

Becoming Without Reinventing Yourself

An extended scientific lecture

Tomer Avraham, PhD

Flow Hijacked

Four parts · Twelve chapters Complete English edition

20 September 2026

How can a person undergo substantial psychological and behavioral change while preserving meaningful continuity?

About this edition

This is a full reading manuscript, not a slide deck. Its four parts can be studied as separate sessions. Illustrative scenes are not reports about identifiable people. The work is scientific and educational, not an individual treatment plan.

The lecture draws on a critical integrative review of 214 publications, including 70 designated core sources. Bibliographic inclusion does not mean every source received full-text appraisal. Access and inference limits are preserved in the lecture and its evidence companion.

The editions preserve parity in content, examples, sources and uncertainty.

Bibliographic titles remain in their original language for accurate identification. Source identifiers in the text link to the bibliography.

Contents

Part 1: What Changes, and What Remains?

1. Changing While Remaining Recognizably Yourself · p. 5
2. Traits, States, Behavior, and Identity · p. 12
3. Stability Does Not Mean Standing Still · p. 20

Part 2: How Does Change Become Possible?

4. The Same Person Across Different Situations · p. 27
5. Practice, Learning, and New Capacities · p. 35
6. The Stories Through Which We Understand Our Lives · p. 42

Part 3: Continuity Through Change

7. What Is Worth Keeping, and What Can We Leave Behind? · p. 49

8. Relationships, Body, and Environment as Conditions for Change · p. 57

9. When an Old Response Returns · p. 64

Part 4: The Flow Hijacked Lens

10. Dynamical Systems: What Does the Evidence Support? · p. 71

11. Deep Change Without Replacing the Self · p. 79

12. What We Know, What We Disagree About, and What Remains Open · p. 86

Followed by evidence notes, terminology, research questions and references.

PART 1 · What Changes, and What Remains?

1. Changing While Remaining Recognizably Yourself

A familiar person at an unfamiliar moment

Imagine a conversation that would once have ended badly. Someone interrupts you. You feel the familiar heat, begin preparing the familiar answer, and then ask what they meant. Nothing cinematic happens. There is no declaration of a new identity. Yet the evening takes a different course. Later, you may recognize both the old impulse and the changed response as yours.

This is an illustrative scene, not a clinical case. It gives us a question more precise than whether people can change: what would have to change for a different response to become available, and what would have to remain for

that response to belong to the same life? A single successful conversation cannot answer it. It might reflect a good night's sleep, an unusually safe relationship, a practiced skill, or chance. Lasting change requires us to follow what happens next.

The central proposition of this lecture is that meaningful change and meaningful continuity can coexist. The qualification matters as much as the proposition. They do not coexist through one demonstrated universal mechanism. Personality development, behavioral learning, autobiographical understanding and social belonging describe different processes. We will follow their connections without pretending that a single word, whether identity, brain or system, explains them all. [M001; F034]

The hidden demands inside “deep change”

We often recognize depth through emotional intensity. A powerful realization feels more consequential than a quiet alteration in routine.

But intensity at one moment is not the same as durability over time. A person can feel transformed on Sunday and repeat the same behavior on Monday. Another person may notice little drama while gradually becoming more reliable across months.

Depth can therefore mean several things: magnitude, persistence, breadth across situations, importance to functioning, or a shift in a valued commitment. These criteria can diverge. A modest change in responding to a child may matter more than a large change in a questionnaire score. A dramatic change in occupation may leave broad personality traits nearly unchanged. We should not force these outcomes onto a single ladder.

For our purposes, a substantial change is one that makes a consequential difference in lived possibilities or functioning and has enough persistence to be distinguished from a passing fluctuation. That is a working definition for this lecture, not a diagnostic threshold. It tells us what to investigate: repeated observations,

context, consequences and the person's own priorities, alongside standardized measures.

Who decides whether you are still yourself?

There is the person who experiences change, the family member who observes it, and the researcher who measures it. They may disagree without any of them being dishonest. The person may feel more authentic while relatives experience unfamiliarity. A questionnaire may show continuity while a major relationship has changed. Recognition is itself an outcome that needs explanation.

Research on identity judgments suggests that moral characteristics often carry unusual weight when people judge whether someone remains the same person. Other valued pursuits also matter, and different questions about identity and the “true self” do not produce identical answers. These studies examine how people interpret identity. They do not discover a

biological essence or determine anyone's personhood. [X001; X002; X004]

That distinction becomes especially important around illness or disability. A change in a person's behavior can be painful or bewildering for others. It does not remove the person's dignity. The question of psychological continuity should help us understand experience, not create a test that people must pass to remain fully human.

A history, not an untouched core

One tempting picture places a permanent core inside us and treats everything else as a replaceable shell. Another treats the person as endlessly editable. Neither picture fits the whole evidence. Dispositions show substantial continuity, but development and learning are real. Memories connect a life, but remembering is reconstructive. Relationships carry expectations, but people can renegotiate them.

A more useful starting point is historical continuity. The person who learns something new is the person shaped by earlier experiences, even when the lesson revises those experiences. A musician acquiring a new technique does not merely uncover a performance that was already complete inside them. The new capacity grows out of an existing body, practice history and social world.

This is a broader scientific inference, not proof of a particular theory of selfhood. It also corrects a possible excess in the Flow Hijacked language of accessibility. Some possibilities become easier to reach. Others have to be built. An account of change needs room for both.
[R163; R085; F021]

What this lecture will and will not settle

The evidence base includes longitudinal research, experiments, systematic reviews, qualitative studies and theory. These sources have different jobs. A trial can estimate the

effect of a specified intervention. A life narrative can illuminate meaning. A mathematical model can establish what follows from its assumptions. None automatically replaces the others.

We will mark five categories: empirical findings, researchers' interpretations, broader scientific inferences, Flow Hijacked synthesis and new hypotheses. An imagined example will remain an imagined example. We will not borrow the authority of neuroscience to make a metaphor sound measured, or the warmth of a personal story to make a general claim sound proven.

The final question is practical as well as scientific. Can we describe change in a way that recognizes constraints without turning them into a sentence, and recognizes agency without making every difficulty a failure of will? The answer begins with a distinction. Before asking how the person changes, we have to ask what, exactly, we are measuring.

2. Traits, States, Behavior, and Identity

Five questions hiding inside one sentence

“She has changed” can mean that she worries less, answers messages sooner, describes herself differently, has joined a new community, or seems less familiar to her partner. Those observations may be connected, but they are not interchangeable. A scientific account needs a vocabulary that protects the differences before trying to explain the connections.

A trait summarizes a relatively enduring tendency. A state is a temporary expression or configuration. A behavior is an action. A self-belief is something a person takes to be true about themselves. An identity can involve a role, a group, a narrative or a felt connection across time. These are working distinctions rather than sealed compartments. Their usefulness is that

they prevent evidence at one level from silently answering a question at another. [R026; R027; M002; F034]

Consider a person who attends a gathering despite feeling anxious. The behavior has changed. Anxiety in that moment may not have changed. Their self-description may still be “I am socially anxious.” Calling the evening a failure because the feeling remained would miss a real change. Calling it a personality transformation would exceed what one evening can show.

A photograph, a film, and a distribution

A single observation resembles a photograph. Repeated observations resemble a film. A trait measure tries to summarize something characteristic across many possible observations. The metaphor is useful as long as we remember that questionnaires do not literally contain all the frames. They ask people

or observers to generalize from memory and judgment.

Experience-sampling research approaches the film more directly by asking people about recent or current experience repeatedly. It reveals substantial variation within the same person. Someone can behave assertively in one setting and quietly in another while still having a characteristic average and pattern of variation. This is the density-distribution insight: a trait need not be present at one constant level every minute. [R026]

A distribution also omits information. Two people might have the same average and spread but different sequences. One may recover quickly after a difficult interaction; another may remain distressed for hours. To study that difference, we need temporal information, not only a histogram. Later, this distinction will matter when we discuss dynamical models.

Stability has more than one meaning

Rank-order stability asks whether people maintain their relative positions compared with others. Mean-level change asks whether the group's average changes. Individual change asks how a particular person's score or behavior develops. Profile stability asks about the pattern across several characteristics. These can produce apparently conflicting answers because they answer different questions.

Imagine a group in which everyone becomes somewhat more conscientious. The average rises, yet everyone's relative position could remain unchanged. Now imagine half the group increases and half decreases. The average might remain stable despite substantial individual change. Neither example is a research result; each is a logical demonstration of why the statistics must be distinguished.

The distinction prevents two familiar mistakes. A high stability correlation does not mean that a specified percentage of a person is fixed. A small average change does not mean that nobody changed substantially. Large longitudinal syntheses support continuity and development together because they measure these properties separately. [M001; R002; R003]

Identity is not a single scale

Narrative identity concerns how a person connects remembered experience, current concerns and anticipated futures. Social identity concerns membership and belonging.

Self-concept clarity concerns how confidently and consistently self-beliefs are held. Temporal self-continuity concerns felt connection across time. A person can change in one of these domains without parallel change in the others.

Joining a recovery community may change social identification before changing a life story. A person can tell a more coherent story while

continuing an unwanted habit. Someone can feel connected to their future while remaining uncertain about their current role. These combinations are not necessarily inconsistencies to be eliminated. They may describe different timescales of development. [M002; R073; F034; M016]

The word identity becomes useful when we state which aspect we mean. Otherwise it becomes an explanation that can fit every outcome after the fact. If action improves, we say identity changed. If action does not improve, we say the identity change was not deep enough. A scientific account needs a way to be wrong.

The measurement can change with the person

Suppose someone rates their patience more harshly after therapy. Have they become less patient, or more aware of impatience? Suppose a person enters a demanding profession and rates their competence lower. Their skills might have improved while their comparison group

changed. A score is produced by both the characteristic and the way the question is understood.

Researchers address this through measurement invariance and related methods. The underlying question is whether a measure means sufficiently the same thing across occasions or groups to justify comparison. No statistical procedure removes every ambiguity, but ignoring the problem makes strong claims of change less secure. [R197]

Multiple methods help. Self-report, observations, informant judgments and behavior can agree or disagree in informative ways. Agreement is not a guarantee of truth, and disagreement is not merely noise. It can reveal that a change is private, context-specific, not yet visible, or partly a change in self-perception. A serious account asks which explanation fits the evidence.

A useful discipline for the rest of the lecture

Whenever we meet a claim of transformation, we will ask four questions. What changed? Compared with what? Over what period? According to which measure? A fifth question follows: did the change matter for the person's life? That last question should complement measurement rather than replace it.

This discipline does not drain change of human meaning. It protects meaning from being overwritten by a convenient label. A person deserves to have a new capacity recognized even if a broad trait score barely moves. They also deserve protection from promises based on a temporary feeling presented as a permanent result.

With the levels separated, we can now take stability seriously. It is not an embarrassment to a hopeful account of change. It is part of the evidence that any credible account must explain.

3. Stability Does Not Mean Standing Still

Taking continuity seriously

A hopeful account of change has no need to deny that people have enduring tendencies. Some people remain more socially outgoing than their peers; others remain more cautious, organized or emotionally reactive. These continuities can shape relationships, work and the environments people enter. They are part of what a theory of change must explain, not inconvenient results to be set aside.

A major 2022 synthesis examined 189 studies of rank-order stability and 276 studies of mean-level change. The two analyses involved 178,503 and 242,542 participants respectively; the totals must not be added as though they were unique people. Stability increased earlier in life and reached a plateau in young adulthood. Mean-level development occurred

alongside that continuity. The result is more informative than either “personality never changes” or “personality is entirely flexible.” [M001]

The older literature had suggested continued increases in stability later into adulthood. The newer synthesis revised that pattern. Scientific understanding improved because the later evidence was allowed to modify an influential account. We should bring the same willingness to revise to the Flow Hijacked interpretation. [R002; M001]

A disposition is a tendency, not a command

A disposition makes some responses more characteristic or likely. It does not dictate the same response under every condition. A cautious person can act bravely. An outgoing person can need solitude. The existence of exceptions does not erase the tendency, just as a tendency does not make an exception unreal.

This distinction has practical consequences. A person who wants to speak more openly need not assume that every trace of reserve must disappear. The important change may concern the situations in which speaking becomes possible, the cost of doing it, or the ability to act despite discomfort. Whether that change eventually shifts a broad trait measure is a further question.

The scientific claim remains bounded. We cannot infer that everyone can reach every desired level of every trait. A change that is easy for one person may require sustained effort or support for another. Describing a tendency probabilistically creates room for variation, not a promise of unlimited malleability. [R026; R027]

Hereditiy is not a personal percentage

Heritability estimates describe variation within studied populations under particular conditions. They do not divide one person's personality into

a fixed genetic portion and a changeable environmental portion. Nor do they tell us what would happen under an intervention that changes the environment in a way absent from the original sample.

A population could become less distressed after a broad improvement in conditions while genetic differences still contribute substantially to variation between people. Conversely, an environmentally caused difficulty may be hard to reverse. The origin of a difference and the ease of changing it are separate questions.

