. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2024.04.086>
- Woodham, R. D., Selvaraj, S., Lajmi, N., et al. (2025). Home-based transcranial direct current stimulation treatment for major depressive disorder: a fully remote phase 2 randomized sham-controlled trial. *Nature Medicine*, 31, 87–95. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-024-03305-y>
- World Health Organization. (2001). *International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health: ICF*. <https://www.who.int/standards/classifications/international-classification-of-functioning-disability-and-health>
- World Health Organization. (2022). *World mental health report: Transforming mental health for all*. <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240049338>
- World Health Organization. (2023). *mhGAP guideline for mental, neurological and substance use disorders* (3rd ed.). <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240084278>
- World Health Organization. (2024). *Global status report on alcohol and health and treatment of substance use disorders*. <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240096745>
- World Health Organization. (2025b). *World mental health today: Latest data*. <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240113817>
- World Health Organization. (2025a, August 29). *Depressive disorder (depression): Fact sheet*. <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/depression>

- World Health Organization. (2025c, October 8). *Mental health: Strengthening our response*. <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/mental-health-strengthening-our-response>
- World Health Organization. (2026, August 28). *Suicide: Fact sheet*. <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/suicide>
- Yakovenko, I., Mukaneza, Y., Germé, K., Belliveau, J., Fraleigh, R., Bach, P., Poulin, G., Selby, P., Goyer, M.-È., Brothers, T. D., Rehm, J., Hodgins, D. C., Stewart, S. H., Wood, E., & Bruneau, J. (2024). Management of opioid use disorder: 2024 update to the national clinical practice guideline. *CMAJ*, 196(38), E1280–E1290. <https://doi.org/10.1503/cmaj.241173>
- Yatham, L. N., Kennedy, S. H., Parikh, S. V., et al. (2018). Canadian Network for Mood and Anxiety Treatments and International Society for Bipolar Disorders 2018 guidelines for the management of patients with bipolar disorder. *Bipolar Disorders*, 20(2), 97–170. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bdi.12609>
- Zaccoletti, D., et al. (2026). Comparison of antidepressant de-prescribing strategies in individuals with clinically remitted depression: A systematic review and network meta-analysis. *The Lancet Psychiatry*, 13(1), 24–36. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366\(25\)00330-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(25)00330-X)

Recovered Prior-Lecture Reference Portfolio

The following register preserves all 821 reference instances recovered from thirteen materialised Flow Hijacked lectures. A normalization pass found 800 near-unique strings, but the entries remain indexed by lecture and source number so provenance is not erased. This is a recovered portfolio, not a claim that every item was independently re-verified or that recordings or ledgers not named in the acquisition audit were reviewed.

- L24 · 1** Bassett, D. S., & Sporns, O. (2017). Network neuroscience. *Nature Neuroscience*, 20, 353–364.
- L24 · 2** Borsboom, D. (2017). A network theory of mental disorders. *World Psychiatry*, 16(1), 5–13.
- L24 · 3** Buckley, C. L., Kim, C. S., McGregor, S., & Seth, A. K. (2017). The free energy principle for action and perception: A mathematical review. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology*, 81, 55–79.
- L24 · 4** Carhart-Harris, R. L., & Friston, K. J. (2019). REBUS and the anarchic brain. *Pharmacological Reviews*, 71(3), 316–344.
- L24 · 5** Casali, A. G., et al. (2013). A theoretically based index of consciousness independent of sensory processing and behavior. *Science Translational Medicine*, 5(198), 198ra105.
- L24 · 6** Clark, A. (2013). Whatever next? Predictive brains, situated agents, and the future of cognitive science. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 36(3), 181–204.
- L24 · 7** Clark, A. (2023). *The Experience Machine*. Pantheon.
- L24 · 8** Deco, G., Kringelbach, M. L., Jirsa, V. K., & Ritter, P. (2017). The dynamics of resting fluctuations in the brain. *Scientific Reports*, 7, 3095.
- L24 · 9** Durstewitz, D., Huys, Q. J. M., & Koppe, G. (2021). Psychiatric illnesses as disorders of network dynamics. *Biological Psychiatry: Cognitive Neuroscience and Neuroimaging*, 6(9), 865–876.
- L24 · 10** Epskamp, S., et al. (2018). Personalized network modeling in psychopathology. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 53(4), 453–474.
- L24 · 11** Freeman, W. J. (2000). *Neurodynamics*. Springer.
- L24 · 12** Friston, K. (2010). The free-energy principle: A unified brain theory? *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 11, 127–138.
- L24 · 13** Gu, S., et al. (2015). Controllability of structural brain networks. *Nature Communications*, 6, 8414.
- L24 · 14** Haken, H. (1983). *Synergetics: An Introduction*. Springer.
- L24 · 15** Izhikevich, E. M. (2007). *Dynamical Systems in Neuroscience*. MIT Press.
- L24 · 16** Kelso, J. A. S. (1995). *Dynamic Patterns*. MIT Press.
- L24 · 17** Kramers, H. A. (1940). Brownian motion in a field of force. *Physica*, 7(4), 284–304.
- L24 · 18** Landahl, M. T. (1980). A note on an algebraic instability of inviscid parallel shear flows. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 98(2), 243–251.
- L24 · 19** Massimini, M., et al. (2005). Breakdown of cortical effective connectivity during sleep. *Science*, 309(5744), 2228–2232.
- L24 · 20** Rust, N. C. (2024). *Elusive Cures*. Princeton University Press.
- L24 · 21** Scheffer, M. (2009). *Critical Transitions in Nature and Society*. Princeton University Press.
- L24 · 22** Scheffer, M., et al. (2024). A dynamical systems view of psychiatric disorders - Theory. *JAMA Psychiatry*, 81(6), 618–623.
- L24 · 23** Scheffer, M., et al. (2024). A dynamical systems view of psychiatric disorders - Practical implications. *JAMA Psychiatry*, 81(6), 624–630.
- L24 · 24** Schmid, P. J., & Henningson, D. S. (2001). *Stability and Transition in Shear Flows*. Springer.
- L24 · 25** Seth, A. (2021). *Being You*. Faber & Faber.
- L24 · 26** Sporns, O. (2011). *Networks of the Brain*. MIT Press.
- L24 · 27** Strogatz, S. H. (2018). *Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaos*. CRC Press.
- L24 · 28** Tononi, G., Boly, M., Massimini, M., & Koch, C. (2016). Integrated information theory. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 17, 450–461.
- L24 · 29** Trefethen, L. N., & Embree, M. (2005). *Spectra and Pseudospectra*. Princeton University Press.
- L24 · 30** Trefethen, L. N., Trefethen, A. E., Reddy, S. C., & Driscoll, T. A. (1993). Hydrodynamic stability without eigenvalues. *Science*, 261(5121), 578–584.
- L24 · 31** Waddington, C. H. (1957). *The Strategy of the Genes*. Allen & Unwin.
- L24 · 32** Wichers, M., et al. (2016). Critical slowing down as a personalized early warning signal for depression. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics*, 85(2), 114–116.
- L25 · 1** A wide reference list for the scientific route developed in the lecture.
- L25 · 2** Durstewitz, D., Huys, Q. J. M., & Koppe, G. Psychiatric illnesses as disorders of network dynamics. *Biological Psychiatry: Cognitive Neuroscience and Neuroimaging*.
- L25 · 3** Scheffer, M., et al. A dynamical systems view of psychiatric disorders - theory: a review. *JAMA Psychiatry*.
- L25 · 4** Borsboom, D. A network theory of mental disorders. *World Psychiatry*.
- L25 · 5** Cramer, A. O. J., Waldorp, L. J., van der Maas, H. L. J., & Borsboom, D. Comorbidity: a network perspective. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*.

- L25 · 6** Epskamp, S., van Borkulo, C. D., van der Veen, D. C., et al. Personalized network modeling in psychopathology.
- L25 · 7** Montague, P. R., Dolan, R. J., Friston, K. J., & Dayan, P. Computational psychiatry. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*.
- L25 · 8** Friston, K. The free-energy principle: a unified brain theory? *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*.
- L25 · 9** Adams, R. A., Stephan, K. E., Brown, H. R., Frith, C. D., & Friston, K. J. The computational anatomy of psychosis.
- L25 · 10** Clark, A. Surfing Uncertainty: Prediction, Action, and the Embodied Mind.
- L25 · 11** Seth, A. Being You: A New Science of Consciousness.
- L25 · 12** Barrett, L. F. The theory of constructed emotion: an active inference account of interoception and categorization.
- L25 · 13** Bassett, D. S., & Sporns, O. Network neuroscience. *Nature Neuroscience*.
- L25 · 14** Gu, S., Pasqualetti, F., Cieslak, M., et al. Controllability of structural brain networks. *Nature Communications*.
- L25 · 15** Deco, G., Kringelbach, M. L., Jirsa, V. K., & Ritter, P. The dynamics of resting fluctuations in the brain: metastability and its dynamical cortical core.
- L25 · 16** Breakspear, M. Dynamic models of large-scale brain activity. *Nature Neuroscience*.
- L25 · 17** Massimini, M., Boly, M., Casali, A., Rosanova, M., & Tononi, G. A perturbational approach for evaluating the brain's capacity for consciousness.
- L25 · 18** Casali, A. G., Gosseries, O., Rosanova, M., et al. A theoretically based index of consciousness independent of sensory processing and behavior.
- L25 · 19** Carhart-Harris, R. L., & Friston, K. J. REBUS and the anarchic brain: toward a unified model of the brain action of psychedelics.
- L25 · 20** Carhart-Harris, R. L., et al. The entropic brain: a theory of conscious states informed by neuroimaging research with psychedelic drugs.
- L25 · 21** McEwen, B. S. Protective and damaging effects of stress mediators: central role of the brain.
- L25 · 22** Sterling, P. Allostasis: a model of predictive regulation.
- L25 · 23** Trefethen, L. N., & Embree, M. Spectra and Pseudospectra: The Behavior of Nonnormal Matrices and Operators.
- L25 · 24** Trefethen, L. N., Trefethen, A. E., Reddy, S. C., & Driscoll, T. A. Hydrodynamic stability without eigenvalues.
- L25 · 25** Schmid, P. J., & Henningson, D. S. Stability and Transition in Shear Flows.
- L25 · 26** Landahl, M. T. A note on an algebraic instability of inviscid parallel shear flows.
- L25 · 27** Izhikevich, E. M. Dynamical Systems in Neuroscience: The Geometry of Excitability and Bursting.
- L25 · 28** Strogatz, S. H. Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaos.
- L25 · 29** Kelso, J. A. S. Dynamic Patterns: The Self-Organization of Brain and Behavior.
- L25 · 30** Freeman, W. J. Neurodynamics: An Exploration in Mesoscopic Brain Dynamics.
- L25 · 31** Nicole C. Rust. Elusive Cures: Why Neuroscience Hasn't Solved Brain Disorders - and How We Can Change That.
- L29 · 1** American Psychological Association. Different approaches to psychotherapy.
- L29 · 2** VA National Center for PTSD. Overview of Psychotherapy for PTSD; PE, CPT and EMDR treatment descriptions.
- L29 · 3** NICE Guideline NG222. Depression in adults: treatment and management.
- L29 · 4** Hofmann, S. G., & Hayes, S. C. Process-based therapy and intervention science.
- L29 · 5** Ong, C. W., Hayes, S. C., & Hofmann, S. G. Process-based CBT and process-based therapy.
- L29 · 6** Wampold, B. E. The contextual model of psychotherapy.
- L29 · 7** Norcross, J. C., & Lambert, M. J. Evidence-based therapy relationships.
- L29 · 8** Fluckiger, C., Del Re, A. C., Wampold, B. E., & Horvath, A. O. Alliance-outcome meta-analysis.
- L29 · 9** Beck, A. T. Cognitive therapy and the emotional disorders; cognitive therapy of depression.
- L29 · 10** Ellis, A. Rational emotive behavior therapy.
- L29 · 11** Jacobson, N. S., Martell, C. R., & Dimidjian, S. Behavioral activation for depression.
- L29 · 12** Foa, E. B., Hembree, E. A., and colleagues. Prolonged Exposure for PTSD.
- L29 · 13** Resick, P. A., Monson, C. M., & Chard, K. M. Cognitive Processing Therapy.
- L29 · 14** Shapiro, F. EMDR and traumatic memory reprocessing.
- L29 · 15** Linehan, M. M. Dialectical Behavior Therapy.
- L29 · 16** Hayes, S. C., Strosahl, K., & Wilson, K. Acceptance and Commitment Therapy.
- L29 · 17** Kabat-Zinn, J. Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction.
- L29 · 18** Segal, Z., Williams, M., & Teasdale, J. Mindfulness-Based Cognitive Therapy.
- L29 · 19** Gilbert, P. Compassion-Focused Therapy.
- L29 · 20** Young, J. E., Klosko, J. S., & Weishaar, M. Schema Therapy.
- L29 · 21** Fonagy, P., Bateman, A., and colleagues. Mentalization-Based Treatment.
- L29 · 22** Kernberg, O. F., Clarkin, J. F., and colleagues. Transference-Focused Psychotherapy.
- L29 · 23** Klerman, G. L., Weissman, M. M., and colleagues. Interpersonal Therapy.
- L29 · 24** Greenberg, L. Emotion-Focused Therapy.
- L29 · 25** Johnson, S. Emotionally Focused Couple Therapy.
- L29 · 26** Levine, P. Somatic Experiencing.
- L29 · 27** Ogden, P. Sensorimotor Psychotherapy.
- L29 · 28** Miller, W. R., & Rollnick, S. Motivational Interviewing.
- L29 · 29** Marlatt, G. A. Relapse prevention.
- L29 · 30** Frank, E. Interpersonal and Social Rhythm Therapy.
- L29 · 31** Yalom, I. D. The Gift of Therapy; Existential Psychotherapy; The Theory and Practice of Group Psychotherapy.
- L29 · 32** Frankl, V. E. Man's Search for Meaning; logotherapy.
- L29 · 33** Fromm, E. Escape from Freedom; The Art of Loving; social psychoanalysis.
- L29 · 34** Rogers, C. Person-centered therapy; empathy, congruence and unconditional positive regard.
- L29 · 35** Freud, S. Psychoanalysis, transference and the talking cure tradition.
- L29 · 36** Bowlby, J., and Ainsworth, M. Attachment theory.
- L29 · 37** Mikulincer, M., & Shaver, P. Adult attachment and emotion regulation.
- L29 · 38** Coan, J. A., & Beckes, L. Social Baseline Theory.
- L29 · 39** Cacioppo, J. T., & Hawkley, L. C. Loneliness and social neuroscience.
- L29 · 40** Holt-Lunstad, J. Social relationships and mortality risk.
- L29 · 41** Slavich, G. M. Social Safety Theory.
- L29 · 42** Eisenberger, N. I., & Lieberman, M. D. Social pain and social neuroscience.
- L29 · 43** Dunbar, R. Social brain hypothesis.
- L29 · 44** Christakis, N. A., & Fowler, J. H. Social network science.
- L29 · 45** Joiner, T., Van Orden, K., and colleagues. Interpersonal theory of suicide.
- L29 · 46** Durstewitz, D., Huys, Q., & Koppe, G. Psychiatric illnesses as disorders of network dynamics.
- L29 · 47** Scheffer, M., and colleagues. Dynamical systems, tipping points and psychiatry.
- L29 · 48** Borsboom, D. Network theory of mental disorders.
- L29 · 49** Friston, K. Free-energy principle and active inference.
- L29 · 50** Montague, P. R., Dolan, R., Friston, K., & Dayan, P. Computational psychiatry.
- L29 · 51** Bassett, D. S., & Sporns, O. Network neuroscience.
- L29 · 52** Gu, S., Pasqualetti, F., Cieslak, M., and colleagues. Network controllability in structural brain networks.
- L29 · 53** Deco, G., and colleagues. Metastability in resting-state brain dynamics.