Behavior-genetic syntheses find contributions from both genetic and environmental processes to personality development and continuity. The estimates depend on design and assumptions. People also select and shape their environments, which can reinforce existing tendencies. This is a reciprocal developmental account, not a contest in which nature or nurture must win. [R014; R015; S022]

Why major events do not produce one predictable personality

A new job, parenthood, separation or migration can alter a life profoundly. Yet broad trait changes associated with life events are often small on average and vary across events. A major consequence for daily living need not produce a large movement on a broad personality scale. [S003; S004]

There is also selection. People differ before they enter an event. They may anticipate it, choose it, or experience several transitions at once. A change around the date of an event is not automatically caused by that event alone. Even a strong longitudinal design needs to consider what else changed and who was likely to encounter the event.

The average can conceal different pathways, but we should not invoke hidden heterogeneity whenever a preferred effect is small. Sometimes the average effect is small because the broad trait is genuinely resistant. Sometimes the

measure misses a narrower change. Sometimes opposing trajectories cancel. Those possibilities require evidence, not rescue by storytelling.

Interventions: improvement compared with what?

Personality intervention research provides credible reasons to investigate intentional change. Digital programs, behavioral challenges and clinical interventions have produced changes in trait reports, sometimes with observer corroboration. But a before-and-after improvement is not by itself a treatment effect. Participants might improve through expectations, repeated measurement, regression toward typical levels or other changes in their lives. [R004; R005; R009]

A 2025 series of studies involving 2,094 participants directly sharpened this concern. Participants in comparison conditions changed similarly to those receiving the tested interventions. The finding does not invalidate every personality intervention. It does show why

the comparison group is part of the result, not an administrative detail. [R007]

The responsible conclusion is neither cynicism nor enthusiasm without limits. Some change is observable. The specific contribution, durability and generalization of a program require stronger tests. A program can feel persuasive and still lack evidence that its active ingredients explain the improvement.

The freedom of a bounded claim

There is a quieter kind of hope in a bounded claim. You do not have to believe that every disposition can be replaced to take a new response seriously. Nor must a difficult attempt prove that your traits are destiny. A trait describes a pattern that may be stable, context-sensitive and capable of some development at the same time.

For the listener, the question becomes less theatrical: what would count as a worthwhile change in this particular domain, under these

conditions, over this period? For the researcher, the question becomes more demanding: what comparison would distinguish real intervention effects from the changes that would have occurred anyway?

Both questions bring us closer to the person. They replace a sweeping promise with a testable possibility. The next step is to examine the situations in which that possibility appears.

PART 2 · How Does Change Become Possible?

4. The Same Person Across Different Situations

There is no person without a situation

You may speak differently with a trusted friend, a supervisor and a frightened child. The difference is not necessarily performance or dishonesty. Each relationship changes what is

relevant, expected and possible. The same person brings a history into each situation, and the situation gives that history a particular opportunity to appear.

Person-situation research moved beyond the question of which side matters more. People select environments, interpret them, evoke reactions and alter what happens next. A meeting can be an opportunity for one person and a threat for another. The external event is similar, but its psychological meaning is not identical. [R028; R034; S028]

This does not mean that everything is subjective. Power, time, safety and access are real features of a setting. It means that an account of behavior must attend both to the setting and to the person who encounters it. Neither can be fully understood by deleting the other.

Conditional consistency

A person can be consistent in the way they vary. They may repeatedly become more talkative with familiar people, more cautious under evaluation and more irritable when exhausted. The stable pattern is not one unchanging level of behavior. It is a relation between conditions and responses.

Cognitive-affective accounts made such conditional patterns central. They invite us to ask what a situation activates: expectations, goals, interpretations and affective responses. Those processes are proposed explanations, not directly visible simply because a pattern repeats. Still, they help make sense of a person who is both recognizable and variable. [R028]

In an illustrative workplace example, a colleague speaks readily in a small planning session but rarely in a large review meeting. Calling them either confident or insecure loses information. Their response may depend on preparation time, audience, power differences

or the perceived consequences of error. To understand the pattern, we need to vary or observe those conditions rather than attach a global label.

A distribution is not a collection of separate people

The density-distribution view gives state variation a precise descriptive role. It asks how often a person occupies different levels of a characteristic and how that pattern differs from other people's. Whole Trait Theory then asks what social-cognitive processes help generate the distribution. Description and explanation are deliberately distinguished. [R026; R027]

This is relevant to the language of “different versions of yourself,” but it also places a limit on that language. A broad range of observed states does not prove that the person contains several discrete selves. A distribution may be continuous. It may change because the mix of situations changes rather than because an internal mechanism has been reorganized.

The phrase can remain useful as ordinary language if its meaning is clear. It describes recognizable differences in how one person feels and acts. It should not be presented as a discovery that complete identities are stored inside the brain, waiting for the correct trigger.

Selection, exposure and interpretation

Suppose a person's average social activity increases. They may have become more willing to engage in the same situations. They may have entered a new environment with more opportunities. They may have chosen different situations because of a prior change in motivation. These are different pathways to a similar average outcome.

To separate them, we need information about both exposure and response. How often was an opportunity available? What happened when it was available? Did the person perceive it as safe? Did the cost of participation change? Without those observations, we can mistake a

change in the environment for a change in disposition, or miss a real disposition change hidden by restricted opportunity.

This is a scientific reason to take social conditions seriously. It is also a humane reason. Someone who seldom participates may lack access, time or safety rather than interest. The explanation must be investigated, not selected because it fits an encouraging or blaming story. [S028; R119]

From a temporary opening to a lasting pattern

A supportive setting can make a different response possible today. Lasting change asks whether something is learned, practiced, reinforced or carried into tomorrow. The temporary opening might disappear when the setting changes. Alternatively, it might create a new experience that changes expectations and future choices.

The TESSERA framework proposes a connection between recurring situations, expectations, states, reactions and reflection over time. It is a useful organizing model for the accumulation problem. It does not establish that every state change becomes trait change or that one sequence explains every developmental pathway. [M024]

A rigorous test would follow the relevant moments, not only ask about personality before and after a program. It would examine whether the proposed sequence occurred, whether it predicted later change and whether competing explanations fit as well. That is more demanding than describing a program as transformative, but it is also more informative.

A condition worth examining

A modest observational exercise can clarify the distinction without pretending to be treatment. Choose one recurring behavior and notice when it becomes easier or harder. Record the setting, the demand, the available support and what

actually happened. Do not turn a handful of observations into a diagnosis or a universal rule.

The purpose is to replace “I am always like this” with a question that can be investigated.

Perhaps the pattern really is broad. Perhaps it is concentrated under fatigue or evaluation.

Perhaps it depends on a relationship. Each possibility suggests a different explanation, and none should be assumed in advance.

This is where the Flow Hijacked lens is most useful as a synthesis: look for the conditions under which action becomes accessible. Its limit must remain visible. Changing conditions may help reveal or practice a capacity, but a capacity that has never been learned may still have to be built.

5. Practice, Learning, and New Capacities

The difference between wanting and doing

A wish to change can matter without being sufficient. It identifies a direction, but it does not automatically supply a skill, an opportunity or a way to respond when the familiar difficulty appears. Volitional personality research makes this distinction visible: change goals and actual change are related imperfectly, and completing behavioral challenges is different from endorsing a desired future. [R006; R009; S017]

Imagine someone who wants to become more dependable. “Be a reliable person” is a meaningful aspiration, but it does not specify what happens when a task is ambiguous, a message arrives late, or exhaustion makes avoidance attractive. The relevant learning may involve estimating time, clarifying expectations,

communicating a delay or reducing the number of simultaneous commitments.

These are illustrative possibilities, not a universal program. Their value is that they convert a global self-judgment into processes that can be observed. The person may still care deeply about identity. But the route from caring to doing requires more than a declaration.

Repetition changes some things, not everything

Habit research concerns learned tendencies to respond to recurring cues. Repetition matters, but repetition in a stable context is not identical to learning a flexible skill. A routine can become easier to initiate while remaining poorly adapted to unusual circumstances. Conversely, a skilled action may continue to require attention and judgment. [R085; R086]

Automaticity, frequency and identity should therefore remain separate. A person can perform something often and deliberately. They

can respond automatically without liking the outcome. They can identify strongly with an activity they rarely perform because opportunity is limited. Counting repetitions alone does not tell us which process has changed.

The familiar claim that a habit takes a fixed number of days is especially misleading. The often-cited median of 66 days came from a particular model and sample, with large variation and some estimates extending beyond the observation period. It is not a deadline by which a person should have succeeded. [R087]

Plans are bridges, not magic words

Implementation intentions connect a specified situation with a specified action. Their usefulness lies in making a response easier to initiate when the relevant cue appears. “If the meeting ends, I will write the next action before opening another task” is different from “I will become organized.” Research supports benefits for goal enactment across studied settings,

while effects depend on the task and comparison. [R097; R098]

A plan cannot supply resources that do not exist. It cannot remove every competing demand or make a dangerous setting safe. Nor does successful use of a plan prove that personality has changed. It may be a local tool that helps a valued action happen.

This is not a lesser achievement. Much of life is organized through arrangements that make desired behavior easier. The scientific mistake is not using a simple tool. It is inflating the tool's effect into a claim about the whole person.

Learning something that was not there before

The language of unlocking potential can be humane when it counters hopelessness. It becomes inaccurate when it suggests that all capacities are already fully formed. Learning a language, setting a boundary or recognizing a bodily signal may require new knowledge and

practice. Better conditions can make learning possible without replacing learning itself.

We should distinguish performance under support from independent transfer. A person may act effectively with a prompt but struggle without it. That does not make the supported performance false. It shows what the current arrangement can produce. Whether the capacity becomes more independent is an empirical question, not a moral test.

A good account of development includes scaffolding. Support can reduce demands while a skill is acquired. Sometimes support can later be reduced; sometimes maintaining it remains appropriate. Independence is not the only meaningful outcome. Reliable participation with well-designed support may be a more humane and realistic goal.

Transfer is the difficult part

A response learned in one context may not appear in another. The conditions that make a behavior easy in a workshop may be absent during conflict, fatigue or time pressure. This is why an intervention should not be judged only by performance while its supports are present. Generalization is part of the outcome, not an optional extra. [R093; R156]

There are several possible explanations for a failure to transfer. The original learning may have been narrow. The new setting may contain stronger competing cues. The cost of the action may be higher. The person may need a different skill rather than more of the same practice. These possibilities should be distinguished before concluding that commitment is absent.

A new response that appears inconsistently can still be informative. It demonstrates possibility under some conditions, but not yet reliability across conditions. That is a more precise

description than either “the person has changed completely” or “nothing has changed.”

What practice contributes to a life

Practice can change fluency, expectations, attention and the probability of initiating a response. It can also provide evidence that revises self-beliefs: an action once considered impossible becomes something the person has actually done. The causal order need not be identical in every case. Identity can support action, action can reshape identity, and a common environment can support both. [F010; S050]

For Flow Hijacked, the defensible synthesis is that repeated action and supportive conditions can participate in changing what becomes readily possible. The stronger claim that practice necessarily reshapes a formal identity landscape remains a hypothesis. We do not need that stronger claim to recognize the importance of learning.

The next question concerns meaning. When an action changes, how does it become part of the story a person tells about their life? And when a story changes first, how much does it change what happens outside the story?

6. The Stories Through Which We Understand Our Lives

A life contains more than one possible account

Two accounts can describe the same sequence of events and give it different meanings. One emphasizes failure; another notices persistence. One treats a turning point as rescue; another recognizes the work that followed. Neither becomes true merely because it is more hopeful. A useful account must remain answerable to what happened while allowing meaning to develop.

Narrative identity research studies how people connect remembered episodes, present concerns and imagined futures. It does not claim that all thought is a story or that a person is nothing but language. It examines a level of organization through which experience becomes intelligible. [M002; R039]

An illustrative distinction helps. “I have never been capable” is a general conclusion. “I struggled in that setting, then learned under different conditions” is a more differentiated account. The second may be more accurate, but accuracy must come from the history, not from its reassuring tone. Scientific care and humane writing should support each other here.

Agency and communion

Researchers often examine agency, the sense of being able to influence events, and communion, the importance and quality of connection with others. These themes can be coded in life narratives. They are not simply scores for being

a good person, and they are not identical to actual control or actual social support.

A person may narrate agency while facing severe constraints. Another may have considerable resources but experience little influence. A story rich in connection may reflect supportive relationships, a cultural narrative convention or both. Coding a narrative gives us information about meaning, not an unobstructed view of every condition that produced it. [T005]

Narrative measures can contribute information beyond broad personality traits. That supports the value of studying them. It does not establish that changing a coded theme will necessarily change well-being. Incremental prediction and causal influence are different achievements. [R041]

The important disagreement

Some longitudinal work in psychotherapy suggests that changes in narrative agency can precede improvement. That sequence is

relevant, but therapy changes many things at once. Greater agency in a story might participate in change, reflect emerging action, or track another process such as hope or engagement. [M017]

A later study following adults across nine years of late midlife found associations between people's average narrative themes and their later functioning, but did not find comparable predictive value in the small within-person changes in those themes. This does not settle every narrative question. It shows why differences between people cannot be assumed to describe what happens when one person's story changes. [F007]

The studies concern different ages, settings and measurements. A fair lecture should preserve those differences rather than choose the result that best fits its thesis. The question remains open: under what conditions does narrative change cause, accompany or follow changes in living?

Stories are told to someone

Autobiographical remembering often happens in conversation. Listeners invite some details, ignore others, affirm interpretations and sometimes challenge them. The social construction of memory does not mean the past is invented at will. It means that remembering and explaining occur within relationships.