- L29 · 54** Trefethen, L. N., Embree, M. Spectra and Pseudospectra.
- L29 · 55** FLOW HIJACKED - LECTURE 29 Psychotherapy as Dynamical Choreography
- L29 · 56** Trefethen, L. N., Trefethen, A. E., Reddy, S. C., Driscoll, T. A. Hydrodynamic stability without eigenvalues.
- L29 · 57** Schmid, P. J., & Henningson, D. S. Stability and transition in shear flows.
- L29 · 58** Strogatz, S. H. Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaos.
- L29 · 59** Khalil, H. K. Nonlinear Systems.
- L29 · 60** Kuznetsov, Y. A. Elements of Applied Bifurcation Theory.
- L29 · 61** Cover, T. M., & Thomas, J. A. Elements of Information Theory.
- L29 · 62** Shannon, C. E. A Mathematical Theory of Communication.
- L29 · 63** Tononi, G., Massimini, M., Casali, A. Perturbational complexity and consciousness.
- L29 · 64** Carhart-Harris, R., & Friston, K. REBUS and the entropic brain.
- L29 · 65** McEwen, B. Allostasis and allostatic load.
- L29 · 66** Barrett, L. F. Constructed emotion, interoception and allostasis.
- L29 · 67** Khalsa, S. S., and colleagues. Interoception and mental health roadmap.
- L29 · 68** Craig, A. D. Interoception and the insula.
- L29 · 69** Seth, A. Interoceptive inference and embodied consciousness.
- L29 · 70** Cryan, J. F., and colleagues. Microbiota-gut-brain axis.
- L29 · 71** Apkarian, A. V., and colleagues. Chronic pain and brain dynamics.
- L29 · 72** Goldstein, A., & Walker, M. Sleep and emotional brain function.
- L29 · 73** Noetel, M., and colleagues. Exercise for depression meta-analysis.
- L29 · 74** FLOW HIJACKED - LECTURE 29 Psychotherapy as Dynamical Choreography
- L31 · 1** Allen, E. A., Damaraju, E., Plis, S. M., Erhardt, E. B., Eichele, T., and Calhoun, V. D. (2014). Tracking whole-brain connectivity dynamics in the resting state. *Cerebral Cortex*, 24(3), 663
- L31 · 676 2.** Borsboom, D. (2017). A network theory of mental disorders. *World Psychiatry*, 16(1), 5-13.
- L31 · 3** Casali, A. G., Gosseries, O., Rosanova, M., Boly, M., Sarasso, S., Casali, K. R., Casarotto, S., Bruno, M. A., Laureys, S., Tononi, G., and Massimini, M. (2013). A theoretically based index of consciousness independent of sensory processing and behavior. *Science Translational Medicine*, 5(198), 198ra105.
- L31 · 4** Casarotto, S., Comanducci, A., Rosanova, M., et al. (2016). Stratification of unresponsive patients by an independently validated index of brain complexity. *Annals of Neurology*, 80(5), 718-729.
- L31 · 5** Chivu, A., et al. (2024). EEG microstates in mood and anxiety disorders: a meta-analysis. *Brain Topography*, 37, 1-17.
- L31 · 6** Cover, T. M., and Thomas, J. A. (2006). *Elements of Information Theory*. Wiley.
- L31 · 7** Cramer, A. O. J., Waldorp, L. J., van der Maas, H. L. J., and Borsboom, D. (2010). Comorbidity: a network perspective. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 33(2-3), 137-150.
- L31 · 8** Deco, G., Kringelbach, M. L., Jirsa, V. K., and Ritter, P. (2017). The dynamics of resting fluctuations in the brain: metastability and its dynamical cortical core. *Scientific Reports*, 7, 3095.
- L31 · 9** Durstewitz, D., Huys, Q. J. M., and Koppe, G. (2021). Psychiatric illnesses as disorders of network dynamics. *Biological Psychiatry: Cognitive Neuroscience and Neuroimaging*, 6(9), 865-876.
- L31 · 10** Eckmann, J. P., Kamphorst, S. O., and Ruelle, D. (1987). Recurrence plots of dynamical systems. *Europhysics Letters*, 4(9), 973-977.
- L31 · 11** Eschrichs, A., Sanz Perl, Y., Fisher, P. M., Martinez-Molina, N., G-Guzman, E., Frokjaer, V. G., Kringelbach, M. L., Knudsen, G. M., and Deco, G. (2025). Whole-brain turbulent dynamics predict responsiveness to pharmacological treatment in major depressive disorder. *Molecular Psychiatry*.
- L31 · 12** Friston, K. (2010). The free-energy principle: a unified brain theory? *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 11, 127-138.
- L31 · 13** Friston, K., FitzGerald, T., Rigoli, F., Schwartenbeck, P., and Pezzulo, G. (2017). Active inference: a process theory. *Neural Computation*, 29(1), 1-49.
- L31 · 14** Fu, Z., Sui, J., Iraj, A., Liu, J., and Calhoun, V. D. (2025). Cognitive and psychiatric relevance of dynamic functional connectivity states in a large children population. *Molecular Psychiatry*.
- L31 · 15** Gu, S., Pasqualetti, F., Cieslak, M., Telesford, Q. K., Yu, A. B., Kahn, A. E., Medaglia, J. D., Vettel, J. M., Miller, M. B., Grafton, S. T., and Bassett, D. S. (2015). Controllability of structural brain networks. *Nature Communications*, 6, 8414.
- L31 · 16** Helmich, M. A., Olthof, M., Oldehinkel, A. J., Wichers, M., and Bringmann, L. F. (2024). Slow down and be critical before using early warning signals in psychopathology. *Nature Reviews Psychology*.
- L31 · 17** Hutchison, R. M., Womelsdorf, T., Allen, E. A., Bandettini, P. A., Calhoun, V. D., Corbetta, M., et al. (2013). Dynamic functional connectivity: promise, issues, and interpretations. *NeuroImage*, 80, 360-378.
- L31 · 18** Insel, T. R. (2017). Digital phenotyping: technology for a new science of behavior. *JAMA*, 318(13), 1215-1216.
- L31 · 19** Karrer, T. M., Kim, J. Z., Stiso, J., Kahn, A. E., Pasqualetti, F., Habel, U., and Bassett, D. S. (2020). A practical guide to methodological considerations in the controllability of structural brain networks. *Journal of Neural Engineering*, 17(2), 026031.
- L31 · 20** Kantz, H., and Schreiber, T. (2004). *Nonlinear Time Series Analysis*. Cambridge University Press.
- L31 · 21** Khanna, A., Pascual-Leone, A., Michel, C. M., and Farzan, F. (2015). Microstates in restingstate EEG: current status and future directions. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*, 49, 105-113.
- L31 · 22** Kuppens, P., and Verduyn, P. (2017). Emotion dynamics. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 17, 22-26.
- L31 · 23** Lau, Z. J., Pham, T., Chen, S. H. A., and Makowski, D. (2022). Brain entropy, fractal dimensions and predictability: a review of complexity measures for EEG in healthy and neuropsychiatric populations. *European Journal of Neuroscience*, 56(7), 5047-5069.
- L31 · 24** Lurie, D. J., Kessler, D., Bassett, D. S., Betzel, R. F., Breakspear, M., Kheilholz, S., Kucyi, A., et al. (2020). Questions and controversies in the study of time-varying functional connectivity in resting fMRI. *Network Neuroscience*, 4(1), 30-69.
- L31 · 25** Marwan, N., Romano, M. C., Thiel, M., and Kurths, J. (2007). Recurrence plots for the analysis of complex systems. *Physics Reports*, 438(5-6), 237-329.
- L31 · 26** Massimini, M., Ferrarelli, F., Huber, R., Esser, S. K., Singh, H., and Tononi, G. (2005). Breakdown of cortical effective connectivity during sleep. *Science*, 309(5744), 2228-2232.
- L31 · 27** Medaglia, J. D., Pasqualetti, F., Hamilton, R. H., Thompson-Schill, S. L., and Bassett, D. S. (2017). Brain and cognitive reserve: translation via network control theory. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*, 75, 53-64.
- L31 · 28** Michel, C. M., and Koenig, T. (2018). EEG microstates as a tool for studying the temporal dynamics of whole-brain neuronal networks: a review. *NeuroImage*, 180, 577-593.
- L31 · 29** Mohr, D. C., Zhang, M., and Schueller, S. M. (2017). Personal sensing: understanding mental health using ubiquitous sensors and machine learning. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*, 13, 23-47.
- L31 · 30** Montague, P. R., Dolan, R. J., Friston, K. J., and Dayan, P. (2012). Computational psychiatry. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 16(1), 72-80.
- L31 · 31** Onnela, J. P., and Rauch, S. L. (2016). Harnessing smartphone-based digital phenotyping to enhance behavioral and mental health. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, 41, 1691-1696.
- L31 · 32** Preti, M. G., Bolton, T. A. W., and Van De Ville, D. (2017). The dynamic functional connectome: state-of-the-art and perspectives. *NeuroImage*, 160, 41-54.
- L31 · 33** Ramesh, A., et al. (2023). Heart rate variability in psychiatric disorders. *Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment*, 19, 1667-1680.
- L31 · 34** Sarasso, S., et al. (2021). Consciousness and complexity: a consilience of evidence. *Neuroscience of Consciousness*, 2021(2), niab023.
- L31 · 35** Scheffer, M., Bascompte, J., Brock, W. A., Brovkin, V., Carpenter, S. R., Dakos, V., Held, H., van Nes, E. H., Rietkerk, M., and Sugihara, G. (2009). Early-warning signals for critical transitions. *Nature*, 461, 53-59.
- L31 · 36** Schmid, P. J. (2007). Nonmodal stability theory. *Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics*, 39, 129

- L31 · 37** Shannon, C. E. (1948). A mathematical theory of communication. *Bell System Technical Journal*, 27, 379-423 and 623-656.
- L31 · 38** Shaffer, F., and Ginsberg, J. P. (2017). An overview of heart rate variability metrics and norms. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 5, 258.
- L31 · 39** Strogatz, S. H. (2015). *Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaos*. Westview Press.
- L31 · 40** Takens, F. (1981). Detecting strange attractors in turbulence. In D. Rand and L. S. Young (Eds.), *Dynamical Systems and Turbulence*. Springer.
- L31 · 41** Thayer, J. F., and Lane, R. D. (2000). A model of neurovisceral integration in emotion regulation and dysregulation. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 61(3), 201-216.
- L31 · 42** Torous, J., Kiang, M. V., Lorme, J., and Onnela, J. P. (2016). New tools for new research in psychiatry: a scalable and customizable platform to empower data driven smartphone research. *JMIR Mental Health*, 3(2), e16.
- L31 · 43** Trefethen, L. N., and Embree, M. (2005). *Spectra and Pseudospectra: The Behavior of Nonnormal Matrices and Operators*. Princeton University Press.
- L31 · 44** Trull, T. J., Lane, S. P., Koval, P., and Ebner-Priemer, U. W. (2015). Affective dynamics in psychopathology. *Emotion Review*, 7(4), 355-361.
- L31 · 45** van de Leemput, I. A., Wichers, M., Cramer, A. O. J., Borsboom, D., Tuerlinckx, F., Kuppens, P., van Nes, E. H., Viechtbauer, W., Giltay, E. J., et al. (2014). Critical slowing down as early warning for the onset and termination of depression. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111(1), 87-92.
- L31 · 46** Wang, Z., Zou, Y., Liu, J., Peng, W., Li, M., and Zou, Z. (2025). Heart rate variability in mental disorders: an umbrella review of meta-analyses. *Translational Psychiatry*, 15, 144.
- L31 · 47** Webber, C. L., and Zbilut, J. P. (2005). Recurrence quantification analysis of nonlinear dynamical systems. In M. A. Riley and G. C. Van Orden (Eds.), *Tutorials in Contemporary Nonlinear Methods for the Behavioral Sciences*.
- L31 · 48** Wichers, M., Groot, P. C., Psychosystems, ESM Group, and EWS Group. (2016). Critical slowing down as a personalized early warning signal for depression. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics*, 85(2), 114-116.
- L31 · 49** Zakai, J. G., et al. (2025). Harnessing digital phenotyping for early self-detection of mental health risk. *Journal of Medical Internet Research / Digital Mental Health literature*. Academic Anchors by Domain Domain Key anchors Dynamical psychiatry Durstewitz, Huys, Koppe; Scheffer; Wichers; Borsboom; Cramer. Computational psy- Friston, Dayan, Dolan, Montague, Stephan, Mathys, Huys. chiatry EEG complexity Lau, Makowski, Tononi, Massimini, Michel, Koenig, Khanna. fMRI dynamics Calhoun, Allen, Hutchison, Preti, Van De Ville, Lurie, Deco, Kringelbach, Jirsa, Ritter. Perturbational com- Massimini, Tononi, Casali, Casarotto, Rosanova, Sarasso. plexity Network control Bassett, Gu, Pasqualetti, Sporns, Medaglia, Karrer. Digital phenotyping Onnela, Rauch, Torous, Insel, Mohr. Embodied regulation Thayer, Lane, Shaffer, Ginsberg, HRV and sleep/circadian psychiatry literature. Non-normal dynamics Trefethen, Embree, Schmid, nonmodal stability and resolvent analysis traditions.
- L40 · 1** Garfield J, Lubman DI, Yucel M. Anhedonia in substance use disorders: a systematic review of its nature, course and clinical correlates. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry*. 2014;48(1):36-51. doi:10.1177/0004867413508455. doi:10.1177/0004867413508455
- L40 · 2** Hatzigiakoumis DS, Martinotti G, Di Giannantonio M, Janiri L. Anhedonia and substance dependence: clinical correlates and treatment options. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*. 2011;2:10. doi:10.3389/fpsy.2011.00010. doi:10.3389/fpsy.2011.00010
- L40 · 3** Treadway MT, Zald DH. Reconsidering anhedonia in depression: lessons from translational neuroscience. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*. 2011;35(3):537-555.
- L40 · 4** Der-Avakian A, Markou A. The neurobiology of anhedonia and other reward-related deficits. *Trends in Neurosciences*. 2012;35(1):68-77.
- L40 · 5** Pizzagalli DA. Depression, stress, and anhedonia: toward a synthesis and integrated model. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*. 2014;10:393-423.
- L40 · 6** Whitton AE, Treadway MT, Pizzagalli DA. Reward processing dysfunction in major depression, bipolar disorder and schizophrenia. *Current Opinion in Psychiatry*. 2015;28(1):7-12.
- L40 · 7** Borsini A, St John Wallis A, Zunsain P, Pariante CM, Kempton MJ. Characterizing anhedonia: a systematic review of neuroimaging across the subtypes of reward processing deficits in depression. *Cognitive, Affective, and Behavioral Neuroscience*. 2020;20(4):816-841. doi:10.3758/s13415-020-00804-6. doi:10.3758/s13415-020-00804-6
- L40 · 8** Horne SJ, Topp TE, Quigley L. Depression and the willingness to expend cognitive and physical effort for rewards: a systematic review. *Clinical Psychology Review*. 2021;88:102065. doi:10.1016/j.cpr.2021.102065. doi:10.1016/j.cpr.2021.102065
- L40 · 9** McCabe C, et al. Anhedonia in relation to reward and effort learning in young people with depression symptoms. *Brain Sciences*. 2023;13(2):341. doi:10.3390/brainsci13020341. doi:10.3390/brainsci13020341
- L40 · 10** Wong S, Le GH, Phan L, et al. Effects of anhedonia on health-related quality of life and functional outcomes in major depressive disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Affective Disorders*. 2024;356:684-698. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2024.04.086. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2024.04.086
- L40 · 11** Pugh D, Saunders R, Jones A, Dunn BD, Buckman JEJ. Evaluating the efficacy of psychotherapeutic and pharmacological treatments for depression on anhedonia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Affective Disorders*. 2026;393:120165. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2025.120165. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2025.120165
- L40 · 12** Ostinelli EG, Wiles N, Purgato M, et al. Pro-dopaminergic pharmacological interventions for anhedonia in depression: a living systematic review and network meta-analysis of human and animal studies. *EBioMedicine*. 2025;121:105967. doi:10.1016/j.ebiom.2025.105967. doi:10.1016/j.ebiom.2025.105967
- L40 · 13** Pool E, Sennwald V, Delplanque S, Brosch T, Sander D. Measuring wanting and liking from animals to humans: a systematic review. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*. 2016;63:124-142.
- L40 · 14** Berridge KC, Kringelbach ML. Pleasure systems in the brain. *Neuron*. 2015;86(3):646-664.
- L40 · 15** Salamone JD, Correa M. The mysterious motivational functions of mesolimbic dopamine. *Neuron*. 2012;76(3):470-485.
- L40 · 16** Westbrook A, Braver TS. Cognitive effort: a neuroeconomic approach. *Cognitive, Affective, and Behavioral Neuroscience*. 2015;15:395-415.
- L40 · 17** Husain M, Roiser JP. Neuroscience of apathy and anhedonia: a transdiagnostic approach. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*. 2018;19:470-484.
- L40 · 18** Huys QJM, Browning M, Paulus MP, Frank MJ. Advances in the computational understanding of mental illness. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2021;46:3-19.
- L40 · 19** Robinson TE, Berridge KC. The neural basis of drug craving: an incentive-sensitization theory of addiction. *Brain Research Reviews*. 1993;18(3):247-291.
- L40 · 20** Berridge KC, Robinson TE. Liking, wanting, and the incentive-sensitization theory of addiction. *American Psychologist*. 2016;71(8):670-679.
- L40 · 21** Everitt BJ, Robbins TW. Drug addiction: updating actions to habits to compulsions ten years on. *Annual Review of Psychology*. 2016;67:23-50.
- L40 · 22** Koob GF. Drug addiction, dysregulation of reward, and allostasis. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2001;24(2):97-129.
- L40 · 23** Koob GF. Addiction is a reward deficit and stress surfeit disorder. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*. 2013;4:72. doi:10.3389/fpsy.2013.00072. doi:10.3389/fpsy.2013.00072
- L40 · 24** Koob GF, Volkow ND. Neurobiology of addiction: a neurocircuitry analysis. *Lancet Psychiatry*. 2016;3(8):760-773.
- L40 · 25** Volkow ND, Koob GF, McLellan AT. Neurobiologic advances from the brain disease model of addiction. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2016;374:363-371.
- L40 · 26** Goldstein RZ, Volkow ND. Dysfunction of the prefrontal cortex in addiction: neuroimaging findings and clinical implications. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*. 2011;12:652-669.
- L40 · 27** Schultz W. Predictive reward signal of dopamine neurons. *Journal of Neurophysiology*. 1998;80(1):1-27.

- L40 · 28** Bayer HM, Glimcher PW. Midbrain dopamine neurons encode a quantitative reward prediction error signal. *Neuron*. 2005;47(1):129-141.
- L40 · 29** O'Doherty J, Dayan P, Schultz J, Deichmann R, Friston K, Dolan RJ. Dissociable roles of ventral and dorsal striatum in instrumental conditioning. *Science*. 2004;304:452-454.
- L40 · 30** Sutton RS, Barto AG. Reinforcement Learning: An Introduction. 2nd ed. MIT Press; 2018.
- L40 · 31** Foulds JA, Adamson SJ, Boden JM, Williman JA, Mulder RT. Depression in patients with alcohol use disorders: systematic review and meta-analysis of outcomes for independent and substance-induced disorders. *Journal of Affective Disorders*. 2015;185:47-59.
- L40 · 32** Grant S, Azhar G, Han E, et al. Clinical interventions for adults with comorbid alcohol use and depressive disorders: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *PLoS Medicine*. 2021;18:e1003822.
- L40 · 33** Davis LL, Rush AJ, Wisniewski SR, et al. Substance use disorder comorbidity in major depressive disorder: an exploratory analysis of the Sequenced Treatment Alternatives to Relieve Depression cohort. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*. 2005;46(2):81-89. doi:10.1016/j.comppsych.2004.07.025. doi:10.1016/j.comppsych.2004.07.025
- L40 · 34** Sullivan LE, Fiellin DA, O'Connor PG. The prevalence and impact of alcohol problems in major depression: a systematic review. *American Journal of Medicine*. 2005;118(4):330-341.
- L40 · 35** Hasin DS, Sarvet AL, Meyers JL, et al. Epidemiology of adult DSM-5 major depressive disorder and its specifiers in the United States. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2018;75(4):336-346.
- L40 · 36** Pettinati HM, Oslin DW, Kampman KM, et al. A double-blind clinical trial combining sertraline and naltrexone for treating co-occurring depression and alcohol dependence. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 2010;167(6):668-675.
- L40 · 37** Iovieno N, Tedeschi E, Bentley KH, Evins AE, Papakostas GI. Antidepressants for major depressive disorder and dysthymic disorder in patients with comorbid alcohol use disorders: a meta-analysis. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*. 2011;72(8):1144-1151.
- L40 · 38** Boden JM, Fergusson DM. Alcohol and depression. *Addiction*. 2011;106(5):906-914.
- L40 · 39** Martinez-Vispo C, Martinez U, Lopez-Duran A, Fernandez del Rio E, Becona E. Effects of behavioural activation on substance use and depression: a systematic review. *Substance Abuse Treatment, Prevention, and Policy*. 2018;13:36. doi:10.1186/s13011-018-0173-2. doi:10.1186/s13011-018-0173-2
- L40 · 40** Dimidjian S, Hollon SD, Dobson KS, et al. Randomized trial of behavioral activation, cognitive therapy, and antidepressant medication in the acute treatment of adults with major depression. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. 2006;74(4):658-670.
- L40 · 41** Jacobson NS, Dobson KS, Truax PA, et al. A component analysis of cognitive-behavioral treatment for depression. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. 1996;64(2):295-304.
- L40 · 42** Kanter JW, Manos RC, Bowe WM, Baruch DE, Busch AM, Rusch LC. What is behavioral activation? A review of the empirical literature. *Clinical Psychology Review*. 2010;30(6):608-620.
- L40 · 43** Daughters SB, Braun AR, Sargeant MN, et al. Effectiveness of a brief behavioral treatment for inner-city illicit drug users with elevated depressive symptoms: the Life Enhancement Treatment for Substance Use. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*. 2008;69(1):122-129.
- L40 · 44** Roozen HG, Boulogne JJ, van Tulder MW, van den Brink W, de Jong CAJ, Kerkhof AJFM. A systematic review of the effectiveness of the community reinforcement approach in alcohol, cocaine and opioid addiction. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*. 2004;74(1):1-13. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2003.12.006. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2003.12.006
- L40 · 45** Meyers RJ, Roozen HG, Smith JE. The Community Reinforcement Approach: an update of the evidence. *Alcohol Research and Health*. 2011;33(4):380-388.
- L40 · 46** Higgins ST, Budney AJ, Bickel WK, Foerg FE, Donham R, Badger GJ. Incentives improve outcome in outpatient behavioral treatment of cocaine dependence. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 1994;51:568-576.
- L40 · 47** Kelly JE, Humphreys K, Ferri M. Alcoholics Anonymous and other 12-step programs for alcohol use disorder. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2020;3:CD012880.
- L40 · 48** Moos RH, Moos BS. Participation in treatment and Alcoholics Anonymous: a 16-year follow-up of initially untreated individuals. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*. 2006;62(6):735-750.
- L40 · 49** Pagano ME, Friend KB, Tonigan JS, Stout RL. Helping other alcoholics in Alcoholics Anonymous and drinking outcomes: findings from Project MATCH. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol*. 2004;65(6):766-773.
- L40 · 50** Pagano ME, Zeltner BB, Post SG, Jaber J, Zywiak WH, Stout RL. Helping others and long-term sobriety: who should I help to stay sober? *Alcoholism Treatment Quarterly*. 2010;28(1):38-50.
- L40 · 51** Solinas M, Thiriet N, Chauvet C, Jaber M. Prevention and treatment of drug addiction by environmental enrichment. *Progress in Neurobiology*. 2010;92(4):572-592.
- L40 · 52** Solinas M, Thiriet N, El Rawas R, Lardeux V, Jaber M. Environmental enrichment during early stages of life reduces the behavioral, neurochemical, and molecular effects of cocaine. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2009;34:1102-1111.
- L40 · 53** Stairs DJ, Bardo MT. Neurobehavioral effects of environmental enrichment and drug abuse vulnerability. *Pharmacology Biochemistry and Behavior*. 2009;92(3):377-382.
- L40 · 54** Venniro M, Zhang M, Caprioli D, et al. Volitional social interaction prevents drug addiction in rat models. *Nature Neuroscience*. 2018;21:1520-1529.
- L40 · 55** Zheng W, Liu X, Lu T, et al. Effect of natural rewards on substance use disorder: an incentive sensitization perspective. *Biological Psychiatry*. 2026;99(6):436-445. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2025.05.026. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2025.05.026
- L40 · 56** Giesen ES, Deimel H, Bloch W. Clinical exercise interventions in alcohol use disorders: a systematic review. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*. 2015;52:1-9.
- L40 · 57** Hallgren M, Vancampfort D, Giesen ES, Lundin A, Stubbs B. Exercise as treatment for alcohol use disorders: systematic review and meta-analysis. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*. 2017;51:1058-1064.
- L40 · 58** Schuch FB, Vancampfort D, Richards J, Rosenbaum S, Ward PB, Stubbs B. Exercise as a treatment for depression: a meta-analysis adjusting for publication bias. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*. 2016;77:42-51.
- L40 · 59** Cooney GM, Dwan K, Greig CA, et al. Exercise for depression. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2013;9:CD004366.
- L40 · 60** Goldstein AN, Walker MP. The role of sleep in emotional brain function. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*. 2014;10:679-708.
- L40 · 61** Walker WH, Walton JC, DeVries AC, Nelson RJ. Circadian rhythm disruption and mental health. *Translational Psychiatry*. 2020;10:28.
- L40 · 62** Manber R, Edinger JD, Gress JL, San Pedro-Salcedo MG, Kuo TF, Kalista T. Cognitive behavioral therapy for insomnia enhances depression outcome in patients with comorbid major depressive disorder and insomnia. *Sleep*. 2008;31(4):489-495.
- L40 · 63** Brower KJ. Insomnia, alcoholism and relapse. *Sleep Medicine Reviews*. 2003;7(6):523-539.
- L40 · 64** Miller AH, Raison CL. The role of inflammation in depression: from evolutionary imperative to modern treatment target. *Nature Reviews Immunology*. 2016;16:22-34.
- L40 · 65** Felger JC, Treadway MT. Inflammation effects on motivation and motor activity: role of dopamine. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2017;42:216-241.
- L40 · 66** Furman D, Campisi J, Verdin E, et al. Chronic inflammation in the etiology of disease across the life span. *Nature Medicine*. 2019;25:1822-1832.
- L40 · 67** Yatham LN, Kennedy SH, Parikh SV, et al. CANMAT and ISBD 2018 guidelines for the management of patients with bipolar disorder. *Bipolar Disorders*. 2018;20(2):97-170.
- L40 · 68** Grande I, Berk M, Birmaher B, Vieta E. Bipolar disorder. *Lancet*. 2016;387:1561-1572.
- L40 · 69** Pedro MOP, Pedro MP, Martins SS, Castaldelli-Maia JM. Alcohol use disorders in patients with bipolar disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *International Review of Psychiatry*.

- 2023;35(5-6):450-460. doi:10.1080/09540261.2023.2249548. doi:10.1080/09540261.2023.2249548
- L40 · 70** Halsall L, Jones S, Swithenbank Z, Ushakova A, Goodwin L. Alcohol use across trials of psychological interventions for bipolar: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Affective Disorders*. 2025;389:119745. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2025.119745. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2025.119745
- L40 · 71** Goldstein BI, Bukstein OG. Comorbid substance use disorders among youth with bipolar disorder: opportunities for early identification and prevention. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*. 2010;71(3):348-358.
- L40 · 72** Hunt GE, Malhi GS, Cleary M, Lai HMX, Sitharthan T. Prevalence of comorbid bipolar and substance use disorders in clinical settings, 1990-2015: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Affective Disorders*. 2016;206:331-349.
- L40 · 73** Goodwin GM, Haddad PM, Ferrier IN, et al. Evidence-based guidelines for treating bipolar disorder: revised third edition recommendations from the British Association for Psychopharmacology. *Journal of Psychopharmacology*. 2016;30(6):495-553.
- L40 · 74** Post RM. Transduction of psychosocial stress into the neurobiology of recurrent affective disorder. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 1992;149(8):999-1010.
- L40 · 75** Monroe SM, Harkness KL. Life stress, the kindling hypothesis, and the recurrence of depression: considerations from a life stress perspective. *Psychological Review*. 2005;112(2):417-445.
- L40 · 76** Kendler KS, Thornton LM, Gardner CO. Stressful life events and previous episodes in the etiology of major depression in women: an evaluation of the kindling hypothesis. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 2000;157(8):1243-1251.
- L40 · 77** Scheffer M, Bascompte J, Brock WA, et al. Early-warning signals for critical transitions. *Nature*. 2009;461:53-59.
- L40 · 78** Scheffer M, Carpenter SR, Lenton TM, et al. Anticipating critical transitions. *Science*. 2012;338(6105):344-348.
- L40 · 79** van de Leemput IA, Wichers M, Cramer AOJ, et al. Critical slowing down as early warning for the onset and termination of depression. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2014;111(1):87-92.
- L40 · 80** Kuranova A, Booij SH, Menne-Lothmann C, et al. Predictability of a critical transition in the year before remission from depression: a complex systems approach. *Journal of Affective Disorders*. 2020;274:207-215.
- L40 · 81** Smit AC, Helmich MA, Bringmann LF, Oldehinkel AJ, Wichers M, Snippe E. Critical slowing down in momentary affect as early warning signal of impending transitions in depression. *Clinical Psychological Science*. 2025;13(4). doi:10.1177/21677026241305136. doi:10.1177/21677026241305136
- L40 · 82** Ludwig VM, Bittendorf CA, Reinhard I, et al. Early warning signals of bipolar relapse: investigating critical slowing down in smartphone data. *Journal of Affective Disorders*. 2025;391:119972. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2025.119972. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2025.119972
- L40 · 83** Myin-Germeys I, Kasanova Z, Vaessen T, et al. Experience sampling methodology in mental health research: new insights and technical developments. *World Psychiatry*. 2018;17(2):123-132.
- L40 · 84** Colombo D, Fernandez-Alvarez J, Patane A, et al. Current state and future directions of technology-based ecological momentary assessment and intervention for major depressive disorder: a systematic review. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*. 2019;8(4):465.
- L40 · 85** Borsboom D. A network theory of mental disorders. *World Psychiatry*. 2017;16(1):5-13.
- L40 · 86** Fried EI, van Borkulo CD, Cramer AOJ, Boschloo L, Schoevers RA, Borsboom D. Mental disorders as networks of problems: a review of recent insights. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*. 2017;52:1-10.
- L40 · 87** Cramer AOJ, van Borkulo CD, Giltay EJ, et al. Major depression as a complex dynamic system. *PLoS ONE*. 2016;11(12):e0167490.
- L40 · 88** Trefethen LN, Embree M. *Spectra and Pseudospectra: The Behavior of Nonnormal Matrices and Operators*. Princeton University Press; 2005.
- L40 · 89** Hennequin G, Vogels TP, Gerstner W. Optimal control of transient dynamics in balanced networks supports generation of complex movements. *Neuron*. 2014;82(6):1394-1406.
- L40 · 90** Murphy BK, Miller KD. Balanced amplification: a new mechanism of selective amplification of neural activity patterns. *Neuron*. 2009;61(4):635-648.
- L40 · 91** Gu S, Pasqualetti F, Cieslak M, et al. Controllability of structural brain networks. *Nature Communications*. 2015;6:8414.
- L40 · 92** Betzel RF, Gu S, Medaglia JD, Pasqualetti F, Bassett DS. Optimally controlling the human connectome: the role of network topology. *Scientific Reports*. 2016;6:30770.
- L40 · 93** Friston K. The free-energy principle: a unified brain theory? *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*. 2010;11:127-138.
- L40 · 94** Clark A. Whatever next? Predictive brains, situated agents, and the future of cognitive science. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*. 2013;36(3):181-204.
- L40 · 95** Seth AK. *Being You: A New Science of Consciousness*. Faber and Faber; 2021.
- L40 · 96** Ryan RM, Deci EL. On happiness and human potentials: a review of research on hedonic and eudaimonic well-being. *Annual Review of Psychology*. 2001;52:141-166.
- L40 · 97** Ryff CD. Happiness is everything, or is it? Explorations on the meaning of psychological well-being. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. 1989;57(6):1069-1081.
- L40 · 98** Garland EL, Farb NAS, Goldin PR, Fredrickson BL. Mindfulness broadens awareness and builds eudaimonic meaning: a process model of mindful positive emotion regulation. *Psychological Inquiry*. 2015;26(4):293-314.
- L40 · 99** Garland EL, Hanley AW, Riquino MR, et al. Mindfulness-oriented recovery enhancement reduces opioid misuse risk via analgesic and positive psychological mechanisms: a randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. 2019;87(10):927-940. doi:10.1037/ccp0000390. doi:10.1037/ccp0000390
- L40 · 100** Fromm E. *To Have or To Be?* Harper and Row; 1976.
- L40 · 101** Frankl VE. *Man's Search for Meaning*. Beacon Press; 1959.
- L40 · 102** Leamy M, Bird V, Le Boutillier C, Williams J, Slade M. Conceptual framework for personal recovery in mental health: systematic review and narrative synthesis. *British Journal of Psychiatry*. 2011;199(6):445-452.
- L40 · 103** Cloud W, Granfield R. Conceptualizing recovery capital: expansion of a theoretical construct. *Substance Use and Misuse*. 2008;43(12-13):1971-1986.
- L40 · 104** Best D, Hennessy EA. The science of recovery capital: where do we go from here? *Addiction*. 2022.
- L40 · 105** Kwasnicka D, Dombrowski SU, White M, Sniehotka F. Theoretical explanations for maintenance of behaviour change: a systematic review of behaviour theories. *Health Psychology Review*. 2016;10(3):277-296.
- L40 · 106** Wood W, Runger D. Psychology of habit. *Annual Review of Psychology*. 2016;67:289-314.
- L40 · 107** Lally P, van Jaarsveld CHM, Potts HWW, Wardle J. How are habits formed: modelling habit formation in the real world. *European Journal of Social Psychology*. 2010;40(6):998-1009.
- L40 · 108** Kashdan TB, Rottenberg J. Psychological flexibility as a fundamental aspect of health. *Clinical Psychology Review*. 2010;30(7):865-878.
- L40 · 109** World Health Organization. *International Classification of Diseases, 11th Revision: Disorders Due to Substance Use and Mood Disorders*. WHO; current online edition.
- L40 · 110** Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. *Treatment for Substance Use Disorder With Co-Occurring Disorders*. TIP 42, updated edition. SAMHSA; 2020.
- L40 · 111** National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. *Depression in Adults: Treatment and Management*. NICE Guideline NG222; 2022, updated.
- L40 · 112** National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. *Bipolar Disorder: Assessment and Management*. Clinical Guideline CG185; updated edition.
- L40 · 113** National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. *Alcohol-Use Disorders: Diagnosis, Assessment and Management of Harmful Drinking and Alcohol Dependence*. Clinical Guideline CG115.