[R046]

A person may find a more adequate account when someone listens without reducing them to a diagnosis or a mistake. But a listener can also press for an acceptable story: recovery must be triumphant, grief must end in wisdom, adversity must reveal a gift. Cultural master narratives can make some lives easy to recognize and others difficult to tell. [F026]

There is a moral and scientific reason to resist compulsory redemption. A person can integrate harm without calling it beneficial. They can move forward without claiming that suffering was necessary. The quality of an account

includes its honesty about loss, not only its capacity to produce a satisfying ending.

Growth reported and growth measured

Retrospective reports of posttraumatic growth can express meaningful changes in interpretation. They do not always correspond to prospectively measured increases in capacities or well-being. A person looking back may reconstruct the earlier self through the lens of the present. That is one reason a before-and-after self-story differs from repeated measurement taken at the relevant times.

[R178]

This distinction should not be used to dismiss testimony. “I understand my life differently” is a real report about present meaning. It becomes a different claim when translated into “the event objectively improved these abilities.” The first does not automatically establish the second.

We can preserve both dignity and accuracy by asking which change is being described. Perhaps the person has developed a new interpretation, a new behavior, a new relationship or all three. Each deserves its own evidence. There is no need to discredit meaning because it is not a laboratory measure, or to disguise meaning as a causal estimate.

A story that leaves room

A helpful narrative need not explain everything. It can hold uncertainty, contradiction and unfinished questions. It can connect the present to the past without treating the past as a script for every future. It can acknowledge responsibility without compressing a person into the worst thing they have done.

For *Flow Hijacked*, this is a synthesis rather than a universally tested intervention: a life story may become more usable when it describes conditions, actions and possibilities with enough specificity to permit another move. “This is who I am” can sometimes become “This

is a pattern I know, and these are the circumstances in which it appears.” Whether that shift changes behavior must still be tested.

We arrive at a delicate question. If stories can change, which connections should remain? Continuity can be a source of care and orientation. It can also preserve a role that no longer serves the person. The next chapter asks how to distinguish them.

PART 3 · Continuity Through Change

7. What Is Worth Keeping, and What Can We Leave Behind?

Continuity with what?

The instruction “remain yourself” sounds reassuring until we ask what it means. Remain loyal to a value? Preserve a relationship? Continue a harmful routine? Keep the same account of a painful event? Continuity is not one

substance that can simply be increased. It is a connection with something, across some interval, for some purpose.

Research distinguishes connections with the past, the future and a more general sense of continuity across life. Those connections can rest on attributes, memories, values, relationships or cultural belonging. A person can feel continuous while changing substantially, if the change makes sense within their history. They can also feel disconnected while familiar traits remain stable. [F034; T003]

This helps explain why continuity cannot be treated as universally good. Preserving care for a child is different from preserving a self-definition built around shame. Both can feel deeply familiar. Their familiarity does not decide whether they deserve the same future.

When distance helps

A new beginning can create distance from an earlier failure. A temporal landmark, such as the start of a term or a personally meaningful date, can make a goal feel newly approachable.

Research on fresh starts examines this motivational effect. It does not show that a calendar boundary erases learning or makes continued effort unnecessary. [M026]

Discontinuity can also connect a person with a valued earlier life by highlighting a gap between that life and the present. Other forms of discontinuity may threaten belonging or make a desired future feel alien. Studies of continuity and change therefore depend heavily on the reference point: which self is being approached, and which is being left behind? [T001; T002]

A useful question is not simply whether to keep or break continuity. It is whether a particular connection supports responsibility, care and possible action, or traps the person inside an account that has become too narrow. That

judgment involves personal and ethical priorities as well as psychological evidence.

The future person who will inherit today

Future-self research asks whether feeling connected to one's future can influence present choices. Some experiments use age-progressed images or other procedures to make the future more concrete. Effects on immediate choices and allocations provide evidence that the representation of the future can matter. They do not demonstrate that imagining a future reliably creates it. [R064; R065; S040]

Possible selves add another dimension. They include hoped-for and feared ways of being, shaped by available models and social opportunities. A possible self can organize motivation, but it needs a plausible route into action. A vivid picture without an accessible path may remain an image rather than a guide. [M003; R068]

Continuity with the future should also allow uncertainty. The future person may value things differently. Caring for that person does not require assuming that every current preference will remain. We can preserve concern while leaving room for development.

Many roles, one life

A person may be a parent, a colleague, a friend, a student and someone managing a long-term condition. These roles can provide resources and alternative sources of meaning. They can also compete for time and create incompatible expectations. More roles are not automatically better.

The self-complexity literature is important because it challenged the idea that one total self-definition is always desirable. Yet later synthesis did not establish a general protective effect of greater complexity. The content, compatibility and resources attached to roles matter. A crowded life can be rich or

exhausting, and a simple role count cannot tell us which. [R074; M021; S045]

Coherence need not mean behaving identically everywhere. It can mean that differences remain understandable and that important commitments can be negotiated across settings. This is an integrative interpretation, not a single validated score for a healthy identity.

Culture changes the question

In some settings, continuity is strongly connected with stable personal attributes. In others, relationships, roles or collective belonging may carry more weight. Large cross-cultural work challenges the assumption that one Western model of a consistent individual self can be treated as a universal standard. [T003; R188]

This does not divide the world neatly into independent and interdependent people. Cultural patterns combine in more varied ways. People also move among contexts and inherit

conflicting expectations. The scientifically useful question is which bases of continuity matter here, rather than which culture supposedly has the correct self.

A lecture about personal change should therefore avoid making separation from others the definition of freedom. It should also avoid treating loyalty to a group as automatically beneficial. Both autonomy and belonging can be supported or constrained by the content of a relationship and the conditions surrounding it.

Selective continuity

The Flow Hijacked synthesis proposed here is selective continuity: preserve connections that carry chosen meaning and responsibility while allowing other patterns to change. This is a conceptual principle, not a tested treatment package. It should not be imposed on a person whose priorities differ.

An illustrative reflection might separate three questions. What do I want to carry forward? What has become too costly to preserve unchanged? What do I not yet know? The third question matters. A person need not decide the whole future before trying a different action.

Continuity then becomes less like guarding a museum and more like caring for a living history. Some things remain because they are valuable. Some change because their earlier form no longer serves the life that carries them. The evidence does not choose those values for us, but it can prevent us from mistaking familiarity for necessity.

8. Relationships, Body, and Environment as Conditions for Change

The person does not end at the edge of a questionnaire

A questionnaire is convenient because it travels easily from one setting to another. A life does not. It includes sleep, money, obligations, physical surroundings and people who respond to what we do. If these conditions are omitted, a problem of opportunity can be mistaken for a problem of character.

The capability, opportunity and motivation framework is useful because it forces us to consider more than intention. It is an organizing framework, not proof that every proposed combination will work. Its contribution is to make neglected conditions visible before an intervention is designed. [R119]

Imagine someone who repeatedly misses an evening class. We could infer low commitment. We could also ask about transport, shift work, care responsibilities and exhaustion. None of those explanations should be assumed. The point is that they belong in the investigation before a verdict about the person's identity.

What relationships make available

Representations of significant others include expectations, memories and associated ways of responding. Relational-self research examines how cues to those relationships can influence present perception and action. A familiar person may evoke confidence, vigilance, playfulness or restraint. These are not separate people hidden inside us; they are context-sensitive responses shaped by a shared history. [R103]

Relationships can also change actual demands. Someone may help plan a task, provide transport, share responsibility or make it safer to admit uncertainty. These practical contributions should not disappear behind a

vague claim that connection regulates everything. Support has content, timing and conditions.

A relationship can constrain as well as enable. An old role may be repeatedly assigned to a person who is trying to change. A group may reward behavior that conflicts with the person's priorities. Belonging is important, but the question is always: belonging to what, on what terms, and with what consequences?

Groups and the evidence for benefit

Social-identity interventions offer an opportunity to move beyond general statements about belonging. Trials of Groups 4 Health have examined a specific package designed to build and sustain social connections. Evidence supports improvements in particular outcomes, including loneliness and social anxiety, in the studied population and follow-up period. [R115]

The package effect does not prove that identity alone caused the improvement. Participants receive activities, attention, opportunities and a social setting. A mechanism claim needs additional evidence separating those ingredients. The distinction does not diminish the outcome. It tells us what can be carried into a broader explanation.

Similarly, longitudinal associations between relationships and self-esteem suggest reciprocal relevance, but do not by themselves establish a causal feedback loop within every person. The structure of a group-level analysis can differ from an individual's process. [R116; R196]

The body changes the cost of action

Sleep-loss experiments show that bodily conditions can alter affect and performance. A person who has slept poorly may experience less positive affect, more anxiety or greater difficulty sustaining attention. These are evidence-based

state effects. They are not proof that one night has changed the person's identity. [R125; R126]

This matters because an action can remain physically possible while becoming much more costly. A patient response may require more effort under exhaustion. A task that was manageable yesterday may exceed today's available attention. The difference should not automatically be interpreted as inconsistency of values.

At the same time, we should not turn sleep into a universal explanation. Stress, illness, medication effects, environmental demands and learning history can also matter, and their contributions vary. The scientific habit is to identify specific measured conditions rather than invoke “the nervous system” as an explanation that ends the inquiry.

Material conditions are not merely mindset

Randomized housing-mobility research demonstrates that changing opportunities and surroundings can affect outcomes. The intervention changes a bundle of conditions, potentially including schools, peers, safety and stress. Effects vary by population and developmental timing. It is therefore evidence for the importance of conditions, not a simple law that moving always helps. [R121; T007]

Scarcity research raises a related but more contested issue. Financial strain can impose direct constraints, uncertainty and cognitive demands. The broad claim that scarcity uniformly reduces a single mental bandwidth is less secure than it is sometimes presented. Material hardship matters even when one proposed psychological mechanism is disputed. [R120; R123]

A person cannot think their way into transport that does not exist or time already consumed by care work. Conversely, changing resources does not guarantee that every learned response will disappear. An adequate account includes both conditions and learning, without using either to erase the other.

Agency with support

A systems perspective should not make the person vanish. People interpret, choose, resist, practice and seek help within constraints. Agency is not the absence of influences. It is exercised through capacities and opportunities that have a history and can sometimes be altered.

The Flow Hijacked synthesis is that changing the conditions of action can be part of personal change itself. It need not be dismissed as an external workaround. A quieter room, a trustworthy relationship or a manageable commitment may allow a capacity to become reliable. Whether that reliability generalizes

beyond the arrangement remains an empirical question.

The humane implication is specific. Before interpreting a repeated difficulty as a failure of identity, examine the demands and supports under which it occurs. This does not excuse every action or eliminate responsibility. It makes responsibility more concrete by asking what arrangement could support a different action next time.

9. When an Old Response Returns

The meaning of a difficult day

A person has responded differently for weeks, then reacts in a familiar way during an argument. It is tempting to interpret the moment as a revelation: the improvement was superficial, the old self was the real one, nothing truly changed. That conclusion moves much faster than the evidence.

One recurring response cannot tell us whether learning disappeared, whether a new skill was inaccessible under stress, whether the context changed, or whether several gains remain intact. Nor should we automatically call the moment harmless. Its consequences may be serious. The task is to understand the event at the right level, with attention to both history and present risk.

An illustrative setback is therefore not a verdict on identity. It is an observation that requires explanation. The question is what returned, under which conditions, and what can still be done now. This framing is a Flow Hijacked synthesis informed by learning research, not a claim that every setback has the same mechanism. [R156; R157]

New learning can coexist with earlier learning

Extinction research studies reductions in learned responding when expected outcomes no longer occur. In many paradigms, the reduction

does not mean that all earlier learning has been erased. Responding can return with a context change, the passage of time or other specified events. These findings support the continuing relevance of earlier learning under bounded conditions. [R156]

The distinction is powerful but easy to overextend. A conditioned response is not a complete identity. Evidence that fear can return does not prove that an entire former self remained intact. Nor does it establish that nothing can ever be unlearned. The exact claim concerns particular learning procedures, responses and retrieval conditions.

The humane implication is that recurrence need not erase every gain. The scientific implication is that maintenance and transfer must be tested. Both are stronger when we keep the claim narrow rather than make it universal.

Learning to act while a feeling remains

Inhibitory-learning approaches to exposure emphasize new learning and retrieval across contexts, rather than treating immediate fear reduction as the only relevant outcome. A person may learn that an anticipated consequence does not occur, or that they can act while uncertainty remains. The clinical application depends on the problem and should not be turned into unsupervised advice for every listener. [R157]

For the broader question of change, the conceptual point is that an old feeling and a new action can coexist. An anxious sensation does not automatically mean that a new response is unavailable. But it may increase the difficulty of using it, and some situations require support or professional care.

We should resist the demand that successful change must feel effortless. At the same time, endless strain is not proof of success. Cost,

functioning and recovery after effort belong in the assessment alongside visible behavior.

The promise and limits of memory updating

Reconsolidation research examines conditions under which reactivated memories may become open to modification. It has generated important experiments and attractive public claims. The difficulty is that a behavioral change does not always uniquely identify the biological process responsible. Timing, memory strength, task design and competing mechanisms matter. [S066]

A prominent retrieval-extinction result suggested that the return of fear could be reduced through a specific procedure. Later registered and other replication attempts did not consistently reproduce the effect. This does not refute every form of reconsolidation. It does block a general promise that briefly reactivating and reframing a memory reliably erases its influence. [R160; S067; S069]

The lecture therefore makes no promise of removing the past. Changed meaning, reduced responding and altered retrieval are different possible outcomes. Each needs its own evidence and should not be sold under one dramatic word such as erasure.