- L40 · 114** American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. 5th ed., text revision. APA Publishing; 2022.
- L40 · 115** McIntyre RS, Alsuaaidan M, Baune BT, et al. Treatment-resistant depression: definition, prevalence, detection, management, and investigational interventions. *World Psychiatry*. 2023;22(3):394-412.
- L40 · 116** Zanos P, Moaddel R, Morris PJ, et al. Ketamine and ketamine metabolite pharmacology: insights into therapeutic mechanisms. *Pharmacological Reviews*. 2018;70(3):621-660.
- L40 · 117** Schlaepfer TE, Cohen MX, Frick C, et al. Deep brain stimulation to reward circuitry alleviates anhedonia in refractory major depression. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2008;33:368-377.
- L40 · 118** Mayberg HS, Lozano AM, Voon V, et al. Deep brain stimulation for treatment-resistant depression. *Neuron*. 2005;45(5):651-660.
- L40 · 119** Cole EJ, Phillips AL, Bentzley BS, et al. Stanford neuromodulation therapy for treatment-resistant depression: a randomized controlled trial. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 2022;179(2):132-141.
- L40 · 120** Otte C, Gold SM, Penninx BWJH, et al. Major depressive disorder. *Nature Reviews Disease Primers*. 2016;2:16065.
- L40 · 121** Herrman H, Patel V, Kieling C, et al. Time for united action on depression: a Lancet-World Psychiatric Association Commission. *Lancet*. 2022;399:957-1022.
- L40 · 122** Hinckley JD, Conner BT, Mauch R, et al. A systematic review: investigating biomarkers of anhedonia and amotivation in depression and cannabis use. *JAACAP Open*. 2025;3(3):379-405.
- L40 · 123** Belujon P, Grace AA. Dopamine system dysregulation in major depressive disorders. *International Journal of Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2017;20(12):1036-1046.
- L40 · 124** Rizvi SJ, Pizzagalli DA, Sproule BA, Kennedy SH. Assessing anhedonia in depression: potentials and pitfalls. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*. 2016;65:21-35.
- L40 · 125** Nusslock R, Alloy LB. Reward processing and mood-related symptoms: an RDoC and translational neuroscience perspective. *Journal of Affective Disorders*. 2017;216:3-16. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2017.02.001. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2017.02.001
- L40 · 126** Alloy LB, Nusslock R, Boland EM. The development and course of bipolar spectrum disorders: an integrated reward and circadian rhythm dysregulation model. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*. 2015;11:213-250.
- L40 · 127** Bickel WK, Koffarnus MN, Moody L, Wilson AG. The behavioral- and neuro-economic process of temporal discounting: a candidate behavioral marker of addiction. *Neuropharmacology*. 2014;76:518-527.
- L40 · 128** Amlung M, Vedelago L, Acker J, Balodis I, MacKillop J. Steep delay discounting and addictive behavior: a meta-analysis of continuous associations. *Addiction*. 2017;112(1):51-62.
- L40 · 129** Berridge KC, Aldridge JW. Decision utility, incentive salience, and cue-triggered wanting. In: *Oxford Handbook of Human Action*. Oxford University Press; 2008.
- L40 · 130** Drevets WC, Price JL, Furey ML. Brain structural and functional abnormalities in mood disorders: implications for neurocircuitry models of depression. *Brain Structure and Function*. 2008;213:93-118.
- L40 · 131** Fried EI, Nesse RM. Depression sum-scores do not add up: why analyzing specific depression symptoms is essential. *BMC Medicine*. 2015;13:72.
- L40 · 132** Bringmann LF, Pe ML, Vissers N, et al. Assessing temporal emotion dynamics using networks. *Assessment*. 2016;23(4):425-435.
- L40 · 133** Wichers M, Groot PC, Psychosystems ESM Group. Critical slowing down as a personalized early warning signal for depression. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics*. 2016;85:114-116.
- L40 · 134** Kendler KS, Gardner CO. Dependent stressful life events and prior depressive episodes in the prediction of major depression: the problem of causal inference in psychiatric epidemiology. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 2010;67(11):1120-1127. doi:10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2010.136
- L40 · 135** Nock MK, Borges G, Bromet EJ, Cha CB, Kessler RC, Lee S. Suicide and suicidal behavior. *Epidemiologic Reviews*. 2008;30:133-154.
- L40 · 136** Joiner TE. *Why People Die by Suicide*. Harvard University Press; 2005.
- L40 · 137** Klonsky ED, May AM. The Three-Step Theory (3ST): a new theory of suicide rooted in the ideation-to-action framework. *International Journal of Cognitive Therapy*. 2015;8(2):114-129. doi:10.1521/ijct.2015.8.2.114. doi:10.1521/ijct.2015.8.2.114
- L40 · 138** Cuijpers P, Quero S, Dowrick C, Arroll B. Psychological treatment of depression in primary care: recent developments. *Current Psychiatry Reports*. 2019;21(12):129. doi:10.1007/s11920-019-1117-x. doi:10.1007/s11920-019-1117-x
- L40 · 139** Holmes EA, Ghaderi A, Harmer CJ, et al. The Lancet Psychiatry Commission on psychological treatments research in tomorrow's science. *Lancet Psychiatry*. 2018;5(3):237-286. CORE SENTENCE · The research foundation is deliberately plural because no single discipline can explain why a person stops drinking, remains sober, becomes depressed, learns reward again and returns to a life that is more than the absence of alcohol.
- L42 · 1** Ainslie, G. (1975). Specious reward: A behavioral theory of impulsiveness and impulse control. *Psychological Bulletin*, 82, 463-496.
- L42 · 2** Mazur, J. E. (1987). An adjusting procedure for studying delayed reinforcement. In M. L. Commons, J. E. Mazur, J. A. Nevin, & H. Rachlin (Eds.), *Quantitative Analyses of Behavior: Vol. 5. The Effect of Delay and of Intervening Events on Reinforcement Value* (pp. 55-73). Erlbaum.
- L42 · 3** Kirby, K. N., & Maraković, N. N. (1996). Delay-discounting probabilistic rewards: Rates decrease as amounts increase. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 3, 100-104.
- L42 · 4** Green, L., Myerson, J., & McFadden, E. (1997). Rate of temporal discounting decreases with amount of reward. *Memory & Cognition*, 25, 715-723.
- L42 · 5** Myerson, J., Green, L., & Warusawitharana, M. (2001). Area under the curve as a measure of discounting. *Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior*, 76, 235-243. doi:10.1901/jeab.2001.76-235. doi:10.1901/jeab.2001.76-235
- L42 · 6** Laibson, D. (1997). Golden eggs and hyperbolic discounting. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 112, 443-478.
- L42 · 7** O'Donoghue, T., & Rabin, M. (1999). Doing it now or later. *American Economic Review*, 89, 103-124.
- L42 · 8** Frederick, S., Loewenstein, G., & O'Donoghue, T. (2002). Time discounting and time preference: A critical review. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 40, 351-401.
- L42 · 9** Zauberman, G., Kim, B. K., Malkoc, S. A., & Bettman, J. R. (2009). Discounting time and time discounting: Subjective time perception and intertemporal preferences. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 46, 543-556. doi:10.1509/jmkr.46.4.543. doi:10.1509/jmkr.46.4.543
- L42 · 10** Radu, P. T., Yi, R., Bickel, W. K., Gross, J. J., & McClure, S. M. (2011). A mechanism for reducing delay discounting by altering temporal attention. *Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior*, 96, 363-385. doi:10.1901/jeab.2011.96-363. doi:10.1901/jeab.2011.96-363
- L42 · 11** Miller, B. P., Reed, D. D., & Amlung, M. (2023). Reliability and validity of behavioral-economic measures: A review and synthesis of discounting and demand. *Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior*, 120, 263-280. doi:10.1002/jeab.860. II. Addition and transdiagnostic discounting — 13 works doi:10.1002/jeab.860
- L42 · 12** MacKillop, J., Amlung, M. T., Few, L. R., Ray, L. A., Sweet, L. H., & Munafò, M. R. (2011). Delayed reward discounting and addictive behavior: A meta-analysis. *Psychopharmacology*, 216, 305-321.
- L42 · 13** Amlung, M., Vedelago, L., Acker, J., Balodis, I., & MacKillop, J. (2017). Steep delay discounting and addictive behavior: A meta-analysis of continuous associations. *Addiction*, 112, 51-62. doi:10.1111/add.13535. doi:10.1111/add.13535
- L42 · 14** Amlung, M., Marsden, E., Holshausen, K., Morris, V., Patel, H., Vedelago, L., Naish, K. R., Reed, D. D., & McCabe, R. E. (2019). Delay discounting as a transdiagnostic process in psychiatric disorders: A meta-analysis. *JAMA Psychiatry*, 76, 1176-1186. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2019.2102. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2019.2102
- L42 · 15** Bickel, W. K., Jarmolowicz, D. P., Mueller, E. T., Koffarnus, M. N., & Gatchalian, K. M. (2012). Excessive discounting of delayed

- reinforcers as a trans-disease process. *Pharmacology & Therapeutics*, 134, 287–297. doi:10.1016/j.pharmthera.2012.02.004. doi:10.1016/j.pharmthera.2012.02.004
- L42 · 16** Bickel, W. K., Athamneh, L. N., Basso, J. C., Mellis, A. M., DeHart, W. B., Craft, W. H., & Pope, D. (2019). Excessive discounting of delayed reinforcers as a trans-disease process: Update on the state of the science. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 30, 59–64. doi:10.1016/j.copsyc.2019.01.005. doi:10.1016/j.copsyc.2019.01.005
- L42 · 17** Bickel, W. K., Odum, A. L., & Madden, G. J. (1999). Impulsivity and cigarette smoking: Delay discounting in current, never, and ex-smokers. *Psychopharmacology*, 146, 447–454.
- L42 · 18** Bonanno, G. A., & Burton, C. L. (2013). Regulatory flexibility: An individual-differences perspective on coping and emotion regulation. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 8, 591–612.
- L42 · 19** Bailey, A. J., Romeu, R. J., & Finn, P. R. (2021). The problems with delay discounting: A critical review of current practices and clinical applications. *Psychological Medicine*. doi:10.1017/S0033291721002282. doi:10.1017/S0033291721002282
- L42 · 20** Quddos, F., Tomlinson, D. C., Fontes, R. M., Bickel, W. K., & Tegge, A. N. (2026b). Polysubstance use: Delay discounting in relationship to remission. *Scientific Reports*. doi:10.1038/s41598-026-56226-w. doi:10.1038/s41598-026-56226-w
- L42 · 21** MacKillop, J., Agabio, R., Feldstein Ewing, S. W., Heilig, M., Kelly, J. F., Leggio, L., Lingford-Hughes, A., Palmer, A. A., Parry, C. D. H., Ray, L., & Rehm, J. (2022). Hazardous drinking and alcohol use disorders. *Nature Reviews Disease Primers*, 8, 80. doi:10.1038/s41572-022-00406-1. doi:10.1038/s41572-022-00406-1
- L42 · 22** Petzold, J., Hentschel, A., Chen, H., Kuitunen-Paul, S., London, E. D., Heinz, A., & Smolka, M. N. (2023). Value-based decision-making predicts alcohol use and related problems in young men. *Journal of Psychopharmacology*, 37, 1218–1226. doi:10.1177/02698811231212151. doi:10.1177/02698811231212151
- L42 · 23** Kirby, K. N. (2009). One-year temporal stability of delay-discount rates. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 16, 457–462.
- L42 · 24** Odum, A. L. (2011). Delay discounting: Trait variable? *Behavioural Processes*, 87, 1–9. III. Reinforcer pathology and alternative reward — 10 works
- L42 · 25** Bickel, W. K., Johnson, M. W., Koffarnus, M. N., MacKillop, J., & Murphy, J. G. (2014). The behavioral economics of substance use disorders: Reinforcement pathologies and their repair. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*, 10, 641–677. doi:10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-032813-153724. doi:10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-032813-153724
- L42 · 26** Acuff, S. F., MacKillop, J., & Murphy, J. G. (2023). A contextualized reinforcer pathology approach to addiction. *Nature Reviews Psychology*, 2, 309–323. doi:10.1038/s44159-023-00167-y. doi:10.1038/s44159-023-00167-y
- L42 · 27** Higgins, S. T., Heil, S. H., & Lussier, J. P. (2004). Clinical implications of reinforcement as a determinant of substance use disorders. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 55, 431–461.
- L42 · 28** Bickel, W. K., Landes, R. D., Christensen, D. R., Jackson, L., Jones, B. A., Kurth-Nelson, Z., & Redish, A. D. (2011). Single- and cross-commodity discounting among cocaine addicts: The commodity and its temporal location determine discounting rate. *Psychopharmacology*, 217, 177–187. doi:10.1007/s00213-011-2272-x. doi:10.1007/s00213-011-2272-x
- L42 · 29** Moody, L. N., Tegge, A. N., & Bickel, W. K. (2017). Cross-commodity delay discounting of alcohol and money in alcohol users. *The Psychological Record*, 67, 285–292. doi:10.1007/s40732-017-0245-0. doi:10.1007/s40732-017-0245-0
- L42 · 30** Carter, B. L., & Tiffany, S. T. (1999). Meta-analysis of cue-reactivity in addiction research. *Addiction*, 94, 327–340. doi:10.1046/j.1360-0443.1999.9433273.x. doi:10.1046/j.1360-0443.1999.9433273.x
- L42 · 31** Conklin, C. A., & Tiffany, S. T. (2002). Applying extinction research and theory to cue-exposure addiction treatments. *Addiction*, 97, 155–167.
- L42 · 32** Epstein, D. H., Willner-Reid, J., Vahabzadeh, M., Mezghanni, M., Lin, J. L., & Preston, K. L. (2009). Real-time electronic diary reports of cue exposure and mood in the hours before cocaine and heroin craving and use. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 66, 88–94.
- L42 · 33** Craig, A. D. (2009). How do you feel—now? The anterior insula and human awareness. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 10, 59–70.
- L42 · 34** Stull, S. W. (2025). Ambulatory assessment to advance the science of nondrug reward in addiction and recovery. *Addiction Research & Theory*, 33, 172–179. doi:10.1080/16066359.2024.2376622. IV. Prospection, episodic future thinking and future-self continuity — 15 works doi:10.1080/16066359.2024.2376622
- L42 · 35** Tulving, E. (1985). Memory and consciousness. *Canadian Psychology*, 26, 1–12.
- L42 · 36** Schacter, D. L., Addis, D. R., & Buckner, R. L. (2007). Remembering the past to imagine the future: The prospective brain. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 8, 657–661.
- L42 · 37** Addis, D. R., Wong, A. T., & Schacter, D. L. (2007). Remembering the past and imagining the future: Common and distinct neural substrates during event construction and elaboration. *Neuropsychologia*, 45, 1363–1377.
- L42 · 38** Buckner, R. L., & Carroll, D. C. (2007). Self-projection and the brain. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 11, 49–57.
- L42 · 39** Spreng, R. N., Mar, R. A., & Kim, A. S. N. (2009). The common neural basis of autobiographical memory, prospection, navigation, theory of mind, and the default mode. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 21, 489–510.
- L42 · 40** Trope, Y., & Liberman, N. (2010). Construal-level theory of psychological distance. *Psychological Review*, 117, 440–463.
- L42 · 41** Peters, J., & Büchel, C. (2010). Episodic future thinking reduces reward delay discounting through an enhancement of prefrontal-mediocortical interactions. *Neuron*, 66, 138–148.
- L42 · 42** Schacter, D. L., Benoit, R. G., & Szpunar, K. K. (2017). Episodic future thinking: Mechanisms and functions. *Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences*, 17, 41–50.
- L42 · 43** Stein, J. S., Wilson, A. G., Koffarnus, M. N., Daniel, T. O., Epstein, L. H., & Bickel, W. K. (2016). Unstuck in time: Episodic future thinking reduces delay discounting and cigarette smoking. *Psychopharmacology*, 233, 3771–3778.
- L42 · 44** Benoit, R. G., & Schacter, D. L. (2015). Specifying the core network supporting episodic simulation and episodic memory by activation likelihood estimation. *Neuropsychologia*, 75, 450–457.
- L42 · 45** Rösch, S. A., Stramaccia, D. F., & Benoit, R. G. (2022). Promoting farsighted decisions via episodic future thinking: A metaanalysis. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 151, 1606–1635. doi:10.1037/xge0001148. doi:10.1037/xge0001148
- L42 · 46** Collado, A., & Stokes, A. (2024). Imagining the future can shape the present: A systematic review of the impact of episodic future thinking on substance use outcomes. *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors*, 38, 134–152. doi:10.1037/adb0000933. doi:10.1037/adb0000933
- L42 · 47** Hershfield, H. E. (2011). Future self-continuity: How conceptions of the future self transform intertemporal choice. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 20, 30–36.
- L42 · 48** Erner-Hershfield, H., Garton, M. T., Ballard, K., Samanez-Larkin, G. R., & Knutson, B. (2009). Don't stop thinking about tomorrow: Individual differences in future self-continuity account for saving. *Judgment and Decision Making*, 4, 280–288.
- L42 · 49** Hershfield, H. E., Goldstein, D. G., Sharpe, W. F., Fox, J., Yeykelis, L., Carstensen, L. L., & Bailenson, J. N. (2011). Increasing saving behavior through age-progressed renderings of the future self. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 48, S23–S37. V. Neural mechanisms of valuation, simulation and salience — 10 works
- L42 · 50** Kable, J. W., & Glimcher, P. W. (2007). The neural correlates of subjective value during intertemporal choice. *Nature Neuroscience*, 10, 1625–1633.
- L42 · 51** Bartra, O., McGuire, J. T., & Kable, J. W. (2013). The valuation system: A coordinate-based meta-analysis of BOLD fMRI experiments examining neural correlates of subjective value. *NeuroImage*, 76, 412–427.
- L42 · 52** Clithero, J. A., & Rangel, A. (2014). Informatic parcellation of the network involved in the computation of subjective value. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*, 9, 1289–1302.
- L42 · 53** Prévost, C., Pessiglione, M., Méteureau, E., Cléry-Melin, M.-L., & Dreher, J.-C. (2010). Separate valuation subsystems for delay and effort decision costs. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 30, 14080–14090.
- L42 · 54** Hartmann, M. N., Hager, O. M., Tobler, P. N., & Kaiser, S. (2013). Parabolic discounting of monetary rewards by physical effort. *Be-*

- havioural Processes, 100, 192–196. doi:10.1016/j.beproc.2013.09.014. doi:10.1016/j.beproc.2013.09.014
- L42 · 55** Naqvi, N. H., Rudrauf, D., Damasio, H., & Bechara, A. (2007). Damage to the insula disrupts addiction to cigarette smoking. *Science*, 315, 531–534.
- L42 · 56** Naqvi, N. H., & Bechara, A. (2010). The insula and drug addiction: An interoceptive view of pleasure, urges, and decisionmaking. *Trends in Neurosciences*, 33, 435–444.
- L42 · 57** Seeley, W. W., Menon, V., Schatzberg, A. F., Keller, J., Glover, G. H., Kenna, H., Reiss, A. L., & Greicius, M. D. (2007). Dissociable intrinsic connectivity networks for salience processing and executive control. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 27, 2349–2356.
- L42 · 58** Menon, V., & Uddin, L. Q. (2010). Saliency, switching, attention and control: A network model of insula function. *Brain Structure and Function*, 214, 655–667.
- L42 · 59** Lakhani, N. V., Souther, M. K., Boateng, B., & Kable, J. W. (2026). A meta-analysis of neural systems underlying delay discounting: Implications for transdiagnostic research. *Imaging Neuroscience*, 4, IMAG.a.1170. doi:10.1162/IMAG.a.1170. VI. Computational learning, habits and active inference — 10 works doi:10.1162/IMAG.a.1170
- L42 · 60** Schultz, W., Dayan, P., & Montague, P. R. (1997). A neural substrate of prediction and reward. *Science*, 275, 1593–1599.
- L42 · 61** Daw, N. D., Gershman, S. J., Seymour, B., Dayan, P., & Dolan, R. J. (2011). Model-based influences on humans' choices and striatal prediction errors. *Neuron*, 69, 1204–1215.
- L42 · 62** Robinson, T. E., & Berridge, K. C. (1993). The neural basis of drug craving: An incentive-sensitization theory of addiction. *Brain Research Reviews*, 18, 247–291.
- L42 · 63** Robinson, T. E., & Berridge, K. C. (2008). The incentive sensitization theory of addiction: Some current issues. *Addiction*, 103, 313–321.
- L42 · 64** Dayan, P. (1993). Improving generalization for temporal difference learning: The successor representation. *Neural Computation*, 5, 613–624.
- L42 · 65** Everitt, B. J., & Robbins, T. W. (2005). Neural systems of reinforcement for drug addiction: From actions to habits to compulsion. *Nature Neuroscience*, 8, 1481–1489.
- L42 · 66** Everitt, B. J., & Robbins, T. W. (2016). Drug addiction: Updating actions to habits to compulsions ten years on. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 67, 23–50.
- L42 · 67** Friston, K., FitzGerald, T., Rigoli, F., Schwartenbeck, P., & Pezulo, G. (2017). Active inference: A process theory. *Neural Computation*, 29, 1–49.
- L42 · 68** Corlett, P. R., Mollick, J. A., & Kober, H. (2022). Meta-analysis of human prediction error for incentives, perception, cognition, and action. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, 47, 1339–1349. doi:10.1038/s41386-021-01264-3. doi:10.1038/s41386-021-01264-3
- L42 · 69** Kinley, I., Amlung, M., & Becker, S. (2022). Pathologies of precision: A Bayesian account of goals, habits, and episodic foresight in addiction. *Brain and Cognition*, 158, 105843. doi:10.1016/j.bandc.2022.105843. VII. Depression, anhedonia, hopelessness and impaired prospection — 8 works doi:10.1016/j.bandc.2022.105843
- L42 · 70** Treadway, M. T., & Zald, D. H. (2011). Reconsidering anhedonia in depression: Lessons from translational neuroscience. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 35, 537–555.
- L42 · 71** Berridge, K. C., & Kringelbach, M. L. (2015). Pleasure systems in the brain. *Neuron*, 86, 646–664.
- L42 · 72** Beck, A. T., Weissman, A., Lester, D., & Trexler, L. (1974). The measurement of pessimism: The Hopelessness Scale. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 42, 861–865.
- L42 · 73** MacLeod, A. K., & Salaminiou, E. (2001). Reduced positive future-thinking in depression: Cognitive and affective factors. *Cognition and Emotion*, 15, 99–107.
- L42 · 74** Huys, Q. J. M., Pizzagalli, D. A., Bogdan, R., & Dayan, P. (2013). Mapping anhedonia onto reinforcement learning: A behavioural meta-analysis. *Biology of Mood & Anxiety Disorders*, 3, 12.
- L42 · 75** Pulcu, E., & Browning, M. (2019). Affective bias as a rational response to the statistics of rewards and punishments. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 23, 865–875. doi:10.1016/j.tics.2019.07.007. doi:10.1016/j.tics.2019.07.007
- L42 · 76** Hallford, D. J., Austin, D. W., Takano, K., & Raes, F. (2018). Psychopathology and episodic future thinking: A systematic review and meta-analysis of specificity and episodic detail. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 102, 42–51. doi:10.1016/j.brat.2018.01.003. doi:10.1016/j.brat.2018.01.003
- L42 · 77** Hallford, D. J., Rusanov, D., Yeow, J. J. E., Austin, D. W., D'Argembeau, A., Fuller-Tyszkiewicz, M., & Raes, F. (2023). Reducing anhedonia in major depressive disorder with Future Event Specificity Training (FEST): A randomized controlled trial. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, 47, 20–37. doi:10.1007/s10608-022-10330-z. VIII. Stress, trauma, scarcity and environmental unpredictability — 9 works doi:10.1007/s10608-022-10330-z
- L42 · 78** Sinha, R. (2008). Chronic stress, drug use, and vulnerability to addiction. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1141, 105–130.
- L42 · 79** Mantsch, J. R., Baker, D. A., Funk, D., Lê, A. D., & Shaham, Y. (2016). Stress-induced reinstatement of drug seeking: 20 years of progress. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, 41, 335–356.
- L42 · 80** Koob, G. F., & Le Moal, M. (2008). Addiction and the brain antireward system. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 59, 29–53.
- L42 · 81** Koob, G. F., & Volkow, N. D. (2016). Neurobiology of addiction: A neurocircuitry analysis. *The Lancet Psychiatry*, 3, 760–773.
- L42 · 82** Mullainathan, S., & Shafir, E. (2013). *Scarcity: Why Having Too Little Means So Much*. Times Books.
- L42 · 83** Pepper, G. V., & Nettle, D. (2017). The behavioural constellation of deprivation: Causes and consequences. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 40, e314.
- L42 · 84** Chater, N., & Loewenstein, G. (2023). The i-frame and the s-frame: How focusing on individual-level solutions has led behavioural public policy astray. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*. doi:10.1017/S0140525X22002023. doi:10.1017/S0140525X22002023
- L42 · 85** Davis, E. P., & Glynn, L. M. (2024). Annual Research Review: The power of predictability—patterns of signals in early life shape neurodevelopment and mental health trajectories. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 65, 508–534. doi:10.1111/jcpp.13958. doi:10.1111/jcpp.13958
- L42 · 86** Bowen, S., Witkiewitz, K., Clifasefi, S. L., Grow, J., Chawla, N., Hsu, S. H., Carroll, H. A., Harrop, E., Collins, S. E., Lustyk, M. K., & Larimer, M. E. (2014). Relative efficacy of mindfulness-based relapse prevention, relapse prevention, and treatment as usual. *JAMA Psychiatry*, 71, 547–556. IX. Recovery, remission and intervention — 11 works
- L42 · 87** Laudet, A. B. (2007). What does recovery mean to you? Lessons from the recovery experience for research and practice. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, 33, 243–256.
- L42 · 88** Betty Ford Institute Consensus Panel. (2007). What is recovery? A working definition from the Betty Ford Institute. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, 33, 221–228.
- L42 · 89** Cloud, W., & Granfield, R. (2008). Conceptualizing recovery capital: Expansion of a theoretical construct. *Substance Use & Misuse*, 43, 1971–1986.
- L42 · 90** Best, D., & Laudet, A. (2010). The Potential of Recovery Capital. RSA Projects.
- L42 · 91** Kelly, J. F., & Hoepfner, B. (2015). A biaxial formulation of the recovery construct. *Addiction Research & Theory*, 23, 5–9. doi:10.3109/16066359.2014.930132. doi:10.3109/16066359.2014.930132
- L42 · 92** Athamneh, L. N., Stein, J. S., Quisenberry, A. J., Pope, D., & Bickel, W. K. (2022). The phenotype of recovery II: The association between delay discounting, self-efficacy, and recovery progress. *Experimental and Clinical Psychopharmacology*, 30, 59–72. doi:10.1037/pha0000389. doi:10.1037/pha0000389
- L42 · 93** Craft, W. H., Dwyer, C. L., Pope, D. A., Athamneh, L. N., & Bickel, W. K. (2022). The phenotype of recovery VII: Delay discounting mediates the relationship between time in recovery and quality of life. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, 136, 108665. doi:10.1016/j.jsat.2021.108665. doi:10.1016/j.jsat.2021.108665
- L42 · 94** Keith, D. R., Dwyer, C. L., Athamneh, L. N., Craft, W. H., & Bickel, W. K. (2022). The phenotype of recovery VIII: Delay discounting, future orientation, and recovery capital. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, 139, 108783. doi:10.1016/j.jsat.2022.108783. doi:10.1016/j.jsat.2022.108783

- L42 · 95** Dwyer, C. L., Craft, W. H., Athamneh, L. N., Pope, D. A., & Bickel, W. K. (2024). The phenotype of recovery: Latent regulatory profiles and indicators of recovery progress. *Alcohol: Clinical and Experimental Research*, 48, 188–198. doi:10.1111/acer.15235. doi:10.1111/acer.15235
- L42 · 96** Quddos, F., Fontes, R. M., Craft, C. L., Tegge, A. N., & Bickel, W. K. (2026a). Celebrating each success: Proportion of remission as a measure of recovery from polysubstance use disorder—proportion of remission; a recovery measure. *Harm Reduction Journal*, 23, 69. doi:10.1186/s12954-025-01370-1. doi:10.1186/s12954-025-01370-1
- L42 · 97** Bolívar, H. A., Klemperer, E. M., Coleman, S. R. M., DeSarno, M., Skelly, J. M., & Higgins, S. T. (2021). Contingency management for patients receiving medication for opioid use disorder: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Psychiatry*, 78, 1092–1102. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2021.1969. X. Ecological measurement, digital translation and implementation — 5 works doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2021.1969
- L42 · 98** Meyers, R. J., Roozen, H. G., & Smith, J. E. (2011). The Community Reinforcement Approach: An update of the evidence. *Alcohol Research & Health*, 33, 380–388.
- L42 · 99** Dimidjian, S., Hollon, S. D., Dobson, K. S., Schmalzing, K. B., Kohlenberg, R. J., Addis, M. E., Gallop, R., McGlinchey, J. B., Markley, D. K., Gollan, J. K., Atkins, D. C., Dunner, D. L., & Jacobson, N. S. (2006). Randomized trial of behavioral activation, cognitive therapy, and antidepressant medication in the acute treatment of adults with major depression. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 74, 658–670.
- L42 · 100** Oettingen, G. (2014). Rethinking Positive Thinking: Inside the New Science of Motivation. *Current*.
- L42 · 101** Marsch, L. A., Campbell, A. N. C., Campbell, C. I., Chen, C.-H., Ertin, E., Ghitza, U. E., Lambert-Harris, C., Hassanpour, S., Holtyn, A. F., Hser, Y.-I., Jacobs, P., Klausner, J. D., Lemley, S. M., Kotz, D., Meier, A., McLeman, B., McNeely, J., Mishra, V., Mooney, L. J., Pughatch, M., Stafylis, C., Stankevitz, K., Saunders, E. C., Subramaniam, G., Tofighi, B., Torous, J., Wexler, H. K., & Young, S. (2022). The application of digital health to the assessment and treatment of substance use disorders. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 13, 871916.
- L42 · 102** Helmich, M. A., Olthof, M., Oldehinkel, A. J., Wichers, M., Bringmann, L. F., & Smit, A. C. (2024). Early warning signals and personalized prediction of sudden changes in psychopathology: Challenges and promises. *Nature Reviews Psychology*. doi:10.1038/s44159-024-00369-y. The portfolio supports a strong but bounded proposition. Delay discounting is a reliable signal; prospection, futureself continuity, environmental reliability, alternative reinforcement and recovery capital help explain why that signal changes meaning across lives and moments. None of these literatures alone validates the Temporal Agency Horizon as a clinical instrument. Their convergence does, however, justify the construct as a falsifiable research programme and as a humane discipline of attention: measure the distance, but also ask what happened to trust, ownership, possibility and the first traversable step. The portfolio also makes its absences visible. Evidence is still concentrated in monetary discounting, short laboratory intervals and samples whose material worlds are incompletely described. Long-term recovery, family time, caregiving, place transitions, polysubstance trajectories and within-person changes in future credibility remain undermeasured. Neural convergence is stronger for valuation than for the full social ecology through which a future becomes reachable. The newest remission studies are important and largely associative. Those gaps are not footnotes to the lecture; they are part of its leverage. large enough to include clock time, subjective distance, body state, identity, environmental delivery and the path between places. It also accepts the burden created by that size: terms must be separated, measures validated, alternatives compared and first-person knowledge protected from both dismissal and overclaim. A cutting-edge source should not merely sound new. It should make better mistakes possible—mistakes precise enough to be detected, corrected and used to bring the next question closer. Accordingly, the reference list is a launch surface, not a claim of exhaustion. Readers should be able to follow a basin, challenge its weighting and replace a weak anchor with stronger evidence as the science moves. CORE SENTENCE · These 102 works do not reduce a life to a parameter; together they show why the power of tomorrow depends on the person, body, world and route through which tomorrow must travel. doi:10.1038/s44159-024-00369-y
- L46 · 1** Agnati LF, Guidolin D, Guescini M, Genedani S, Fuxe K. Understanding wiring and volume transmission. *Brain Research Reviews*. 2010.
- L46 · 2** Ang B, Horowitz M, Moncrieff J. Is the chemical imbalance an urban legend? *Molecular Psychiatry*. 2023.
- L46 · 3** Araque A, Carmignoto G, Haydon PG, Oliet SHR, Robitaille R, Volterra A. Gliotransmitters travel in time and space. *Neuron*. 2014.
- L46 · 4** Arnsten AFT. Stress signalling pathways that impair prefrontal cortex structure and function. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*. 2009.
- L46 · 5** Aston-Jones G, Cohen JD. An integrative theory of locus coeruleus-norepinephrine function. *Annual Review of Neuroscience*. 2005.
- L46 · 6** Autry AE, Monteggia LM. Brain-derived neurotrophic factor and neuropsychiatric disorders. *Pharmacological Reviews*. 2012.
- L46 · 7** Aubin JP. Viability Theory. Birkhauser. 1991.
- L46 · 8** Bahji A, Vazquez GH, Zarate CA. Comparative efficacy of racemic ketamine and esketamine for depression. *Journal of Affective Disorders*. 2021.
- L46 · 9** Baumeister RF, Bratslavsky E, Muraven M, Tice DM. Ego depletion: is the active self a limited resource? *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. 1998.
- L46 · 10** Bayer HM, Glimcher PW. Midbrain dopamine neurons encode a quantitative reward prediction error signal. *Neuron*. 2005.
- L46 · 11** Beier KT et al. Circuit architecture of VTA dopamine neurons revealed by systematic input-output mapping. *Cell*. 2015.
- L46 · 12** Belin D, Belin-Rauscent A, Murray JE, Everitt BJ. Addiction: failure of control over maladaptive incentive habits. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*. 2013.
- L46 · 13** Bennabi D, Vandel P, Papaxanthis C, Pozzo T, Haffen E. Psychomotor retardation in depression. *BioMed Research International*. 2013.
- L46 · 14** Berke JD. What does dopamine mean? *Nature Neuroscience*. 2018.
- L46 · 15** Berridge KC, Kringelbach ML. Pleasure systems in the brain. *Neuron*. 2015.
- L46 · 16** Berridge KC, Robinson TE. What is the role of dopamine in reward? *Brain Research Reviews*. 1998.
- L46 · 17** Berridge KC, Robinson TE. Liking, wanting, and the incentive-sensitization theory of addiction. *American Psychologist*. 2016.
- L46 · 18** Best D, Gow J, Knox T, Taylor A, Groshkova T, White W. Mapping the recovery stories of drinkers and drug users. *Drug and Alcohol Review*. 2012.
- L46 · 19** Blumberger DM et al. Effectiveness of theta burst versus high-frequency rTMS in depression: THREE-D. *The Lancet*. 2018.
- L46 · 20** Bouton ME. Context, ambiguity, and unlearning: sources of relapse after behavioral extinction. *Biological Psychiatry*. 2002. References - Research Portfolio (2/8)
- L46 · 21** Breakspear M. Dynamic models of large-scale brain activity. *Nature Neuroscience*. 2017.
- L46 · 22** Bruchas MR, Land BB, Chavkin C. The dynorphin-kappa opioid system as a modulator of stress-induced behavior. *Brain Research*. 2010.
- L46 · 23** Buzsáki G. *Rhythms of the Brain*. Oxford University Press. 2006.
- L46 · 24** Cacioppo JT et al. Loneliness across phylogeny and a call for comparative studies and animal models. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*. 2015.
- L46 · 25** Carhart-Harris RL, Nutt DJ. Serotonin and brain function: a tale of two receptors. *Journal of Psychopharmacology*. 2017.
- L46 · 26** Castrén E, Rantamäki T. The role of BDNF and its receptors in depression and antidepressant drug action. *Developmental Neurobiology*. 2010.
- L46 · 27** Childress AR et al. Limbic activation during cue-induced cocaine craving. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 1999.
- L46 · 28** Cipriani A et al. Comparative efficacy and acceptability of 21 antidepressant drugs. *The Lancet*. 2018.
- L46 · 29** Conklin CA, Tiffany ST. Applying extinction research and theory to cue-exposure addiction treatments. *Addiction*. 2002.