Recovery is larger than one label

Research on recovery from substance-use problems repeatedly identifies relationships, roles, resources and identity as relevant. Much of the identity evidence is qualitative or observational. It illuminates pathways and meaning without proving that adopting one label causes sustained recovery. [M016; M019; R173]

Some people reconnect with valued earlier roles. Others build forms of belonging they did not previously have. A recovery identity may provide structure and recognition; it may also become one part of a broader life over time. Neither pathway should be imposed as the correct sequence for everyone. [R168; F033]

Evidence for a specific treatment outcome must also remain distinct from evidence for an identity mechanism. The Cochrane review of AA and twelve-step facilitation supports particular abstinence comparisons. It does not isolate the causal effect of calling oneself a person in recovery. [X005]

Returning is also a capacity

An account of change focused only on uninterrupted success misses the ability to respond after disruption. Can the person recognize what happened, repair harm where possible, seek support and resume a valued action? Those responses can matter even when an earlier pattern reappears.

This is not a reason to romanticize setbacks or minimize danger. It is a reason to evaluate more than a binary success-failure label. A pattern's frequency, intensity, consequences and duration can change separately. So can the availability of support and the speed of re-engagement.

The Flow Hijacked synthesis is that change can include a different relationship to disruption. That proposition is useful only if it leads to observable questions rather than a comforting explanation for every outcome. We now need a language for patterns over time that is precise enough to investigate them without turning every curve into a metaphorical landscape.

PART 4 · The Flow Hijacked Lens

10. Dynamical Systems: What Does the Evidence Support?

“Dynamic” is the beginning of a question

Calling a person dynamic can mean only that they change over time. Formal dynamical analysis asks more: what variables describe the system, how do they evolve, and what observations could distinguish one model from

another? A graph that rises and falls is not by itself evidence of nonlinearity, an attractor or a phase transition.

This distinction protects a promising scientific language from becoming an all-purpose metaphor. Mathematical models can sharpen questions about stability, persistence and transitions. Their usefulness depends on whether the modeled variables and mechanisms correspond adequately to the phenomenon being studied. A model can be internally correct and empirically wrong about a person. [R038; R193]

The Flow Hijacked lens draws inspiration from such models. Inspiration should produce more precise questions, not permission to skip measurement. We therefore need to separate formal definitions, demonstrated applications and proposed extensions to identity.

A distribution, a transition, a landscape

A distribution tells us how often states are observed. A transition model tells us something about how one state follows another. A landscape representation adds further assumptions about the organization of those dynamics. These objects are related in some models but are not interchangeable.

Imagine two people who spend equal amounts of time feeling calm and distressed. One alternates rapidly; the other remains in each condition for long periods. Their state frequencies could look similar while their temporal organization differs. A distribution alone cannot identify the sequence or the process generating it.

A landscape picture can be useful for explaining a specified model, but not every psychological process has a simple potential landscape. Directional cycles, changing contexts and nonstationary influences may require a different representation. A basin drawn on a page is not a

measured map of someone's self. [R026; R038; F024; M007]

What the technical words require

An attractor is a set approached by trajectories from a specified region under specified dynamics. Multistability means more than one stable attracting regime within the model. A bifurcation concerns a qualitative change in dynamics as a parameter varies. Hysteresis concerns dependence on the path of change, such that reversing a parameter does not simply retrace the earlier transition.

Metastability has several technical uses and must be defined within the relevant modeling tradition. It should not be used merely as an impressive synonym for feeling temporarily settled. Formal work on motor coordination and neural dynamics is important, but its success does not automatically validate a theory of personal identity. [M014; M006; M007]

Nonlinearity and nonnormality are also different concepts. In a linear system with a nonnormal operator, disturbances can sometimes grow transiently even when the long-term dynamics are stable. Nonlinearity concerns a different mathematical property. Neither property can be inferred from an emotionally intense reaction alone. Their relevance to a psychological case requires an identified model and data.

Early warnings and the risk of seeing too much

Critical slowing down describes slower recovery near certain losses of stability under particular assumptions. Researchers have investigated whether related signals in affect can precede transitions in depression. The idea is scientifically interesting because it produces observable predictions. It is also difficult to use reliably at the individual level. [R135; F004]

A study of formerly depressed people discontinuing antidepressant medication found some early-warning patterns, but sensitivity was

low. The population, measurement schedule and outcome matter. This is not a general tool for predicting when anyone is about to undergo a personal transformation, and it is not medication guidance. [F005]

Complex affect measures can also add limited information beyond simpler summaries in some datasets. Complexity should earn its place through better explanation or prediction. A more elaborate model is not necessarily a more accurate one. [F015]

Networks of self-beliefs

A network can represent many different things: statistical associations, temporal dependencies, social relationships or beliefs about how traits influence one another. The meaning of an edge determines what conclusions are possible. A correlation is not automatically a causal connection, and a highly central node is not automatically the best intervention target. [M015; R146]

Computational self-belief studies provide a more direct bridge to the present question. They examine how feedback is incorporated and how perceived dependencies among self-beliefs constrain updating. These are empirical advances because the tasks and models specify what is being measured. Their scope remains narrower than an entire person's identity across years of life. [M020; F003; F020; F021]

Recent network and active-inference theories of self propose broader integrations. They belong in the frontier of the lecture as theories. Their publication does not establish their superiority over other explanations. Distinctive predictions and credible comparisons remain necessary. [F001; F002]

What would persuade a skeptic?

Suppose we propose that personal change is best explained by altered transition probabilities among accessible states. A convincing test would define the states, observe them repeatedly, model context and measurement

error, and compare predictions with simpler trait, learning and contextual models. It would test new observations, not only fit the data used to build the model.

If the complex model adds no reliable predictive value, we should revise it. If apparent transitions disappear when measurement or context is handled differently, the original interpretation weakens. If the model works only after flexible choices made with knowledge of the outcome, independent replication becomes essential.

This is not hostility toward dynamical thinking. It is the way to make dynamical thinking scientifically consequential. The strongest version of the Flow Hijacked lens is one willing to discover where its preferred language helps, where it does not, and where a simpler explanation is enough.

11. Deep Change Without Replacing the Self

Returning to the opening scene

We began with a conversation that could have ended in a familiar argument. The person felt the old impulse and responded differently. We can now describe the moment without making it either trivial or miraculous. A state, an action, a relationship and a history of learning may all be relevant. A single event does not establish which contribution mattered most.

If the response becomes more reliable, we can ask whether the person practices a skill, encounters different demands, interprets the other person differently or receives support that was previously absent. We can also ask what remains: concern for the relationship, memories, a temperament, a valued commitment. Change and continuity are not

competing totals that must add to one hundred percent.

This is the central synthesis of the lecture. A person can develop through alterations in several connected processes while retaining meaningful continuities. The evidence supports that compatibility more strongly than it supports one unified mechanism explaining every case.

[M001; R026; F034]

An accessible action is not a complete future

The Flow Hijacked language of accessible possibilities is most defensible when tied to a specified action, state or opportunity. Can the person initiate a conversation under these conditions? Can they remain in a difficult task for this period? Can they seek support after disruption? These questions can be observed.

“Becoming anyone” is a different and unsupported promise. Possibility depends on capacities, resources, histories and constraints.

A person may learn something new, but not without limits. A supportive environment can change the range of feasible actions without making every action feasible.

The synthesis therefore includes acquisition as well as access. Some change makes existing capacities easier to use. Some creates new capacities. Some changes the environment so that a previously irrelevant capacity becomes useful. These pathways may interact, but they should not be collapsed into a single metaphor of unlocking. [R085; M024; F021]

A new arrangement can be a real change

People sometimes discount progress because it depends on support. “I only manage because I use reminders.” “I am calm only with someone I trust.” “The routine works only when the workload is reasonable.” These statements may identify limits on generalization, but they do not establish that nothing has changed.

Human functioning routinely depends on tools, relationships and environments. A new arrangement can create a meaningful and sustainable difference. The empirical question is how reliable the arrangement is, what it costs and what happens when circumstances change. The ethical question is whether the person has fair access to the support they need.

This does not make all dependence harmless or all arrangements desirable. A support can become controlling, fragile or exhausting. The point is to evaluate it concretely rather than treat unsupported performance as the only authentic form of ability. [R119; R121; R115]

Four dimensions of a worthwhile change

A useful evaluation can consider possibility, reliability, cost and recovery. Possibility asks whether a response can occur at all. Reliability asks how often it occurs in relevant situations. Cost asks what it demands in effort, time and

resources. Recovery asks what happens after interruption or difficulty.

These dimensions are proposed here as a Flow Hijacked organizing framework. They are not a validated composite scale. Their purpose is to prevent one visible success from standing in for the whole process. A response may become more reliable while remaining costly. Its cost may decrease before a broad trait score changes. Recovery may improve while setbacks still occur.

The framework would need research testing before claims of predictive or clinical value. It could fail if its dimensions cannot be measured distinctly or add no information beyond existing measures. Stating that possibility makes the proposal stronger, not weaker, because it gives inquiry somewhere to go.

Responsibility without total self-condemnation

A conditions-based account can be misunderstood as removing responsibility. That is not necessary. Explaining how an action became likely does not decide whether the action was acceptable. Understanding fatigue, fear or social pressure can inform prevention without erasing harm or the need for repair.

Conversely, total self-condemnation can make responsibility less specific. “I am irredeemable” says little about what happened, who was affected or what must change. A more concrete account can acknowledge the action and identify the conditions, skills and commitments relevant to preventing repetition.

This is an ethical synthesis informed by the lecture, not an experimentally proven rule for every conflict. It invites a distinction between taking an action seriously and turning that action into an exhaustive definition of the

person. A life can remain answerable for its history without being reduced to one event.

A person in development

The phrase “without reinventing yourself” should not become another demand to stay familiar. Some people want major discontinuity, and some circumstances require profound reorganization. The title rejects the assumption that total replacement is the necessary price of meaningful change. It does not forbid a new name, role, community or understanding of life.

A person in development is neither an untouched essence nor an infinitely editable object. There are enduring tendencies, acquired capacities, changing states, social expectations, bodily conditions and memories that continue to matter. Their interactions can make some futures easier and others harder without providing a complete prediction of who the person will become.

We end this synthesis with a modest but consequential possibility: the next useful change may concern how a life is organized, not a verdict about whether its owner is the right kind of person. To preserve the scientific integrity of that possibility, we must finish by stating what remains unknown.

12. What We Know, What We Disagree About, and What Remains Open

Where the evidence converges

The literature supports meaningful personality continuity alongside development. It supports substantial variation in momentary expression. It shows that learning, relationships and material conditions can matter for behavior and functioning. It also shows that people organize their lives through self-beliefs, narratives and belonging. These conclusions do not require a

claim that the entire self is replaced. [M001; R026; R085; R121; M002]

The convergence is strongest at this plural level. Different processes can change at different rates, and important continuities can remain. The evidence becomes weaker when we ask whether one process is the master explanation of every substantial change. Narrative, identity, prediction and dynamics each illuminate part of the question, but none earns universal authority merely by offering a broad vocabulary.

The scientifically defensible answer to the title is therefore a qualified yes. Becoming different while remaining connected to one's history is possible. What changes, what remains, and which mechanism matters must be investigated in the particular domain.

Where disagreement is productive

The personality-intervention literature asks how much observed change is specific to the intervention. Narrative research asks whether

changing the story changes life, or sometimes records change occurring elsewhere.

Self-continuity research asks when connection helps and when distance opens a route.

Habit-identity research asks about causal direction. [R007; M017; F007; T001; T002; F010]

These are not inconveniences to remove before publication. They are the places where the next explanation can become more precise. A contradiction may disappear when measures or populations are distinguished. It may also remain a genuine conflict requiring new evidence. We should not resolve it by choosing the warmer story.

A careful public lecture can keep disagreement visible without making every conclusion sound equally uncertain. Strong evidence deserves clear wording. A plausible interpretation deserves a label. An untested proposal deserves a test.

What is missing from the central question?

Few studies measure substantial behavioral change and several forms of continuity together. A trait study may not measure identity. A self-continuity experiment may not follow behavior long enough. A recovery narrative may provide rich meaning without a comparison group. The question that motivated the lecture is broader than most individual studies. [F034; M001; M019]

A stronger design would combine repeated behavior, state sampling, traits, self-beliefs, social recognition and functioning. It would follow people long enough to observe maintenance and disruption. It would include contexts beyond educated, self-selected samples and test whether measures retain meaning across languages and cultures.

This is not a demand that one study measure everything perfectly. It is a map of the bridges still needed. Without those bridges, a synthesis

can be thoughtful and useful while remaining a synthesis rather than a demonstrated unified mechanism.

How the Flow Hijacked account could be wrong

A serious framework must name evidence that would weaken it. If context-sensitive transition measures add no reliable information beyond simpler models, their explanatory priority decreases. If changing conditions has no effect in a specified domain despite a strong design, the proposed pathway needs revision. If a new skill explains improvement better than altered access to an existing one, the accessibility account must make room for acquisition.