- L46 · 30** Conner KR, Pinquart M, Duberstein PR. Meta-analysis of depression and substance use among individuals with alcohol use disorders. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*. 2008.
- L46 · 31** Cools R, Roberts AC, Robbins TW. Serotonergic regulation of emotional and behavioural control processes. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*. 2008.
- L46 · 32** Cowen PJ, Browning M. What has serotonin to do with depression? *World Psychiatry*. 2015.
- L46 · 33** Craske MG et al. Maximizing exposure therapy: an inhibitory learning approach. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*. 2014.
- L46 · 34** Crockett MJ, Clark L, Robbins TW. Reconciling the role of serotonin in behavioral inhibition and aversion. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2009.
- L46 · 35** Davis L, Uezato A, Newell JM, Frazier E. Major depression and comorbid substance use disorders. *Current Opinion in Psychiatry*. 2008.
- L46 · 36** Dayan P. Twenty-five lessons from computational neuromodulation. *Neuron*. 2012.
- L46 · 37** Dayan P, Huys QJM. Serotonin in affective control. *Annual Review of Neuroscience*. 2009.
- L46 · 38** Disner SG, Beevers CG, Haigh EAP, Beck AT. Neural mechanisms of the cognitive model of depression. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*. 2011.
- L46 · 39** Doya K. Metalearning and neuromodulation. *Neural Networks*. 2002.
- L46 · 40** Duman RS, Monteggia LM. A neurotrophic model for stress-related mood disorders. *Biological Psychiatry*. 2006. References - Research Portfolio (3/8)
- L46 · 41** Duman RS, Aghajanian GK, Sanacora G, Krystal JH. Synaptic plasticity and depression: new insights from stress and rapid-acting antidepressants. *Nature Medicine*. 2016.
- L46 · 42** Duman RS, Sanacora G, Krystal JH. Altered connectivity in depression: GABA and glutamate neurotransmitter deficits. *Molecular Psychiatry*. 2019.
- L46 · 43** Eisenberger NI. The pain of social disconnection. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*. 2012.
- L46 · 44** Everitt BJ, Robbins TW. Drug addiction: updating actions to habits to compulsions ten years on. *Annual Review of Psychology*. 2016.
- L46 · 45** Farrant M, Nusser Z. Variations on an inhibitory theme: phasic and tonic activation of GABA-A receptors. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*. 2005.
- L46 · 46** Felger JC, Treadway MT. Inflammation effects on motivation and motor activity. *Molecular Psychiatry*. 2017.
- L46 · 47** Fields HL, Margolis EB. Understanding opioid reward. *Trends in Neurosciences*. 2015.
- L46 · 48** Flagel SB et al. A selective role for dopamine in stimulus-reward learning. *Nature*. 2011.
- L46 · 49** Fonseca MS, Murakami M, Mainen ZF. Activation of dorsal raphe serotonin neurons promotes waiting but is not reinforcing. *Current Biology*. 2015.
- L46 · 50** Frank E et al. Two-year outcomes for interpersonal and social rhythm therapy in bipolar I disorder. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 2005.
- L46 · 51** Fried EI, Nesse RM. Depression is not a consistent syndrome. *Journal of Affective Disorders*. 2015.
- L46 · 52** Friston K. The free-energy principle: a unified brain theory? *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*. 2010.
- L46 · 53** Fuxe K et al. The discovery of central monoamine neurons gave volume transmission to the wired brain. *Progress in Neurobiology*. 2010.
- L46 · 54** Germain A, Kupfer DJ. Circadian rhythm disturbances in depression. *Human Psychopharmacology*. 2008.
- L46 · 55** Glimcher PW. Understanding dopamine and reinforcement learning. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2011.
- L46 · 56** Goldstein RZ, Volkow ND. Dysfunction of the prefrontal cortex in addiction. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*. 2011.
- L46 · 57** Grace AA. Phasic versus tonic dopamine release and the modulation of dopamine system responsivity. *Neuroscience*. 1991.
- L46 · 58** Haas HL, Sergeeva OA, Selbach O. Histamine in the nervous system. *Physiological Reviews*. 2008.
- L46 · 59** Hamid AA et al. Mesolimbic dopamine signals the value of work. *Nature Neuroscience*. 2016.
- L46 · 60** Hamilton JP et al. Default-mode and task-positive network activity in major depressive disorder. *Biological Psychiatry*. 2015. References - Research Portfolio (4/8)
- L46 · 61** Hansen JY et al. Mapping neurotransmitter systems to the structural and functional organization of the human neocortex. *Nature Neuroscience*. 2022.
- L46 · 62** Hasselmo ME, Sarter M. Modes and models of forebrain cholinergic neuromodulation of cognition. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2011.
- L46 · 63** Hayes SC, Strosahl KD, Wilson KG. *Acceptance and Commitment Therapy*. Guilford Press. 2012.
- L46 · 64** Hieronymus F, Emilsson JF, Nilsson S, Eriksson E. Consistent superiority of SSRIs over placebo in reducing depressed mood. *Molecular Psychiatry*. 2016.
- L46 · 65** Hofmann SG, Hayes SC, Lorscheid DN. *Learning Process-Based Therapy*. New Harbinger. 2021.
- L46 · 66** Hunt GE, Malhi GS, Lai HMX, Cleary M. Prevalence of comorbid substance use in major depressive disorder. *Journal of Affective Disorders*. 2020.
- L46 · 67** Husain M, Roiser JP. Neuroscience of apathy and anhedonia: a transdiagnostic approach. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*. 2018.
- L46 · 68** Hyman SE, Malenka RC, Nestler EJ. Neural mechanisms of addiction. *Annual Review of Neuroscience*. 2006.
- L46 · 69** Insel TR. The NIMH Research Domain Criteria project: precision medicine for psychiatry. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 2014.
- L46 · 70** Inzlicht M, Berkman E. Six questions for the resource model of control. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*. 2015.
- L46 · 71** Isaacson JS, Scanziani M. How inhibition shapes cortical activity. *Neuron*. 2011.
- L46 · 72** Jacobson NS, Truax P. Clinical significance: a statistical approach to meaningful change. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. 1991.
- L46 · 73** James MH, Mahler SV, Moorman DE, Aston-Jones G. A decade of orexin in addiction. *Biological Psychiatry*. 2017.
- L46 · 74** Jonas DE et al. Pharmacotherapy for adults with alcohol use disorders. *JAMA*. 2014.
- L46 · 75** Kalivas PW. The glutamate homeostasis hypothesis of addiction. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*. 2009.
- L46 · 76** Kalivas PW, O'Brien C. Drug addiction as a pathology of staged neuroplasticity. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2008.
- L46 · 77** Kelly JE, White WL, editors. *Addiction Recovery Management*. Humana Press. 2011.
- L46 · 78** Khakh BS, Sofroniew MV. Diversity of astrocyte functions and phenotypes in neural circuits. *Nature Neuroscience*. 2015.
- L46 · 79** Koob GF. Neurobiology of opioid addiction: opponent process, hyperkatifeia, and negative reinforcement. *Biological Psychiatry*. 2020.
- L46 · 80** Koob GF, Le Moal M. Addiction and the brain antireward system. *Annual Review of Psychology*. 2008. References - Research Portfolio (5/8)
- L46 · 81** Koob GF, Volkow ND. Neurocircuitry of addiction. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2010.
- L46 · 82** Koob GF, Volkow ND. Neurobiology of addiction: a neurocircuitry analysis. *The Lancet Psychiatry*. 2016.
- L46 · 83** Kosten TR, O'Connor PG. Management of drug and alcohol withdrawal. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2003.
- L46 · 84** Krystal JH, Abdallah CG, Sanacora G, Charney DS, Duman RS. Ketamine: a paradigm shift for depression research and treatment. *Neuron*. 2019.
- L46 · 85** Lammel S, Lim BK, Malenka RC. Reward and aversion in a heterogeneous midbrain dopamine system. *Neuropharmacology*. 2014.
- L46 · 86** Lambert MJ. *Bergin and Garfield's Handbook of Psychotherapy and Behavior Change*. Wiley. 2013.

- L46 · 87** Lane RD, Ryan L, Nadel L, Greenberg L. Memory reconsolidation, emotional arousal, and psychotherapy. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*. 2015.
- L46 · 88** Lazarus M, Chen JF, Huang ZL, Urade Y, Fredholm BB. Adenosine and sleep. *Handbook of Experimental Pharmacology*. 2019.
- L46 · 89** Lüscher C, Malenka RC. Drug-evoked synaptic plasticity in addiction. *Neuron*. 2011.
- L46 · 90** Lutz B, Marsicano G, Maldonado R, Hillard CJ. The endocannabinoid system in guarding against fear and anxiety. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*. 2015.
- L46 · 91** Mahler SV, Moorman DE, Smith RJ, James MH, Aston-Jones G. Motivational activation: a unifying hypothesis of orexin function. *Nature Neuroscience*. 2014.
- L46 · 92** Malhi GS, Mann JJ. Depression. *The Lancet*. 2018.
- L46 · 93** Marder E. Neuromodulation of neuronal circuits: back to the future. *Neuron*. 2012.
- L46 · 94** Marder E, O'Leary T, Shruti S. Neuromodulation of circuits with variable parameters. *Annual Review of Neuroscience*. 2014.
- L46 · 95** Mattick RP, Breen C, Kimber J, Davoli M. Buprenorphine maintenance versus placebo or methadone. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2014.
- L46 · 96** McGinley MJ, Vinck M, Reimer J, Batista-Brito R, Zagha E, McCormick DA. Waking state: rapid variations modulate neural and behavioral responses. *Neuron*. 2015.
- L46 · 97** McGorry PD et al. Clinical staging: a heuristic and practical strategy for new research and better health outcomes. *Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*. 2010.
- L46 · 98** Mee-Lee D et al. The ASAM Criteria. *American Society of Addiction Medicine*. 2013.
- L46 · 99** Meyer-Lindenberg A, Domes G, Kirsch P, Heinrichs M. Oxytocin and vasopressin in the human brain. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*. 2011.
- L46 · 100** Miller AH, Raison CL. The role of inflammation in depression. *Nature Reviews Immunology*. 2016. References - Research Portfolio (6/8)
- L46 · 101** Mineur YS, Picciotto MR. Nicotine receptors and depression. *Trends in Pharmacological Sciences*. 2010.
- L46 · 102** Miyazaki KW, Miyazaki K, Doya K. Activation of dorsal raphe serotonin neurons underlies waiting for delayed rewards. *Journal of Neuroscience*. 2014.
- L46 · 103** Mohebi A et al. Dissociable dopamine dynamics for learning and motivation. *Nature*. 2019.
- L46 · 104** Moncrieff J et al. The serotonin theory of depression: a systematic umbrella review. *Molecular Psychiatry*. 2022.
- L46 · 105** Monroe SM, Anderson SF. Depression: the shroud of heterogeneity. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*. 2015.
- L46 · 106** Morales M, Margolis EB. Ventral tegmental area: cellular heterogeneity, connectivity and behaviour. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*. 2017.
- L46 · 107** Morena M, Patel S, Bains JS, Hill MN. Neurobiological interactions between stress and the endocannabinoid system. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2016.
- L46 · 108** Mutz J, Vipulanathan V, Carter B, Hurlemann R, Fu CHY, Young AH. Comparative efficacy and acceptability of non-surgical brain stimulation for depression. *BMJ*. 2019.
- L46 · 109** NIDA. Medications for Opioid Use Disorder. *National Institute on Drug Abuse*. Updated 2024.
- L46 · 110** NIMH. Brain Stimulation Therapies. *National Institute of Mental Health*. Updated 2024.
- L46 · 111** Niv Y, Daw ND, Joel D, Dayan P. Tonic dopamine: opportunity costs and control of response vigor. *Psychopharmacology*. 2007.
- L46 · 112** Noël X, Brevers D, Bechara A. A neurocognitive approach to understanding the neurobiology of addiction. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*. 2013.
- L46 · 113** O'Reardon JP et al. Efficacy and safety of transcranial magnetic stimulation in major depression. *Biological Psychiatry*. 2007.
- L46 · 114** Olsen RW, Sieghart W. GABA-A receptors: subtypes provide diversity of function and pharmacology. *Neuropharmacology*. 2009.
- L46 · 115** Orford J et al. The 5-Step Method for affected family members. *Drugs: Education, Prevention and Policy*. 2010.
- L46 · 116** Osimo EF et al. Inflammatory markers in depression: a meta-analysis of mean differences and variability. *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity*. 2020.
- L46 · 117** Özçete ÖD et al. Presynaptic architecture of long-range neuromodulatory systems. *Molecular Psychiatry*. 2024.
- L46 · 118** Patel S, Hill MN, Cheer JF, Wotjak CT, Holmes A. The endocannabinoid system as a target for novel anxiolytic drugs. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*. 2017.
- L46 · 119** Picciotto MR, Higley MJ, Mineur YS. Acetylcholine as a neuromodulator: cholinergic signaling shapes nervous system function. *Neuron*. 2012. References - Research Portfolio (7/8)
- L46 · 120** Pizzagalli DA. Depression, stress, and anhedonia. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*. 2014.
- L46 · 121** Poe GR et al. Locus coeruleus: a new look at the blue spot. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*. 2020.
- L46 · 122** Robinson TE, Berridge KC. The incentive sensitization theory of addiction. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B*. 2008.
- L46 · 123** Robinson TE, Berridge KC. Incentive-sensitization and addiction. *Annual Review of Psychology*. 2025.
- L46 · 124** Roiser JP, Elliott R, Sahakian BJ. Cognitive mechanisms of treatment in depression. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2012.
- L46 · 125** Rømer Thomsen K, Whybrow PC, Kringelbach ML. Reconceptualizing anhedonia. *Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience*. 2015.
- L46 · 126** Roozén HG, de Waart R, van der Kroft P. Community reinforcement and family training: a meta-analysis. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*. 2010.
- L46 · 127** Rush AJ et al. Acute and longer-term outcomes in depressed outpatients requiring treatment steps: STAR-D. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 2006.
- L46 · 128** Salamone JD, Correa M. The mysterious motivational functions of mesolimbic dopamine. *Neuron*. 2012.
- L46 · 129** Salter MW, Stevens B. Microglia emerge as central players in brain disease. *Nature Medicine*. 2017.
- L46 · 130** SAMHSA. Treatment Improvement Protocol 63: Medications for Opioid Use Disorder. 2020.
- L46 · 131** Sara SJ. The locus coeruleus and noradrenergic modulation of cognition. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*. 2009.
- L46 · 132** Sarris J et al. Nutritional medicine as mainstream in psychiatry. *The Lancet Psychiatry*. 2015.
- L46 · 133** Saunders BT, Robinson TE. Individual variation in resisting temptation: implications for addiction. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*. 2013.
- L46 · 134** Scammell TE, Arrigoni E, Lipton JO. Neural circuitry of wakefulness and sleep. *Neuron*. 2017.
- L46 · 135** Schuch FB et al. Exercise as a treatment for depression: meta-analysis. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*. 2016.
- L46 · 136** Schultz W. Dopamine reward prediction error coding. *Dialogues in Clinical Neuroscience*. 2016.
- L46 · 137** Shamay-Tsoory SG, Abu-Akel A. The social salience hypothesis of oxytocin. *Biological Psychiatry*. 2016.
- L46 · 138** Shine JM et al. Human cognition involves dynamic integration and segregation of neural activity. *Neuron*. 2019. References - Research Portfolio (8/8)
- L46 · 139** Silvano J, Muggleton N, Walsh V. State-dependency in brain stimulation studies. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*. 2008.
- L46 · 140** Sinha R. Chronic stress, drug use, and vulnerability to addiction. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*. 2008.
- L46 · 141** Slavich GM, Irwin MR. From stress to inflammation and major depressive disorder. *Psychological Bulletin*. 2014.
- L46 · 142** Sobin C, Sackeim HA. Psychomotor symptoms of depression. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 1997.
- L46 · 143** Sordo L et al. Mortality risk during and after opioid substitution treatment. *BMJ*. 2017.
- L46 · 144** Tasan RO et al. The role of neuropeptide Y in fear conditioning and extinction. *Neuropeptides*. 2016.

- L46 · 145** Treadway MT, Buckholtz JW, Schwartzman AN, Lambert WE, Zald DH. Worth the effort? Effort-cost computation in major depression. *PLoS ONE*. 2009.
- L46 · 146** Treadway MT, Zald DH. Reconsidering anhedonia in depression. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*. 2011.
- L46 · 147** Tritsch NX, Sabatini BL. Dopaminergic modulation of synaptic transmission in cortex and striatum. *Neuron*. 2012.
- L46 · 148** U.S. Surgeon General. Facing Addiction in America. 2016.
- L46 · 149** Volkow ND, Koob GF, McLellan AT. Neurobiologic advances from the brain disease model of addiction. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2016.
- L46 · 150** Voon V et al. Disorders of compulsivity: a common bias towards learning habits. *Molecular Psychiatry*. 2015.
- L46 · 151** Walker MP, van der Helm E. Overnight therapy? The role of sleep in emotional brain processing. *Psychological Bulletin*. 2009.
- L46 · 152** Wampold BE, Imel ZE. *The Great Psychotherapy Debate*. Routledge. 2015.
- L46 · 153** White WL. Addiction recovery: its definition and conceptual boundaries. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*. 2007.
- L46 · 154** Wirz-Justice A. Diurnal variation of depressive symptoms. *Dialogues in Clinical Neuroscience*. 2008.
- L46 · 155** World Health Organization. Mental Health Gap Action Programme guideline. 2023.
- L46 · 156** Yerkes RM, Dodson JD. The relation of strength of stimulus to rapidity of habit formation. *Journal of Comparative Neurology and Psychology*. 1908.
- L46 · 157** Zarate CA et al. A randomized trial of an NMDA antagonist in treatment-resistant major depression. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 2006.
- L48 · 1** World Health Organization. Depression fact sheet (2025). <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/depression>
- L48 · 2** Santomauro et al. Global access to minimally adequate depression treatment (2024). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366\(24\)00317-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(24)00317-1)
- L48 · 3** Fried and Nesse. Depression symptom combinations in STAR*D (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2014.10.010>
- L48 · 4** Winter et al. Multimodal neuroimaging classification benchmark (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2023.5083>
- L48 · 5** Mayberg et al. Reciprocal limbic-cortical function in depression (1999). <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/10327898/>
- L48 · 6** Mayberg et al. Deep brain stimulation for treatment-resistant depression (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2005.02.014>
- L48 · 7** Holtzheimer et al. BROADEN SCC-DBS sham-controlled trial (2017). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366\(17\)30371-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(17)30371-1)
- L48 · 8** Riva-Posse et al. Tractography-guided SCC-DBS targeting (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2014.03.029>
- L48 · 9** Osimo et al. Prevalence of low-grade inflammation in depression (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291719001454>
- L48 · 10** Miller and Raison. Inflammation in depression: mechanisms and treatment (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1038/nri.2015.5>
- L48 · 11** Baglioni et al. Insomnia as a predictor of depression: meta-analysis (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2011.01.011>
- L48 · 12** Khalsa et al. Interoception and mental health roadmap (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2017.12.600>
- L48 · 13** Cryan et al. The microbiota-gut-brain axis (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00018.2018>
- L48 · 14** ACOG. Treatment of mental health conditions during pregnancy and postpartum (2023). <https://www.acog.org/clinical/clinical-guidance/clinical-practice-guideline/articles/2023/06/treatment-and-management-of-mental-health-conditions-during-pregnancy-and-postpartum>
- L48 · 15** Bair et al. Depression and pain comorbidity: literature review (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1001/archinte.163.20.2433>
- L48 · 16** Halahakoon et al. Reward-processing alterations in depression: meta-analysis (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2020.2139>
- L48 · 17** Berridge and Robinson. Parsing reward: wanting and liking (2003). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-2236\(03\)00117-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-2236(03)00117-9)
- L48 · 18** Treadway et al. Effort-based decision making in major depression (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0028813>
- L48 · 19** Pulcu and Browning. Misestimation of uncertainty in affective disorders (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2019.07.007>
- L48 · 20** Slavich and Irwin. Social signal transduction theory of depression (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0035302>
- L48 · 21** Friston. The free-energy principle: a unified brain theory? (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2787>
- L48 · 22** Myin-Germeys et al. Experience sampling methodology in mental health research (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20513>
- L48 · 23** Borsboom. A network theory of mental disorders (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20375>
- L48 · 24** Robinaugh et al. The network approach to psychopathology: review (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291719003404>
- L48 · 25** Helmich et al. Critical slowing down in depression: critical review (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s44159-024-00369-y>
- L48 · 26** Smit et al. Early-warning signals before recurrence of depression (2025). <https://doi.org/10.1177/21677026241305136>
- L48 · 27** Cuijpers et al. Psychotherapies for depression: network meta-analysis (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20860>
- L48 · 28** Uphoff et al. Behavioural activation for depression (Cochrane, 2020). <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD013305.pub2>
- L48 · 29** Karyotaki et al. Guided internet CBT: individual participant meta-analysis (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2020.4364>
- L48 · 30** Cipriani et al. Comparative antidepressant efficacy and acceptability (2018). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(17\)32802-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)32802-7)
- L48 · 31** CANMAT Depression Work Group. 2023 guideline update, published 2024. <https://doi.org/10.1177/07067437241245384>
- L48 · 32** NICE. Depression in adults: treatment and management, NG222 (2022). <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng222>
- L48 · 33** VA/DoD. Clinical Practice Guideline for Major Depressive Disorder (2022). <https://www.healthquality.va.gov/guidelines/MH/mdd/>
- L48 · 34** World Health Organization. mhGAP guideline and implementation resources (2023). <https://www.who.int/teams/mental-health-and-substance-use/treatment-care/mental-health-gap-action-programme>
- L48 · 35** Lewis et al. ANTLE antidepressant discontinuation trial (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2106356>
- L48 · 36** Henssler et al. Antidepressant discontinuation symptoms: meta-analysis (2024). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366\(24\)00133-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(24)00133-0)
- L48 · 37** Noetel et al. Exercise for depression: systematic review and network meta-analysis (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj-2023-075847>
- L48 · 38** Cochrane. Exercise for depression (2026 update). <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD004366.pub7>

- L48 · 39** Creatine supplementation for depression: systematic review and meta-analysis (2025). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114525105588>
- L48 · 40** Cochrane. Omega-3 fatty acids for depression in adults. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD004692.pub5> doi:10.1002/14651858.CD004692.pub5
- L48 · 41** Kuyken et al. Mindfulness-based cognitive therapy and depressive relapse (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2016.0076> doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2016.0076
- L48 · 42** Farias et al. Adverse events in meditation practices: systematic review (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1111/acps.13225> doi:10.1111/acps.13225
- L48 · 43** U.S. FDA. SPRAVATO (esketamine) prescribing information (2025). https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/211243s0191b1.pdf
- L48 · 44** Anand et al. ELEKT-D: ketamine versus ECT (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2302399> doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2302399
- L48 · 45** Cole et al. Stanford neuromodulation therapy randomized trial (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2021.20101429> doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2021.20101429
- L48 · 46** Stanley et al. Safety Planning Intervention with follow-up (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2018.1776> doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2018.1776
- L22 · A1** Nicole C. Rust — Elusive Cures: Why Neuroscience Hasn't Solved Brain Disorders—and How We Can Change That; University of Pennsylvania / Princeton University Press context.
- L22 · A2** Karl Friston — Free-energy principle, active inference and predictive processing; University College London.
- L22 · A3** Daniel Durstewitz, Quentin Huys and Georgia Koppe — psychiatric illnesses as disorders of network dynamics; Heidelberg / Central Institute of Mental Health.
- L22 · A4** Marten Scheffer and colleagues — dynamical systems view of psychiatric disorders, tipping points and critical transitions; Wageningen University and collaborators.
- L22 · A5** Lloyd Trefethen and collaborators — hydrodynamic stability without eigenvalues, non-normality, transient growth and pseudospectra; Oxford.
- L22 · A6** Gustavo Deco — metastability and whole-brain dynamics; Pompeu Fabra University / ICREA.
- L22 · A7** Olaf Sporns — connectomics and network neuroscience; Indiana University.
- L22 · A8** Walter Freeman — nonlinear and chaotic neural dynamics; UC Berkeley.
- L23 · 1** Durstewitz, Daniel (Heidelberg University). Psychiatric illnesses as disorders of network dynamics.
- L23 · 2** Scheffer, Marten (Wageningen University). Dynamical systems views of psychiatric disorders and critical transitions.
- L23 · 3** Friston, Karl (University College London). Free energy principle and active inference.
- L23 · 4** Izhikevich, Eugene. Dynamical Systems in Neuroscience.
- L23 · 5** Trefethen, Lloyd N. and Embree, Mark. Spectra and Pseudospectra.
- L23 · 6** Rust, Nicole C. (University of Pennsylvania). Elusive Cures.
- L23 · 7** Deco, Gustavo (Pompeu Fabra University). Metastability and large-scale brain dynamics.
- L23 · 8** Sporns, Olaf (Indiana University). Network neuroscience.
- L23 · 9** Gu, Shi and collaborators. Network controllability of structural brain networks.
- L23 · 10** Carhart-Harris, Robin and collaborators. Entropic brain and relaxed priors.
- L26 · 1** Durstewitz, D., Huys, Q. J. M., & Koppe, G. (2021). Psychiatric illnesses as disorders of network dynamics. *Biological Psychiatry: Cognitive Neuroscience and Neuroimaging*, 6(9), 865-876.
- L26 · 2** Scheffer, M., Bockting, C. L., Borsboom, D., Cools, R., et al. (2024). A dynamical systems view of psychiatric disorders - Theory: A review. *JAMA Psychiatry*, 81(6), 618-623.
- L26 · 3** Borsboom, D. (2017). A network theory of mental disorders. *World Psychiatry*, 16(1), 5-13.
- L26 · 4** Montague, P. R., Dolan, R. J., Friston, K. J., & Dayan, P. (2012). Computational psychiatry. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 16(1), 72-80.
- L26 · 5** Friston, K. (2010). The free-energy principle: a unified brain theory? *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 11, 127-138.
- L26 · 6** Bassett, D. S., & Sporns, O. (2017). Network neuroscience. *Nature Neuroscience*, 20, 353-364.
- L26 · 7** Gu, S., Pasqualetti, F., Cieslak, M., et al. (2015). Controllability of structural brain networks. *Nature Communications*, 6, 8414.
- L26 · 8** Deco, G., Kringelbach, M. L., Jirsa, V. K., & Ritter, P. (2017). The dynamics of resting fluctuations in the brain: metastability and its dynamical cortical core. *Scientific Reports*, 7, 3095.
- L26 · 9** Craske, M. G., Treanor, M., Conway, C. C., Zbozinek, T., & Vervliet, B. (2014). Maximizing exposure therapy: an inhibitory learning approach. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 58, 10-23.
- L26 · 10** Lane, R. D., Ryan, L., Nadel, L., & Greenberg, L. (2015). Memory reconsolidation, emotional arousal, and the process of change in psychotherapy. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 38, e1.
- L26 · 11** Jacobson, N. S., Martell, C. R., & Dimidjian, S. (2001). Behavioral activation treatment for depression: returning to contextual roots. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*, 8(3), 255-270.
- L26 · 12** Hayes, S. C., Luoma, J. B., Bond, F. W., Masuda, A., & Lillis, J. (2006). Acceptance and commitment therapy: model, processes and outcomes. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 44(1), 1-25.
- L26 · 13** Linehan, M. M., Comtois, K. A., Murray, A. M., et al. (2006). Two-year randomized controlled trial and follow-up of dialectical behavior therapy vs therapy by experts for suicidal behaviors and borderline personality disorder. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 63(7), 757-766.
- L26 · 14** VA/DoD. (2023). Clinical Practice Guideline for Management of Posttraumatic Stress Disorder and Acute Stress Disorder.
- L26 · 15** American Psychological Association. (2017). Clinical Practice Guideline for the Treatment of Posttraumatic Stress Disorder.
- L26 · 16** McEwen, B. S. (1998). Protective and damaging effects of stress mediators. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 338(3), 171-179.
- L26 · 17** McEwen, B. S., & Wingfield, J. C. (2003). The concept of allostasis in biology and biomedicine. *Hormones and Behavior*, 43(1), 2-15.
- L26 · 18** Barrett, L. F. (2017). The theory of constructed emotion: an active inference account. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*, 12(1), 1-23.
- L26 · 19** Goldstein, A. N., & Walker, M. P. (2014). The role of sleep in emotional brain function. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*, 10, 679-708.
- L26 · 20** Coan, J. A., & Sbarra, D. A. (2015). Social baseline theory: the social regulation of risk and effort. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 1, 87-91.
- L26 · 21** Duman, R. S., & Aghajanian, G. K. (2012). Synaptic dysfunction in depression: potential therapeutic targets. *Science*, 338(6103), 68-72.
- L26 · 22** Krystal, J. H., Abdallah, C. G., Averill, L. A., et al. (2024). Ketamine and rapid antidepressant action: new treatments and novel synaptic signaling mechanisms. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, 49, 41-56.
- L26 · 23** Carhart-Harris, R. L., & Friston, K. J. (2019). REBUS and the anarchic brain: toward a unified model of the brain action of psychedelics. *Pharmacological Reviews*, 71(3), 316-344.
- L26 · 24** Noetel, M., Sanders, T., Gallardo-Gomez, D., et al. (2024). Effect of exercise for depression: systematic review and network meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *BMJ*, 384, e075847.
- L26 · 25** Massimini, M., Ferrarelli, F., Sarasso, S., et al. (2013). A perturbational approach for evaluating the brain's capacity for consciousness. *Science Translational Medicine*, 5(198), 198ra105.
- L26 · 26** Carhart-Harris, R. L., et al. (2014). The entropic brain: a theory of conscious states informed by neuroimaging research with psychedelic drugs. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 8, 20.
- L26 · 27** Adams, R. A., Stephan, K. E., Brown, H. R., Frith, C. D., & Friston, K. J. (2013). The computational anatomy of psychosis. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 4, 47.
- L26 · 28** Breakspear, M. (2017). Dynamic models of large-scale brain activity. *Nature Neuroscience*, 20, 340-352.