The four proposed dimensions of possibility, reliability, cost and recovery also need testing. They may overlap too much, be difficult to measure or fail to predict meaningful outcomes. A framework does not become useful merely because its terms sound humane.

These possible failures are not threats to the project. They are opportunities to keep it intellectually honest. The goal is to understand change well enough that the framework can be corrected by the lives and data it claims to describe.

Reading the evidence companion

The pages that follow are part of the lecture's scientific foundation. They explain how to read different study designs, summarize consequential findings and mark limitations. The bibliography preserves original publication titles and DOI links. A source's presence does not mean every claim in it has been accepted.

The underlying review is a critical integrative review, not a preregistered systematic review with independent duplicate screening. Access varied across sources, and not every full text or numerical detail was independently extracted. This lecture preserves those limits and avoids building its argument on unavailable precision. The evidence cutoff is 20 September 2026.

The English and Hebrew editions follow the same argument, examples and evidential boundaries. Their sentences are not mechanically identical because clear scientific communication has to sound natural in each language. The shared content, not word-for-word resemblance, is the standard of parity.

A final return to the person

At the beginning, someone paused in a conversation. We still cannot explain that moment completely. We can now ask better questions about it. What was learned? What support was present? What did the situation demand? What mattered enough to make another response worth attempting? What happened the next time?

Those questions leave room for both persistence and surprise. A life carries its history, but history does not specify every next action. A person can remain responsible for what has happened while building capacities that did not

exist before. They can keep a commitment while changing the way it is lived.

Becoming does not require a promise that you can become anyone. It asks for a more exact and generous attention to how people actually develop. The next possibility may be small, supported and uncertain. If it is real, it belongs to the life already here.

Evidence companion: reading the science carefully

1. A corpus is not a count of proofs

The underlying corpus contains 214 distinct publications and 70 designated core sources. It includes empirical studies, reviews, theoretical papers and methodological work. These are not 214 independent demonstrations of the same proposition. Several papers can draw on one cohort, while a meta-analysis can include

studies that also appear individually in the bibliography. Adding their sample sizes would create an inflated total.

The lecture therefore draws conclusions at the level of claims. Evidence can be strong for an association and weak for a proposed cause. A source can be central because it challenges the argument, not because it supports it. The bibliography is a route for scrutiny, not a substitute for reasoning.

2. What a longitudinal study adds

Repeated measurement can establish temporal order and describe development. It can distinguish a person's earlier and later scores in a way that a single retrospective judgment cannot. Yet following people through time does not randomly assign their experiences. Selection, unmeasured circumstances and reciprocal influence can remain.

A variable measured first may predict another without causing it. Both may reflect a stable difference between people. This is why within-person and between-person questions require distinct analyses. A group-level association should not be converted directly into a personal mechanism. [R193; R196]

3. Reading an intervention effect

An effect size must be attached to its comparison. A standardized change from baseline is different from a difference in change between an intervention and a control group. Two groups can improve substantially and still show little evidence that the tested program added benefit.

The personality-change literature provides a concrete example of this problem. The 2024 review and the 2025 control studies should be read together, not treated as slogans for or against change. They differ in design and estimand. A numerical effect detached from

those details can create more confidence than the study warrants. [R006; R007]

4. A null result is not a universal prohibition

A study that does not find a significant effect can reflect a small effect, noisy measurement, inadequate precision or the absence of the proposed effect under the tested conditions. The conclusion depends on uncertainty and design. “Not statistically significant” does not automatically mean that two processes are identical.

At the same time, repeated well-designed null findings cannot be dismissed whenever they are inconvenient. They can place important limits on a claim. The narrative and reconsolidation examples in the lecture demonstrate why negative evidence belongs inside the argument. [F007; S067; S069]

5. Who stayed in the study?

Longitudinal and intervention findings depend partly on who remains available for follow-up. Participants facing greater instability or difficulty may be less likely to complete later assessments. The remaining group can then give an overly favorable picture even when every reported observation is accurate.

Attrition does not automatically invalidate a study. It requires examination of the missingness pattern, the assumptions behind the analysis and the difference between recruited and retained participants. The long interval from adolescence to old age in one personality study makes such questions particularly important. [R016]

6. Which outcome improved?

Symptoms, trait scores, functioning, identity and quality of life are different outcomes. Improvement in one can occur without equal improvement in another. A treatment can

reduce distress without restoring employment or belonging. A person can regain participation while still managing symptoms.

Personal-recovery frameworks make this distinction explicit through connectedness, hope, identity, meaning and empowerment. They broaden the outcome conversation without proving that every dimension causes the others. The lecture uses them to protect the difference between symptom change and the recovery of a life. [R171]

7. The evidence for habits

The habit literature supports the importance of repeated responding in recurring contexts, but measurement is difficult. Self-reported automaticity, frequency and observed behavior are not interchangeable. A person may report that an action feels automatic because it is frequent or easy, even when the exact learning mechanism is not isolated.

The recent habit-formation review also contains heterogeneous designs and risk-of-bias concerns. Its pooled changes do not justify a universal schedule for habit acquisition. Use the literature to ask about cues, repetition and transfer, not to set a deadline that becomes another reason for self-blame. [R085; R087; R096]

8. What qualitative research can show

Interviews and narrative studies can reveal meanings, sequences and social processes that a short questionnaire misses. They can identify how people understand a role, experience stigma or describe a change in belonging. Their strength is not determined solely by the number of participants.

Their limits are equally specific. A small qualitative sample cannot establish prevalence or isolate a treatment effect. Recovery narratives can illuminate possible pathways without proving that everyone follows them.

Respecting the method means neither dismissing it as anecdote nor asking it to answer a different question. [M019; R170; F033]

9. A brain result does not settle identity

Neural measures can add information about a defined task or computation. They do not automatically identify the unique location of a psychological construct. Activation during self-related processing is not a discovery of a permanent self-center. A model-fitting result is also conditional on the candidate models that were compared.

The computational self-belief studies are valuable because they specify feedback, representations and updating rules. The inference should remain at that level unless additional evidence justifies a broader claim. General talk about rewiring would obscure rather than strengthen their contribution. [F003; F023; M020]

10. The timescale is part of the mechanism

A process observed across minutes need not explain development across years. A relationship between daily states may disappear when observations are averaged across months. Conversely, a long-term trajectory can miss the short-lived changes through which learning occurs.

The choice of sampling interval therefore shapes what can be discovered. A model of recovery after perturbation needs measurements frequent enough to observe recovery. A model of trait development needs enough duration to distinguish enduring change from fluctuation. The bridge between timescales is a research question, not a free inference. [M024; F027; R038]

11. Culture is more than a disclaimer

A measure translated into another language may still carry the assumptions of its original setting. Words for consistency, agency and obligation can have different relationships to valued functioning. Measurement equivalence and culturally grounded interpretation are therefore part of the substantive question.

Cross-cultural selfhood research and work on the Big Five outside familiar industrialized settings challenge easy universalization. These findings do not imply that comparison is impossible. They require careful validation and an openness to forms of coherence that are not organized around one individualistic ideal.

[R188; T003; X006]

12. What would make the next study stronger?

A strong next study would define change and continuity separately, specify the proposed mechanism, use credible comparisons and measure outcomes that matter beyond self-description. It would register important analytical choices in advance, report uncertainty and adverse outcomes, and test predictions outside the data used to build the model.

It would also investigate material conditions rather than treat them as background noise. A mechanism that works only where time, safety and support are abundant may still be useful, but its reach must be described honestly. Generality should be demonstrated, not inferred from the elegance of a theory. [R007; R119; R197]

13. Source access and verification limits

Bibliographic metadata and primary abstracts were available for many records, while full-text access was uneven. Some detailed sample and effect fields remain unextracted in the underlying research materials. The lecture does not fill those gaps with guessed numbers. Correction and retraction status has not been freshly cleared across the entire corpus.

Before an academic submission, pivotal numerical claims should receive a final full-text and correction check, and the review should undergo independent appraisal. These limits qualify the publication status of the research foundation. They do not justify concealing counterevidence or replacing careful attribution with certainty.

14. Five labels to keep beside the text

An empirical result describes what the data show under the study's conditions. An authors' interpretation describes the explanation the researchers favor. A broader scientific inference connects evidence across sources. A Flow Hijacked synthesis integrates that evidence into the project's conceptual language. A new hypothesis proposes something that remains to be tested.

These labels are not levels of literary confidence. They are different kinds of statement. A beautifully written synthesis remains a synthesis. A modestly worded experimental result remains a result. The reader should be able to tell which kind of statement is being made without having to guess from tone.

Working vocabulary and questions for discussion

A vocabulary that preserves distinctions

Trait: a relatively enduring tendency summarized across observations or judgments. It is not a response that must occur in every situation.

State: a temporary expression or configuration. A state can matter greatly without establishing a lasting trait change.

Behavior: an action. A person may act differently before feeling differently or describing themselves differently.

Self-concept: beliefs and representations about oneself. Their accuracy, clarity and organization are separate questions.

Narrative identity: the evolving organization of autobiographical experience, present concerns and anticipated futures into an account of a life.

Social identity: an aspect of self-understanding connected with group membership. Its effects depend partly on the group's norms, resources and relationships.

Temporal self-continuity: felt connection across time. Its basis may include attributes, memories, values, relationships or belonging.

Rank-order stability: preservation of relative positions between people. It can coexist with changes in everyone's absolute level.

Mean-level change: change in a group's average. It does not describe every individual's trajectory.

Generalization: the extension of learning or performance beyond the setting in which it was acquired or supported.

Automaticity: a feature of responding that can develop with learning and repetition. It is not identical to frequency, intention or identity.

Measurement invariance: the requirement that a measure retain sufficiently comparable meaning across groups or occasions for the intended comparison.

Attractor: a set approached under a specified dynamical model. A recurring feeling is not sufficient evidence that an attractor has been identified.

Metastability: a technical concept whose meaning must be specified within the model and research tradition. It is not a generic label for temporary psychological balance.

Flow Hijacked synthesis: the project's integration of evidence into a conceptual account. It remains distinguishable from the findings that inform it.

Four conversations after the lecture

After Part I, choose a claim of personal change and identify what was actually observed. Was it an action, a feeling, a trait score, a role or a story? What other information would be needed before calling it enduring change?

After Part II, examine one illustrative behavior in two settings. Which demands and supports differ? What would distinguish a missing skill from a skill that is difficult to use in that situation? Keep the example hypothetical if discussing it in a group.

After Part III, discuss a continuity that can help and a continuity that can constrain. Avoid deciding which identity another person should keep. Ask instead what the connection preserves, what it costs and whose priorities are being served.

After Part IV, select one Flow Hijacked hypothesis and design a fair test. Name a simpler alternative, a meaningful outcome and a result that would weaken the hypothesis. If no possible result would count against it, the proposal needs sharper definition.

Questions to carry into further reading

What is the unit of change in this paper? What is the relevant comparison? How long were participants followed? Who was missing from the sample? Was the proposed mechanism measured or inferred? Does the conclusion concern an individual process or differences between people? Which observations would justify transferring the finding to another population?

These questions are not a ritual of doubt. They make it possible to be confident for the right reasons. They also allow the lecture to remain useful as the literature changes. A durable scientific resource preserves the route from

evidence to claim, so that a new result can revise the appropriate part without forcing the whole account to collapse.

References and further reading

This list contains all 214 publications in the underlying review. CORE marks the 70 central sources. Other records provide background, criticism and further reading and are not necessarily cited in the lecture. Each link leads to the publication DOI.