- L26 · 29** Trefethen, L. N., & Embree, M. (2005). *Spectra and Pseudospectra: The Behavior of Nonnormal Matrices and Operators*. Princeton University Press.
- L26 · 30** Trefethen, L. N., Trefethen, A. E., Reddy, S. C., & Driscoll, T. A. (1993). Hydrodynamic stability without eigenvalues. *Science*, 261(5121), 578-584.
- L26 · 31** Nicole C. Rust. (2024). *Elusive Cures: Why Neuroscience Hasn't Solved Brain Disorders - and How We Can Change That*. Princeton University Press.
- L27 · 1** Durstewitz, D., Huys, Q. J. M., & Koppe, G. Psychiatric illnesses as disorders of network dynamics.
- L27 · 2** Scheffer, M. and colleagues. A dynamical systems view of psychiatric disorders: tipping points, cycles, chaos and resilience.
- L27 · 3** Borsboom, D. A network theory of mental disorders.
- L27 · 4** Friston, K. The free-energy principle: a unified brain theory?
- L27 · 5** Montague, P. R., Dolan, R. J., Friston, K. J., & Dayan, P. Computational psychiatry.
- L27 · 6** Khalsa, S. S. and colleagues. Interoception and mental health: a roadmap.
- L27 · 7** Craig, A. D. How do you feel? Interoception and the sense of the physiological condition of the body.
- L27 · 8** Critchley, H. D., Wiens, S., Rotshtein, P., Ohman, A., & Dolan, R. J. Neural systems supporting interoceptive awareness.
- L27 · 9** Critchley, H. D., & Harrison, N. A. Visceral influences on brain and behavior.
- L27 · 10** Garfinkel, S. N., & Critchley, H. D. Interoception, emotion and brain.
- L27 · 11** Seth, A. K. Interoceptive inference, emotion and the embodied self.
- L27 · 12** Seth, A. K., & Friston, K. J. Active interoceptive inference and the emotional brain.
- L27 · 13** Barrett, L. F. The theory of constructed emotion: an active inference account of interoception and categorization.
- L27 · 14** Barrett, L. F., & Simmons, W. K. Interoceptive predictions in the brain.
- L27 · 15** Lisa Feldman Barrett. *How Emotions Are Made*.
- L27 · 16** Damasio, A. *Descartes' Error: Emotion, Reason and the Human Brain*.
- L27 · 17** Bechara, A., & Damasio, A. The somatic marker hypothesis.
- L27 · 18** Cannon, W. B. *The Wisdom of the Body*.
- L27 · 19** Bernard, C. *Lectures on the phenomena of life common to animals and plants; milieu intérieur tradition*.
- L27 · 20** Sterling, P. Allostasis: a model of predictive regulation.
- L27 · 21** McEwen, B. S., & Stellar, E. Stress and the individual: mechanisms leading to disease.
- L27 · 22** McEwen, B. S. Stress, adaptation, and disease: allostasis and allostatic load.
- L27 · 23** McEwen, B. S. Physiology and neurobiology of stress and adaptation.
- L27 · 24** McEwen, B. S., & Gianaros, P. J. Stress- and allostasis-induced brain plasticity.
- L27 · 25** Juster, R. P., McEwen, B. S., & Lupien, S. J. Allostatic load biomarkers of chronic stress and impact on health.
- L27 · 26** Cryan, J. F. and colleagues. The microbiota-gut-brain axis.
- L27 · 27** Foster, J. A., Rinaman, L., & Cryan, J. F. Stress and the gut-brain axis.
- L27 · 28** Dantzer, R., O'Connor, J. C., Freund, G. G., Johnson, R. W., & Kelley, K. W. From inflammation to sickness and depression.
- L27 · 29** Miller, A. H., & Raison, C. L. The role of inflammation in depression.
- L27 · 30** Raison, C. L., Capuron, L., & Miller, A. H. Cytokines sing the blues.
- L27 · 31** Apkarian, A. V. and colleagues. Chronic pain, brain networks and transition from acute to chronic pain.
- L27 · 32** Hashmi, J. A. and colleagues. Shape shifting pain: chronification of back pain shifts brain representation.
- L27 · 33** Goldstein, A. N., & Walker, M. P. The role of sleep in emotional brain function.
- L27 · 34** Walker, M. P., & Stickgold, R. Sleep-dependent learning and memory consolidation.
- L27 · 35** Porges, S. W. The polyvagal perspective.
- L27 · 36** Porges, S. W. Trauma and autonomic state regulation.
- L27 · 37** Varela, F. J., Thompson, E., & Rosch, E. *The Embodied Mind*.
- L27 · 38** Thompson, E. *Mind in Life: Biology, Phenomenology, and the Sciences of Mind*.
- L27 · 39** Clark, A. *Surfing Uncertainty: Prediction, Action, and the Embodied Mind*.
- L27 · 40** Gallagher, S. *How the Body Shapes the Mind*.
- L27 · 41** Tsakiris, M., & Critchley, H. Interoception beyond homeostasis.
- L27 · 42** Paulus, M. P., & Stein, M. B. Interoception in anxiety and depression.
- L27 · 43** Quadt, L., Critchley, H. D., & Garfinkel, S. N. The neurobiology of interoception in health and disease.
- L27 · 44** Farb, N. and colleagues. Interoception, contemplative practice and mental health.
- L27 · 45** Carhart-Harris, R. L., & Friston, K. J. REBUS and the anarchic brain: toward a unified model of psychedelic action.
- L27 · 46** Massimini, M., Casali, A. G. and colleagues. Perturbational complexity index and consciousness.
- L27 · 47** Trefethen, L. N., & Embree, M. *Spectra and Pseudospectra*.
- L27 · 48** Landahl, M. T. The lift-up effect and transient growth in shear flows.
- L27 · 49** Schmid, P. J., & Henningson, D. S. Stability and Transition in Shear Flows.
- L27 · 50** Strogatz, S. H. *Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaos*.
- L27 · 51** Izhikevich, E. M. *Dynamical Systems in Neuroscience*.
- L27 · 52** Sporns, O. *Networks of the Brain*.
- L27 · 53** Bassett, D. S., & Sporns, O. *Network neuroscience*.
- L28 · 1** Coan, J. A., & Sbarra, D. A. (2015). Social Baseline Theory: The social regulation of risk and effort. *Current Opinion in Psychology*.
- L28 · 2** Coan, J. A., Schaefer, H. S., & Davidson, R. J. (2006). Lending a hand: Social regulation of the neural response to threat. *Psychological Science*.
- L28 · 3** Beckes, L., & Coan, J. A. (2011). Social baseline theory: The role of social proximity in emotion and economy of action. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*.
- L28 · 4** Bowlby, J. (1969/1982). *Attachment and Loss, Vol. 1: Attachment*. Basic Books.
- L28 · 5** Ainsworth, M. D. S., Blehar, M., Waters, E., & Wall, S. (1978). *Patterns of Attachment*. Erlbaum.
- L28 · 6** Mikulincer, M., & Shaver, P. R. (2007/2016). *Attachment in Adulthood: Structure, Dynamics, and Change*. Guilford Press.
- L28 · 7** Cacioppo, J. T., & Hawkley, L. C. (2009). Perceived social isolation and cognition. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*.
- L28 · 8** Cacioppo, J. T., & Cacioppo, S. (2018). The growing problem of loneliness. *The Lancet*.
- L28 · 9** Holt-Lunstad, J., Smith, T. B., & Layton, J. B. (2010). Social relationships and mortality risk: A meta-analytic review. *PLOS Medicine*.
- L28 · 10** Holt-Lunstad, J. (2018). Why social relationships are important for physical health. *Annual Review of Psychology*.
- L28 · 11** Slavich, G. M. (2020). Social Safety Theory: A biologically based evolutionary perspective on life stress, health, and behavior. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*.
- L28 · 12** Slavich, G. M. (2023). Social Safety Theory: Conceptual foundation, underlying mechanisms, and future directions. *Health Psychology Review*.
- L28 · 13** Eisenberger, N. I., Lieberman, M. D., & Williams, K. D. (2003). Does rejection hurt? An fMRI study of social exclusion. *Science*.
- L28 · 14** Eisenberger, N. I. (2012). The pain of social disconnection. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*.
- L28 · 15** Lieberman, M. D. (2013). *Social: Why Our Brains Are Wired to Connect*. Crown.
- L28 · 16** Dunbar, R. I. M. (1998). The social brain hypothesis. *Evolutionary Anthropology*.

- L28 · 17** Dunbar, R. I. M. (2009). The social brain hypothesis and its implications for social evolution. *Annals of Human Biology*.
- L28 · 18** Selten, J. P., & Cantor-Graae, E. (2005). Social defeat: Risk factor for schizophrenia? *British Journal of Psychiatry*.
- L28 · 19** Selten, J. P., van der Ven, E., Rutten, B. P. F., & Cantor-Graae, E. (2013). The social defeat hypothesis of schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*.
- L28 · 20** Fonagy, P., Gergely, G., Jurist, E., & Target, M. (2002). *Affect Regulation, Mentalization, and the Development of the Self*. Other Press.
- L28 · 21** Bateman, A. W., & Fonagy, P. (2004). *Psychotherapy for Borderline Personality Disorder: Mentalization-Based Treatment*. Oxford University Press.
- L28 · 22** Bateman, A. W., & Fonagy, P. (2016). *Mentalization-Based Treatment for Personality Disorders*. Oxford University Press.
- L28 · 23** Brown, B. (2006). Shame resilience theory: A grounded theory study on women and shame. *Families in Society*.
- L28 · 24** Brown, B. (2012). *Daring Greatly*. Gotham Books.
- L28 · 25** Christakis, N. A., & Fowler, J. H. (2007). The spread of obesity in a large social network over 32 years. *New England Journal of Medicine*.
- L28 · 26** Christakis, N. A., & Fowler, J. H. (2009). *Connected: The Surprising Power of Our Social Networks and How They Shape Our Lives*. Little, Brown.
- L28 · 27** Van Orden, K. A., Witte, T. K., Cukrowicz, K. C., et al. (2010). The interpersonal theory of suicide. *Psychological Review*.
- L28 · 28** Joiner, T. (2005). *Why People Die by Suicide*. Harvard University Press.
- L28 · 29** World Health Organization & Calouste Gulbenkian Foundation. (2014). *Social Determinants of Mental Health*. WHO.
- L28 · 30** WHO Commission on Social Determinants of Health. (2008). *Closing the gap in a generation*. World Health Organization.
- L28 · 31** Borsboom, D. (2017). A network theory of mental disorders. *World Psychiatry*.
- L28 · 32** Durstewitz, D., Huys, Q. J. M., & Koppe, G. (2020). Psychiatric illnesses as disorders of network dynamics. *Biological Psychiatry: Cognitive Neuroscience and Neuroimaging*.
- L28 · 33** Scheffer, M., et al. (2024). A dynamical systems view of psychiatric disorders - practical implications. *JAMA Psychiatry / related contemporary reviews*.
- L28 · 34** Friston, K. (2010). The free-energy principle: A unified brain theory? *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*.
- L28 · 35** Bassett, D. S., & Sporns, O. (2017). *Network neuroscience*. Nature Neuroscience.
- L28 · 36** Trefethen, L. N., & Embree, M. (2005). *Spectra and Pseudospectra*. Princeton University Press.
- L28 · 37** Schmid, P. J. (2007). Nonmodal stability theory. *Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics*.
- L28 · 38** Landahl, M. T. (1980). A note on an algebraic instability of inviscid parallel shear flows. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*.
- L28 · A1** Strogatz, S. H. *Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaos*. Westview/CRC.
- L28 · A2** Guckenheimer, J., and Holmes, P. *Nonlinear Oscillations, Dynamical Systems, and Bifurcations of Vector Fields*. Springer.
- L28 · A3** Wiggins, S. *Introduction to Applied Nonlinear Dynamical Systems and Chaos*. Springer.
- L28 · A4** Kuznetsov, Y. A. *Elements of Applied Bifurcation Theory*. Springer.
- L28 · A5** Hirsch, M. W., Smale, S., and Devaney, R. L. *Differential Equations, Dynamical Systems, and an Introduction to Chaos*. Academic Press.
- L28 · A6** Ott, E. *Chaos in Dynamical Systems*. Cambridge University Press.
- L28 · A7** Gardiner, C. W. *Handbook of Stochastic Methods*. Springer.
- L28 · A8** Risken, H. *The Fokker-Planck Equation*. Springer.
- L28 · A9** Freidlin, M. I., and Wentzell, A. D. *Random Perturbations of Dynamical Systems*. Springer.
- L28 · A10** Kramers, H. A. Brownian motion in a field of force and the diffusion model of chemical reactions. *Physica*.
- L28 · A11** Trefethen, L. N., and Embree, M. *Spectra and Pseudospectra: The Behavior of Nonnormal Matrices and Operators*. Princeton University Press.
- L28 · A12** Trefethen, L. N., Trefethen, A. E., Reddy, S. C., and Driscoll, T. A. *Hydrodynamic stability without eigenvalues*. Science.
- L28 · A13** Schmid, P. J., and Henningson, D. S. *Stability and Transition in Shear Flows*. Springer.
- L28 · A14** Schmid, P. J. Dynamic mode decomposition of numerical and experimental data. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*.
- L28 · A15** Haller, G. Lagrangian coherent structures. *Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics*.
- L28 · A16** Shannon, C. E. A mathematical theory of communication. *Bell System Technical Journal*.
- L28 · A17** Cover, T. M., and Thomas, J. A. *Elements of Information Theory*. Wiley.
- L28 · A18** MacKay, D. J. C. *Information Theory, Inference, and Learning Algorithms*. Cambridge University Press.
- L28 · A19** Kolmogorov, A. N. Three approaches to the quantitative definition of information. *Problems of Information Transmission*.
- L28 · A20** Crutchfield, J. P., and Young, K. Inferring statistical complexity. *Physical Review Letters*.
- L28 · A21** Tononi, G., Edelman, G. M., and Sporns, O. Complexity and coherency: integrating information in the brain. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*.
- L28 · A22** Casali, A. G., Gosseries, O., Rosanova, M., Boly, M., Sarasso, S., Casali, K. R., et al. A theoretically based index of consciousness independent of sensory processing and behavior. *Science Translational Medicine*.
- L28 · A23** Kalman, R. E. Mathematical description of linear dynamical systems. *Journal of the Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics*.
- L28 · A24** Kirk, D. E. *Optimal Control Theory: An Introduction*. Dover.
- L28 · A25** Slotine, J.-J. E., and Li, W. *Applied Nonlinear Control*. Prentice Hall.
- L28 · A26** Bassett, D. S., and Sporns, O. *Network neuroscience*. Nature Neuroscience.
- L28 · A27** Gu, S., Pasqualetti, F., Cieslak, M., Telesford, Q. K., Yu, A. B., Kahn, A. E., et al. Controllability of structural brain networks. *Nature Communications*.
- L28 · A28** Deco, G., Kringelbach, M. L., Jirsa, V. K., and Ritter, P. The dynamics of resting fluctuations in the brain: metastability and its dynamical cortical core. *Scientific Reports*.
- L28 · A29** Breakspear, M. Dynamic models of large-scale brain activity. *Nature Neuroscience*.
- L28 · A30** Durstewitz, D., Huys, Q. J. M., and Koppe, G. Psychiatric illnesses as disorders of network dynamics. *Biological Psychiatry: Cognitive Neuroscience and Neuroimaging*.
- L28 · A31** Scheffer, M., and colleagues. Dynamical systems perspectives on resilience, tipping points and psychiatric transitions.
- L28 · A32** Borsboom, D. A network theory of mental disorders. *World Psychiatry*.
- L28 · A33** Montague, P. R., Dolan, R. J., Friston, K. J., and Dayan, P. Computational psychiatry. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*.
- L28 · A34** Friston, K. The free-energy principle: a unified brain theory? *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*.
- L28 · A35** Clark, A. *Surfing Uncertainty*. Oxford University Press.
- L28 · A36** Seth, A. K. Interoceptive inference, emotion and the embodied self. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*.
- L28 · A37** Barrett, L. F. The theory of constructed emotion. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*.
- L28 · A38** Bowlby, J. *Attachment and Loss*. Basic Books.
- L28 · A39** Ainsworth, M. D. S., Blehar, M. C., Waters, E., and Wall, S. *Patterns of Attachment*. Erlbaum.
- L28 · A40** Mikulincer, M., and Shaver, P. R. *Attachment in Adulthood*. Guilford.
- L28 · A41** Coan, J. A., and Beckes, L. Social Baseline Theory. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*.
- L28 · A42** Cacioppo, J. T., and Hawkey, L. C. Perceived social isolation and cognition. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*.

- L28 · A43** Holt-Lunstad, J., Smith, T. B., and Layton, J. B. Social relationships and mortality risk: a meta-analytic review. *PLoS Medicine*.
- L28 · A44** Slavich, G. M. Social Safety Theory. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*.
- L28 · A45** Eisenberger, N. I., and Lieberman, M. D. Why rejection hurts: a common neural alarm system for physical and social pain. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*.
- L28 · A46** Dunbar, R. I. M. The social brain hypothesis. *Evolutionary Anthropology*.
- L28 · A47** Fonagy, P., and Bateman, A. Mentalization-based treatment and attachment-related psychopathology.
- L28 · A48** Brown, B. Shame resilience theory. *Families in Society*.
- L28 · A49** Joiner, T. Why People Die by Suicide. Harvard University Press.
- L28 · A50** Van Orden, K. A., Witte, T. K., Cukrowicz, K. C., Braithwaite, S. R., Selby, E. A., and Joiner, T. E. The interpersonal theory of suicide. *Psychological Review*.
- L28 · A51** World Health Organization. Social Determinants of Mental Health. WHO.

THE ARCHIVE BOUNDARY

What Was Recovered—and What Was Not

The portfolio above preserves 821 citation instances from thirteen source-bearing lecture texts. It protects provenance; it does not convert an inherited citation into verified evidence for a present claim. The canonical register therefore remains a separate, independently checked layer of 230 works.

The Drive pass also located early audio lectures L14–L17, but no reliable text transcript was available for reference extraction; L18 overlaps the located social-bonding recording. Exact full-text versions of L20 and L30, and the separate 168-anchor Lecture 48 ledger described in prior production notes, were not located in the accessible corpus. These limits are part of the scientific record and are not silently filled by inference.

Depression can compress possibility without extinguishing value. Recovery begins wherever one safe, credible route becomes reachable again.