S024 · (2021). Whole traits: Revealing the social-cognitive mechanisms constituting personality's central variable. *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology*, 69-128.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.aesp.2020.11.002>

M017 · CORE · Adler, J. M. (2012). Living into the story: Agency and coherence in a longitudinal study of narrative identity development and mental health over the course of psychotherapy. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 102(2), 367-389.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/a0025289>

R041 · CORE · Adler, J. M.; Lodi-Smith, J.; Philippe, F. L.; Houle, I. (2016). The Incremental Validity of Narrative Identity in Predicting Well-Being. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 20(2), 142-175.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/1088868315585068>

S029 · Adler, J. M.; Turner, A. F.; Brookshier, K. M.; Monahan, C.; Walder-Biesanz, I.; Harmeling, L. H.; Albaugh, M.; McAdams, D. P.; Oltmanns, T. F. (2015). Variation in narrative identity is associated with trajectories of mental health over several years. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 108(3), 476-496.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/a0038601>

F001 · CORE · Aggarwal, A.; Hughes, B. L. (2026). Wired for coherence: a network theory of self. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2026.06.015>

R103 · CORE · Andersen, S. M.; Chen, S. (2002). The relational self: An interpersonal social-cognitive theory. *Psychological Review*, 109(4), 619-645.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295x.109.4.619>

S020 · Asadi, S.; Mohammadi Dehaj, H.; Robinson, O. (2020). Personality change goals and plans as predictors of longitudinal trait change in young adults: A replication with an Iranian sample. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 85, 103912.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrp.2020.103912>

R159 · Auber, A.; Tedesco, V.; Jones, C. E.; Monfils, M. H.; Chiamulera, C. (2013). Post-retrieval extinction as reconsolidation interference: methodological issues or boundary conditions?. *Psychopharmacology*, 226(4), 631-647.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00213-013-3004-1>

S028 · Back, M. D.; Baumert, A.; Denissen, J. J. A.; Hartung, F. M.; Penke, L.; Schmukle, S. C.; Schönbrodt, F. D.; Schröder-Abé, M.; Vollmann, M.; Wagner, J.; Wrzus, C. (2011). PERSOC: A Unified Framework for Understanding the Dynamic Interplay of Personality and Social Relationships. *European Journal of Personality*, 25(2), 90-107.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/per.811>

S017 · CORE · Baranski, E.; Gray, J.; Morse, P.; Dunlop, W. (2020). From desire to development? A multi-sample, idiographic examination of volitional personality change. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 85, 103910.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrp.2019.103910>

S009 · Baranski, E.; Members of the International Situations Project; Gardiner, G.; Lee, D.; Funder, D. C. (2021). Who in the world is trying to change their personality traits? Volitional personality change among college students in six continents. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 121(5), 1140-1156.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/pspp0000389>

R107 · Baumeister, R. F.; Leary, M. R. (1995). The need to belong: Desire for interpersonal attachments as a fundamental human motivation. *Psychological Bulletin*, 117(3), 497-529.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.117.3.497>

F024 · Beck, E. D.; Jackson, J. J. (2020). Consistency and change in idiographic personality: A longitudinal ESM network study. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 118(5), 1080-1100.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/pspp0000249>

S007 · Beck, E. D.; Jackson, J. J. (2022). A mega-analysis of personality prediction: Robustness and boundary conditions. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 122(3), 523-553.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/pspp0000386>

T003 · CORE · Becker, M.; Vignoles, V. L.; Owe, E.; Easterbrook, M. J.; Brown, R.; Smith, P. B.; Abuhamdeh, S.; Cendales Ayala, B.; Garðarsdóttir, R. B.; Torres, A.; Camino, L.; Bond, M. H.; Nizharadze, G.; Amponsah, B.; Schweiger Gallo, I.; Prieto Gil, P.; Lorente Clemares, R.; Campara, G.; Espinosa, A.; Yuki, M.; Zhang, X.; Zhang, J.; Zinkeng, M.; Villamar, J. A.; Kusdil, E.; Çağlar, S.; Regalia, C.; Manzi, C.; Brambilla, M.; Bourguignon, D.; Möller, B.; Fülöp, M.; Macapagal, M. E. J.; Pyszczyński, T.; Chobthamkit, P.; Gausel, N.; Kesebir, P.; Herman, G.; Courtois, M.; Harb, C.; Jalal, B.; Tatarko, A.; Aldhafri, S.; Kreuzbauer, R.; Koller, S. H.; Mekonnen, K. H.; Fischer, R.; Milfont, T. L.; Des Rosiers, S. E.; Jaafar, J. L.; Martin, M.; Baguma, P.; Lv, S.; Schwartz, S. J.; Gavreliuc, A.; Fritsche, I.; González, R.; Didier, N.; Carrasco, D.; Lay, S. (2018). Being oneself through time: Bases of self-continuity across 55 cultures. *Self and Identity*, 17(3), 276-293.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/15298868.2017.1330222>

M016 · Best, D.; Beckwith, M.; Haslam, C.; Alexander Haslam, S.; Jetten, J.; Mawson, E.; Lubman, D. I. (2016). Overcoming alcohol and other drug addiction as a process of social identity transition: the social identity model of recovery (SIMOR). *Addiction Research & Theory*, 24(2), 111-123.

<https://doi.org/10.3109/16066359.2015.1075980>

S005 · Bleidorn, W.; Hill, P. L.; Back, M. D.; Denissen, J. J. A.; Hennecke, M.; Hopwood, C. J.; Jokela, M.; Kandler, C.; Lucas, R. E.; Luhmann, M.; Orth, U.; Wagner, J.; Wrzus, C.; Zimmermann, J.; Roberts, B. (2019). The policy relevance of personality traits. *American Psychologist*, 74(9), 1056-1067.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/amp0000503>

S002 · Bleidorn, W.; Hopwood, C. J.; Back, M. D.; Denissen, J. J. A.; Hennecke, M.; Hill, P. L.; Jokela, M.; Kandler, C.; Lucas, R. E.; Luhmann, M.; Orth, U.; Roberts, B. W.; Wagner, J.; Wrzus, C.; Zimmermann, J. (2021). Personality Trait Stability and Change. *Personality Science*, 2(1), e6009.

<https://doi.org/10.5964/ps.6009>

R012 · Bleidorn, W.; Hopwood, C. J.; Lucas, R. E. (2018). Life Events and Personality Trait Change. *Journal of Personality*, 86(1), 83-96.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/jopy.12286>

S022 · Bleidorn, W.; Kandler, C.; Caspi, A. (2014). The Behavioural Genetics of Personality Development in Adulthood, Classic, Contemporary, and Future Trends. *European Journal of Personality*, 28(3), 244-255.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/per.1957>

S021 · Bleidorn, W.; Klimstra, T. A.; Denissen, J. J. A.; Rentfrow, P. J.; Potter, J.; Gosling, S. D. (2013). Personality Maturation Around the World. *Psychological Science*, 24(12), 2530-2540.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797613498396>

M001 · CORE · Bleidorn, W.; Schwaba, T.; Zheng, A.; Hopwood, C. J.; Sosa, S. S.; Roberts, B. W.; Briley, D. A. (2022). Personality stability and change: A meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. *Psychological Bulletin*.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/bul0000365>

T002 · CORE · Blondé, J.; Falomir-Pichastor, J. M. (2026). Self-Discontinuity as a Barrier to Identity and Behaviour Change: Evidence From Smoking Cessation. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 56(2), 316-330.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/ejsp.70044>

R018 · Blonigen, D. M.; Carlson, M. D.; Hicks, B. M.; Krueger, R. F.; Iacono, W. G. (2008). Stability and Change in Personality Traits From Late Adolescence to Early Adulthood: A Longitudinal Twin Study. *Journal of Personality*, 76(2), 229-266.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6494.2007.00485.x>

S070 · Bonanno, G. A. (2004). Loss, Trauma, and Human Resilience: Have We Underestimated the Human Capacity to Thrive After Extremely Aversive Events?. *American Psychologist*, 59(1), 20-28.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066x.59.1.20>

R134 · CORE · Borsboom, D. (2017). A network theory of mental disorders. *World Psychiatry*, 16(1), 5-13.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20375>

R143 · Borsboom, D.; Cramer, A. O. J. (2013). Network Analysis: An Integrative Approach to the Structure of Psychopathology. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*, 9(1), 91-121.

<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-050212-185608>

S064 · Borsboom, D.; Cramer, A. O. J.; Schmittmann, V. D.; Epskamp, S.; Waldorp, L. J. (2011). The Small World of Psychopathology. *PLoS ONE*, 6(11), e27407.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0027407>

F016 · Bos, E. H.; De Jonge, P. (2014). “Critical slowing down in depression” is a great idea that still needs empirical proof. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111(10).

<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1323672111>

R156 · CORE · Bouton, M. E. (2004). Context and Behavioral Processes in Extinction. *Learning & Memory*, 11(5), 485-494.

<https://doi.org/10.1101/lm.78804>

R083 · Branje, S.; de Moor, E. L.; Spitzer, J.; Becht, A. I. (2021). Dynamics of Identity Development in Adolescence: A Decade in Review. *Journal of Research on Adolescence*, 31(4), 908-927.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/jora.12678>

R014 · CORE · Briley, D. A.; Tucker-Drob, E. M. (2014). Genetic and environmental continuity in personality development: A meta-analysis. *Psychological Bulletin*, 140(5), 1303-1331.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/a0037091>

R146 · CORE · Bringmann, L. F.; Elmer, T.; Epskamp, S.; Krause, R. W.; Schoch, D.; Wichers, M.; Wigman, J. T. W.; Snippe, E. (2019). What do centrality measures measure in psychological networks?. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 128(8), 892-903.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/abn0000446>

R147 · Bringmann, L. F.; Lemmens, L. H. J. M.; Huibers, M. J. H.; Borsboom, D.; Tuerlinckx, F. (2015). Revealing the dynamic network structure of the Beck Depression Inventory-II. *Psychological Medicine*, 45(4), 747-757.

<https://doi.org/10.1017/s0033291714001809>

S044 · Brown, J. M.; Stein, J. S. (2022). Putting prospection into practice: Methodological considerations in the use of episodic future thinking to reduce delay discounting and maladaptive health behaviors. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 10, 1020171.

<https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2022.1020171>

R183 · Bury, M. (1982). Chronic illness as biographical disruption. *Sociology of Health & Illness*, 4(2), 167-182.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9566.ep11339939>

S003 · CORE · Bühler, J. L.; Orth, U.; Bleidorn, W.; Weber, E.; Kretzschmar, A.; Scheling, L.; Hopwood, C. J. (2024). Life Events and Personality Change: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *European Journal of Personality*, 38(3), 544-568.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/08902070231190219>

R073 · CORE · Campbell, J. D.; Trapnell, P. D.; Heine, S. J.; Katz, I. M.; Lavalley, L. F.; Lehman, D. R. (1996). Self-concept clarity: Measurement, personality correlates, and cultural boundaries. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 70(1), 141-156.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.70.1.141>

S067 · CORE · Chalkia, A.; Schroyens, N.; Leng, L.; Vanhasbroeck, N.; Zenses, A. K.; Van Oudenhove, L.; Beckers, T. (2020). No persistent attenuation of fear memories in humans: A registered replication of the reactivation-extinction effect. *Cortex*, 129, 496-509.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cortex.2020.04.017>

T009 · Chandler, M. J.; Lalonde, C. (1998). Cultural Continuity as a Hedge against Suicide in Canada's First Nations. *Transcultural Psychiatry*, 35(2), 191-219.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/136346159803500202>

R174 · Chen, G. (2024). Identity Construction in Recovery from Substance Use Disorders. *Journal of Psychoactive Drugs*, 56(1), 109-116.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/02791072.2022.2159592>

T007 · Chetty, R.; Hendren, N.; Katz, L. F. (2016). The Effects of Exposure to Better Neighborhoods on Children: New Evidence from the Moving to Opportunity Experiment. *American Economic Review*, 106(4), 855-902.

<https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.20150572>

R021 · CORE · Chopik, W. J.; Kitayama, S. (2018). Personality change across the life span: Insights from a cross-cultural, longitudinal study. *Journal of Personality*, 86(3), 508-521.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/jopy.12332>

R152 · Clark, A. (2013). Whatever next? Predictive brains, situated agents, and the future of cognitive science. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 36(3), 181-204.

<https://doi.org/10.1017/s0140525x12000477>

R112 · Coan, J. A.; Sbarra, D. A. (2015). Social Baseline Theory: the social regulation of risk and effort. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 1, 87-91.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2014.12.021>

M008 · Coan, J. A.; Schaefer, H. S.; Davidson, R. J. (2006). Lending a Hand. *Psychological Science*, 17(12), 1032-1039.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9280.2006.01832.x>

R163 · Conway, M. A.; Pleydell-Pearce, C. W. (2000). The construction of autobiographical memories in the self-memory system. *Psychological Review*, 107(2), 261-288.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295x.107.2.261>

S010 · Costa, P. T.; Herbst, J. H.; McCrae, R. R.; Siegler, I. C. (2000). Personality at Midlife: Stability, Intrinsic Maturation, and Response to Life Events. *Assessment*, 7(4), 365-378.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/107319110000700405>

M005 · CORE · Cramer, A. O. J.; Van Der Sluis, S.; Noordhof, A.; Wichers, M.; Geschwind, N.; Aggen, S. H.; Kendler, K. S.; Borsboom, D. (2012). Dimensions of Normal Personality as Networks in Search of Equilibrium: You Can't like Parties if you Don't like People. *European Journal of Personality*, 26(4), 414-431.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/per.1866>

R157 · CORE · Craske, M. G.; Treanor, M.; Conway, C. C.; Zbozinek, T.; Vervliet, B. (2014). Maximizing exposure therapy: An inhibitory learning approach. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 58, 10-23.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2014.04.006>

S055 · Cruwys, T.; Steffens, N. K.; Haslam, S. A.; Haslam, C.; Jetten, J.; Dingle, G. A. (2016). Social Identity Mapping: A procedure for visual representation and assessment of subjective multiple group memberships. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 55(4), 613-642.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/bjso.12155>

M026 · Dai, H.; Milkman, K. L.; Riis, J. (2014). The Fresh Start Effect: Temporal Landmarks Motivate Aspirational Behavior. *Management Science*, 60(10), 2563-2582.

<https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.2014.1901>

R123 · de Bruijn, E. J.; Antonides, G. (2022). Poverty and economic decision making: a review of scarcity theory. *Theory and Decision*, 92(1), 5-37.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11238-021-09802-7>

R070 · de Hoog, N.; Pat-El, R. (2024). Social identity and health-related behavior: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Social Science & Medicine*, 344, 116629.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2024.116629>

F015 · Dejonckheere, E.; Mestdagh, M.; Houben, M.; Rutten, I.; Sels, L.; Kuppens, P.; Tuerlinckx, F. (2019). Complex affect dynamics add limited information to the prediction of psychological well-being. *Nature Human Behaviour*, 3(5), 478-491.

<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-019-0555-0>

R131 · Derryberry, D.; Reed, M. A. (1994). Temperament and the self-organization of personality. *Development and Psychopathology*, 6(4), 653-676.

<https://doi.org/10.1017/s0954579400004727>

S048 · Diehl, M.; Hay, E. L. (2011). Self-Concept Differentiation and Self-Concept Clarity across Adulthood: Associations with Age and Psychological Well-Being. *The International Journal of Aging and Human Development*, 73(2), 125-152.

<https://doi.org/10.2190/ag.73.2.b>

R168 · Dingle, G. A.; Cruwys, T.; Frings, D. (2015). Social Identities as Pathways into and out of Addiction. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 6.

<https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2015.01795>

M019 · CORE · Donaldson, S. R.; Radley, A.; Dillon, J. F. (2023). Transformation of identity in substance use as a pathway to recovery and the potential of treatment for hepatitis C: a systematic review. *Addiction*, 118(3), 425-437.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/add.16031>

S053 · Drigotas, S. M.; Rusbult, C. E.; Wieselquist, J.; Whitton, S. W. (1999). Close partner as sculptor of the ideal self: Behavioral affirmation and the Michelangelo phenomenon. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 77(2), 293-323.

<https://doi.org/10.1037//0022-3514.77.2.293>

R184 · Ehlers, A.; Clark, D. M. (2000). A cognitive model of posttraumatic stress disorder. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 38(4), 319-345.

[https://doi.org/10.1016/s0005-7967\(99\)00123-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0005-7967(99)00123-0)

F023 · Elder, J. J.; Davis, T. H.; Hughes, B. L. (2023). A Fluid Self-Concept: How the Brain Maintains Coherence and Positivity across an Interconnected Self-Concept While Incorporating Social Feedback. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, 43(22), 4110-4128.

<https://doi.org/10.1523/jneurosci.1951-22.2023>

F003 · CORE · Elder, J.; Cheung, B.; Davis, T.; Hughes, B. (2023). Mapping the self: A network approach for understanding psychological and neural representations of self-concept structure. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 124(2), 237-263.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/pspa0000315>

M020 · CORE · Elder, J.; Davis, T.; Hughes, B. L. (2022). Learning About the Self: Motives for Coherence and Positivity Constrain Learning From Self-Relevant Social Feedback. *Psychological Science*, 33(4), 629-647.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/09567976211045934>

S066 · CORE · Elsey, J. W. B.; Van Ast, V. A.; Kindt, M. (2018). Human memory reconsolidation: A guiding framework and critical review of the evidence. *Psychological Bulletin*, 144(8), 797-848.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/bul0000152>

M015 · Epskamp, S.; Borsboom, D.; Fried, E. I. (2018). Estimating psychological networks and their accuracy: A tutorial paper. *Behavior Research Methods*, 50(1), 195-212.

<https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-017-0862-1>

R182 · FIELD, N. P.; GAO, B.; PADERNA, L. (2005). CONTINUING BONDS IN BEREAVEMENT: AN ATTACHMENT THEORY BASED PERSPECTIVE. *Death Studies*, 29(4), 277-299.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/07481180590923689>

R026 · CORE · Fleeson, W. (2001). Toward a structure- and process-integrated view of personality: Traits as density distributions of states. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 80(6), 1011-1027.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.80.6.1011>

R027 · CORE · Fleeson, W.; Jayawickreme, E. (2015). Whole Trait Theory. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 56, 82-92.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrp.2014.10.009>

R029 · Fleeson, W.; Nettle, E. E. (2009). In favor of the synthetic resolution to the person-situation debate. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 43(2), 150-154.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrp.2009.02.008>

R144 · Forbes, M. K.; Wright, A. G. C.; Markon, K. E.; Krueger, R. F. (2019). The network approach to psychopathology: promise versus reality. *World Psychiatry*, 18(3), 272-273.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20659>

R178 · CORE · Frazier, P.; Tennen, H.; Gavian, M.; Park, C.; Tomich, P.; Tashiro, T. (2009). Does Self-Reported Posttraumatic Growth Reflect Genuine Positive Change?. *Psychological Science*, 20(7), 912-919.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9280.2009.02381.x>

R153 · Friston, K. (2010). The free-energy principle: a unified brain theory?. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 11(2), 127-138.

<https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2787>

F021 · Garcia-Arch, J.; Sabio-Albert, M.; Korn, C. W.; Fuentemilla, L. (2025). How the self-concept structures social role learning: insights from computational models. *Royal Society Open Science*, 12(9), 250590.

<https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.250590>

F020 · CORE · García-Arch, J.; Korn, C. W.; Fuentemilla, L. (2025). Self-utility distance as a computational approach to understanding self-concept clarity. *Communications Psychology*, 3(1), 50.

<https://doi.org/10.1038/s44271-025-00231-8>

R097 · Gollwitzer, P. M. (1999). Implementation intentions: Strong effects of simple plans. *American Psychologist*, 54(7), 493-503.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066x.54.7.493>

R098 · Gollwitzer, P. M.; Sheeran, P. (2006). Implementation Intentions and Goal Achievement: A Meta-analysis of Effects and Processes. *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology; Advances in Experimental Social Psychology Volume 38*, 69-119.

[https://doi.org/10.1016/s0065-2601\(06\)38002-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0065-2601(06)38002-1)

S040 · CORE · Grekin, E. R.; Thomas, H. A.; Souweidane, M. A.; Stidham, J. L. (2025). The Effects of Future Self-Continuity Interventions on Behavioral Outcomes in Adults: A Systematic Review of the Literature. *Personality Science*, 6, 27000710251391610.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/27000710251391610>

R136 · Groen, R. N.; Snippe, E.; Bringmann, L. F.; Simons, C. J. P.; Hartmann, J. A.; Bos, E. H.; Wichers, M. (2019). Capturing the risk of persisting depressive symptoms: A dynamic network investigation of patients' daily symptom experiences. *Psychiatry Research*, 271, 640-648.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2018.12.054>

X006 · Gurven, M.; von Rueden, C.; Massenkoff, M.; Kaplan, H.; Lero Vie, M. (2013). How universal is the Big Five? Testing the five-factor model of personality variation among forager-farmers in the Bolivian Amazon. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 104(2), 354-370.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/a0030841>

S032 · Habermas, T.; Bluck, S. (2000). Getting a life: The emergence of the life story in adolescence. *Psychological Bulletin*, 126(5), 748-769.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.126.5.748>

S004 · CORE · Haehner, P.; Krämer, M. D.; Wright, A. J.; Bleidorn, W. (2026). Life events and personality trait change: A coordinated data analysis. *European Journal of Personality*, 40(2), 391-412.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/08902070251356148>

R006 · CORE · Haehner, P.; Wright, A. J.; Bleidorn, W. (2024). A systematic review of volitional personality change research. *Communications Psychology*, 2(1), 115.

<https://doi.org/10.1038/s44271-024-00167-5>

M014 · Haken, H.; Kelso, J. A. S.; Bunz, H. (1985). A theoretical model of phase transitions in human hand movements. *Biological Cybernetics*, 51(5), 347-356.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00336922>

S043 · Hallford, D. J.; Austin, D. W.; Takano, K.; Raes, F. (2018). Psychopathology and episodic future thinking: A systematic review and meta-analysis of specificity and episodic detail. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 102, 42-51.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2018.01.003>

R196 · Hamaker, E. L.; Kuiper, R. M.; Grasman, R. P. P. P. (2015). A critique of the cross-lagged panel model. *Psychological Methods*, 20(1), 102-116.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/a0038889>

M007 · CORE · Hancock, F.; Rosas, F. E.; Luppi, A. I.; Zhang, M.; Mediano, P. A. M.; Cabral, J.; Deco, G.; Kringelbach, M. L.; Breakspear, M.; Kelso, J. A. S.; Turkheimer, F. E. (2025). Metastability demystified , the foundational past, the pragmatic present and the promising future. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 26(2), 82-100.

<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41583-024-00883-1>

R016 · Harris, M. A.; Brett, C. E.; Johnson, W.; Deary, I. J. (2016). Personality stability from age 14 to age 77 years. *Psychology and Aging*, 31(8), 862-874.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/pag0000133>

R116 · CORE · Harris, M. A.; Orth, U. (2020). The link between self-esteem and social relationships: A meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 119(6), 1459-1477.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/pspp0000265>

R115 · CORE · Haslam, C.; Cruwys, T.; Chang, M. X. L.; Bentley, S. V.; Haslam, S. A.; Dingle, G. A.; Jetten, J. (2019). GROUPS 4 HEALTH reduces loneliness and social anxiety in adults with psychological distress: Findings from a randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 87(9), 787-801.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/ccp0000427>

R114 · Haslam, C.; Cruwys, T.; Haslam, S. A.; Dingle, G.; Chang, M. X. L. (2016). Groups 4 Health: Evidence that a social-identity intervention that builds and strengthens social group membership improves mental health. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 194, 188-195.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2016.01.010>

R105 · Haslam, S. A.; Jetten, J.; Postmes, T.; Haslam, C. (2009). Social Identity, Health and Well-Being: An Emerging Agenda for Applied Psychology. *Applied Psychology*, 58(1), 1-23.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-0597.2008.00379.x>

F004 · CORE · Helmich, M. A.; Schreuder, M. J.; Bringmann, L. F.; Riese, H.; Snippe, E.; Smit, A. C. (2024). Slow down and be critical before using early warning signals in psychopathology. *Nature Reviews Psychology*, 3(11), 767-780.

<https://doi.org/10.1038/s44159-024-00369-y>

S019 · Hennecke, M.; Bleidorn, W.; Denissen, J. J. A.; Wood, D. (2014). A Three-Part Framework for Self-Regulated Personality Development across Adulthood. *European Journal of Personality*, 28(3), 289-299.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/per.1945>

R173 · Hennessy, E. A. (2017). Recovery capital: a systematic review of the literature. *Addiction Research & Theory*, 25(5), 349-360.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/16066359.2017.1297990>

R186 · Henrich, J.; Heine, S. J.; Norenzayan, A. (2010). The weirdest people in the world?. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 33(2-3), 61-83.

<https://doi.org/10.1017/s0140525x0999152x>

R064 · CORE · Hershfield, H. E. (2011). Future self-continuity: how conceptions of the future self transform intertemporal choice. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1235(1), 30-43.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.2011.06201.x>

R065 · Hershfield, H. E.; Goldstein, D. G.; Sharpe, W. F.; Fox, J.; Yeykelis, L.; Carstensen, L. L.; Bailenson, J. N. (2011). Increasing Saving Behavior Through Age-Progressed Renderings of the Future Self. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 48(SPL), S23-S37.

<https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkr.48.spl.s23>

F002 · CORE · Hirsh, J. B. (2026). The Game of Self: Identity and Experience as Active Inference. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 10888683261422344.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/10888683261422344>

S008 · Hoff, K. A.; Einarsdóttir, S.; Chu, C.; Briley, D. A.; Rounds, J. (2021). Personality Changes Predict Early Career Outcomes: Discovery and Replication in 12-Year Longitudinal Studies. *Psychological Science*, 32(1), 64-79.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797620957998>

S037 · Hong, E. K.; Sedikides, C.; Wildschut, T. (2022). How Does Nostalgia Conduce to Global Self-Continuity? The Roles of Identity Narrative, Associative Links, and Stability. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 48(5), 735-749.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/01461672211024889>

R009 · CORE · Hudson, N. W.; Briley, D. A.; Chopik, W. J.; Derringer, J. (2019). You have to follow through: Attaining behavioral change goals predicts volitional personality change. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 117(4), 839-857.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/pspp0000221>

R022 · Hudson, N. W.; Derringer, J.; Briley, D. A. (2019). Do people know how they've changed? A longitudinal investigation of volitional personality change and participants' retrospective perceptions thereof. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 83, 103879.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrp.2019.103879>

R008 · Hudson, N. W.; Fraley, R. C. (2015). Volitional personality trait change: Can people choose to change their personality traits?. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 109(3), 490-507.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/pspp0000021>

S011 · Hudson, N. W.; Fraley, R. C. (2016). Changing for the Better? Longitudinal Associations Between Volitional Personality Change and Psychological Well-Being. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 42(5), 603-615.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167216637840>

S016 · Hudson, N. W.; Fraley, R. C.; Chopik, W. J.; Briley, D. A. (2020). Change Goals Robustly Predict Trait Growth: A Mega-Analysis of a Dozen Intensive Longitudinal Studies Examining Volitional Change. *Social Psychological and Personality Science*, 11(6), 723-732.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/1948550619878423>

F025 · Hughes, E. A.; Ellis, S.; Smith, J. R. (2024). Connecting groups and behaviours: A network analysis of identity-infused behaviours. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 63(1), 205-233.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/bjso.12674>

S013 · Human, L. J.; Biesanz, J. C.; Miller, G. E.; Chen, E.; Lachman, M. E.; Seeman, T. E. (2013). Is Change Bad? Personality Change Is Associated with Poorer Psychological Health and Greater Metabolic Syndrome in Midlife. *Journal of Personality*, 81(3), 249-260.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/jopy.12002>

F028 · Ibarra, H. (1999). Provisional Selves: Experimenting with Image and Identity in Professional Adaptation. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 44(4), 764-791.

<https://doi.org/10.2307/2667055>

T010 · Ikeda, H.; Ni, N.; Yamamoto, N.; Kusumi, T. (2025). The influence of self-discontinuity on nostalgia: A conceptual replication of Sedikides, Wildschut, Routledge, & Arndt (2015). *The Japanese Journal of Cognitive Psychology*, 22(2), 125-144.

<https://doi.org/10.5265/jcogpsy.22.125>

F027 · Jackson, J. J.; Wright, A. J. (2024). The process and mechanisms of personality change. *Nature Reviews Psychology*, 3(5), 305-318.

<https://doi.org/10.1038/s44159-024-00295-z>

S025 · Jayawickreme, E.; Zachry, C. E.; Fleeson, W. (2019). Whole Trait Theory: An integrative approach to examining personality structure and process. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 136, 2-11.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2018.06.045>

R095 · Keller, J.; Kwasnicka, D.; Klaiber, P.; Sichert, L.; Lally, P.; Fleig, L. (2021). Habit formation following routine-based versus time-based cue planning: A randomized controlled trial. *British Journal of Health Psychology*, 26(3), 807-824.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/bjhp.12504>

X005 · Kelly, J. F.; Humphreys, K.; Ferri, M. (2020). Alcoholics Anonymous and other 12-step programs for alcohol use disorder. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 2020(3), CD012880.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.cd012880.pub2>

M006 · Kelso, J. A. S. (2012). Multistability and metastability: understanding dynamic coordination in the brain. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 367(1591), 906-918.

<https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2011.0351>

T001 · CORE · Kim, H. S. A.; Wohl, M. J. A. (2015). The Bright Side of Self-Discontinuity. *Social Psychological and Personality Science*, 6(2), 229-237.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/1948550614549482>

S068 · Kredlow, M. A.; Unger, L. D.; Otto, M. W. (2016). Harnessing reconsolidation to weaken fear and appetitive memories: A meta-analysis of post-retrieval extinction effects. *Psychological Bulletin*, 142(3), 314-336.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/bul0000034>

R050 · Kross, E.; Ayduk, O. (2011). Making Meaning out of Negative Experiences by Self-Distancing. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 20(3), 187-191.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/0963721411408883>

R007 · CORE · Krämer, M. D.; Hopwood, C. J.; Miller, T. J.; Bleidorn, W. (2025). What explains personality change intervention effects?. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 129(5), 972-1005.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/pspp0000565>

R094 · Lally, P.; Gardner, B. (2013). Promoting habit formation. *Health Psychology Review*, 7(sup1), S137-S158.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/17437199.2011.603640>

R087 · CORE · Lally, P.; van Jaarsveld, C. H. M.; Potts, H. W. W.; Wardle, J. (2010). How are habits formed: Modelling habit formation in the real world. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 40(6), 998-1009.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/ejsp.674>

F012 · CORE · Lancaster, J. H.; Apsley, H. B.; Brick, T. R.; Cleveland, H. H. (2025). A within-person investigation of recovery identity following substance use disorder: examining the impact of recovery-focused social contexts. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 13, 1534432.

<https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2025.1534432>

R171 · CORE · Leamy, M.; Bird, V.; Boutillier, C. L.; Williams, J.; Slade, M. (2011). Conceptual framework for personal recovery in mental health: systematic review and narrative synthesis. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 199(6), 445-452.

<https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.bp.110.083733>

R121 · CORE · Leventhal, T.; Brooks-Gunn, J. (2003). Moving to Opportunity: an Experimental Study of Neighborhood Effects on Mental Health. *American Journal of Public Health*, 93(9), 1576-1582.

<https://doi.org/10.2105/ajph.93.9.1576>

F006 · CORE · Lind, M.; Ture, S.; McAdams, D. P.; Cowan, H. R. (2024). Narrative Identity, Traits, and Trajectories of Depression and Well-Being: A 9-Year Longitudinal Study. *Psychological Science*, 35(12), 1325-1339.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/09567976241296512>

S046 · Linville, P. W. (1985). Self-Complexity and Affective Extremity: Don't Put All of Your Eggs in One Cognitive Basket. *Social Cognition*, 3(1), 94-120.

<https://doi.org/10.1521/soco.1985.3.1.94>

R074 · CORE · Linville, P. W. (1987). Self-complexity as a cognitive buffer against stress-related illness and depression. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 52(4), 663-676.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.52.4.663>

S018 · Lücke, A. J.; Quintus, M.; Egloff, B.; Wrzus, C. (2021). You can't always get what you want: The role of change goal importance, goal feasibility and momentary experiences for volitional personality development. *European Journal of Personality*, 35(5), 690-709.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/0890207020962332>

R120 · Mani, A.; Mullainathan, S.; Shafir, E.; Zhao, J. (2013). Poverty Impedes Cognitive Function. *Science*, 341(6149), 976-980.

<https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1238041>

T004 · Markus, H. R.; Kitayama, S. (1991). Culture and the self: Implications for cognition, emotion, and motivation. *Psychological Review*, 98(2), 224-253.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295x.98.2.224>

M003 · CORE · Markus, H.; Nurius, P. (1986). Possible selves. *American Psychologist*, 41(9), 954-969.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066x.41.9.954>

F019 · Markus, H.; Wurf, E. (1987). The Dynamic Self-Concept: A Social Psychological Perspective. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 38(1), 299-337.

<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ps.38.020187.001503>

R039 · McAdams, D. P. (2001). The Psychology of Life Stories. *Review of General Psychology*, 5(2), 100-122.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/1089-2680.5.2.100>

S038 · McAdams, D. P.; Bauer, J. J.; Sakaeda, A. R.; Anyidoho, N. A.; Machado, M. A.; Magrino-Failla, K.; White, K. W.; Pals, J. L. (2006). Continuity and Change in the Life Story: A Longitudinal Study of Autobiographical Memories in Emerging Adulthood. *Journal of Personality*, 74(5), 1371-1400.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6494.2006.00412.x>

M002 · CORE · McAdams, D. P.; McLean, K. C. (2013). Narrative Identity. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 22(3), 233-238.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/0963721413475622>

R078 · CORE · McConnell, A. R. (2011). The Multiple Self-Aspects Framework: Self-Concept Representation and Its Implications. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 15(1), 3-27.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/1088868310371101>

R045 · McLean, K. C.; Pasupathi, M.; Pals, J. L. (2007). Selves Creating Stories Creating Selves: A Process Model of Self-Development. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 11(3), 262-278.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/1088868307301034>

F026 · McLean, K. C.; Syed, M. (2015). Personal, Master, and Alternative Narratives: An Integrative Framework for Understanding Identity Development in Context. *Human Development*, 58(6), 318-349.

<https://doi.org/10.1159/000445817>

T005 · CORE · McLean, K. C.; Syed, M.; Pasupathi, M.; Adler, J. M.; Dunlop, W. L.; Drustrup, D.; Fivush, R.; Graci, M. E.; Lilgendahl, J. P.; Lodi-Smith, J.; McAdams, D. P.; McCoy, T. P. (2020). The empirical structure of narrative identity: The initial Big Three. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 119(4), 920-944.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/pspp0000247>

R119 · Michie, S.; van Stralen, M. M.; West, R. (2011). The behaviour change wheel: A new method for characterising and designing behaviour change interventions. *Implementation Science*, 6(1), 42.

<https://doi.org/10.1186/1748-5908-6-42>

R110 · Mikulincer, M.; Shaver, P. R. (2005). Attachment theory and emotions in close relationships: Exploring the attachment-related dynamics of emotional reactions to relational events. *Personal Relationships*, 12(2), 149-168.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1350-4126.2005.00108.x>

R028 · CORE · Mischel, W.; Shoda, Y. (1995). A cognitive-affective system theory of personality: Reconceptualizing situations, dispositions, dynamics, and invariance in personality structure. *Psychological Review*, 102(2), 246-268.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295x.102.2.246>

R193 · CORE · Molenaar, P. C. M. (2004). A Manifesto on Psychology as Idiographic Science: Bringing the Person Back Into Scientific Psychology, This Time Forever. *Measurement: Interdisciplinary Research & Perspective*, 2(4), 201-218.

https://doi.org/10.1207/s15366359mea0204_1

R161 · Nader, K. (2015). Reconsolidation and the Dynamic Nature of Memory. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology*, 7(10), a021782.

<https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a021782>

R129 · Nisbett, R. E.; Peng, K.; Choi, I.; Norenzayan, A. (2001). Culture and systems of thought: Holistic versus analytic cognition. *Psychological Review*, 108(2), 291-310.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295x.108.2.291>

S015 · Nofhle, E. E.; Odagiri, N.; Johnson, M.; Arzaga, A. (2024). Actual and volitional personality change across study abroad. *Journal of Personality*, 92(1), 111-129.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/jopy.12784>

M004 · CORE · Nowak, A.; Vallacher, R. R.; Tesser, A.; Borkowski, W. (2000). Society of self: The emergence of collective properties in self-structure. *Psychological Review*, 107(1), 39-61.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295x.107.1.39>

S042 · Olsen, R. A.; Macaskill, A. C.; Hunt, M. J. (2024). Episodic Future Thinking Only Reduces Delay Discounting When Future Events Involve the Self. *Journal of Behavioral Decision Making*, 37(3), e2397.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/bdm.2397>

M009 · Ouellette, J. A.; Wood, W. (1998). Habit and intention in everyday life: The multiple processes by which past behavior predicts future behavior. *Psychological Bulletin*, 124(1), 54-74.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.124.1.54>

R090 · Oyserman, D. (2009). Identity-based motivation: Implications for action-readiness, procedural-readiness, and consumer behavior. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 19(3), 250-260.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcps.2009.05.008>

R068 · Oyserman, D.; Bybee, D.; Terry, K. (2006). Possible selves and academic outcomes: How and when possible selves impel action. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 91(1), 188-204.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.91.1.188>

S050 · CORE · Oyserman, D.; Destin, M. (2010). Identity-Based Motivation: Implications for Intervention. *The Counseling Psychologist*, 38(7), 1001-1043.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/0011000010374775>

R099 · Oyserman, D.; Fryberg, S. A.; Yoder, N. (2007). Identity-based motivation and health. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 93(6), 1011-1027.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.93.6.1011>

R125 · CORE · Palmer, C. A.; Bower, J. L.; Cho, K. W.; Clementi, M. A.; Lau, S.; Oosterhoff, B.; Alfano, C. A. (2024). Sleep loss and emotion: A systematic review and meta-analysis of over 50 years of experimental research. *Psychological Bulletin*, 150(4), 440-463.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/bul0000410>

R054 · Pals, J. L. (2006). Narrative Identity Processing of Difficult Life Experiences: Pathways of Personality Development and Positive Self-Transformation in Adulthood. *Journal of Personality*, 74(4), 1079-1110.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6494.2006.00403.x>

R180 · Park, C. L. (2010). Making sense of the meaning literature: An integrative review of meaning making and its effects on adjustment to stressful life events. *Psychological Bulletin*, 136(2), 257-301.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/a0018301>

R046 · CORE · Pasupathi, M. (2001). The social construction of the personal past and its implications for adult development. *Psychological Bulletin*, 127(5), 651-672.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.127.5.651>

S049 · Pfeifer, J. H.; Berkman, E. T. (2018). The Development of Self and Identity in Adolescence: Neural Evidence and Implications for a Value-Based Choice Perspective on Motivated Behavior. *Child Development Perspectives*, 12(3), 158-164.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/cdep.12279>

S045 · Pilarska, A.; Suchańska, A. (2015). Self-Complexity and Self-Concept Differentiation - What Have We Been Measuring for the Past 30 Years?. *Current Psychology*, 34(4), 723-743.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s12144-014-9285-7>

R124 · Pilcher, J. J.; Huffcutt, A. I. (1996). Effects of Sleep Deprivation on Performance: A Meta-Analysis. *Sleep*, 19(4), 318-326.

<https://doi.org/10.1093/sleep/19.4.318>

R127 · Porcelli, A. J.; Delgado, M. R. (2017). Stress and decision making: effects on valuation, learning, and risk-taking. *Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences*, 14, 33-39.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cobeha.2016.11.015>

R197 · Putnick, D. L.; Bornstein, M. H. (2016). Measurement invariance conventions and reporting: The state of the art and future directions for psychological research. *Developmental Review*, 41, 71-90.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dr.2016.06.004>

R059 · Quoidbach, J.; Gilbert, D. T.; Wilson, T. D. (2013). The End of History Illusion. *Science*, 339(6115), 96-98.

<https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1229294>

M021 · CORE · Rafaeli-Mor, E.; Steinberg, J. (2002). Self-Complexity and Well-Being: A Review and Research Synthesis. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 6(1), 31-58.

https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327957pspr0601_2

F014 · Ramos, M. C.; Restrepo Ochoa, N. (2025). Identity Networks as a New Frontier: Conceptualizing, Mapping, and Analyzing Identity Structures and Their Impact on Well-Being. *Social Psychology Quarterly*, 88(2), 205-226.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/01902725241292328>

R034 · Rauthmann, J. F.; Gallardo-Pujol, D.; Guillaume, E. M.; Todd, E.; Nave, C. S.; Sherman, R. A.; Ziegler, M.; Jones, A. B.; Funder, D. C. (2014). The Situational Eight DIAMONDS: A taxonomy of major dimensions of situation characteristics. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 107(4), 677-718.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/a0037250>

R002 · CORE · Roberts, B. W.; DelVecchio, W. F. (2000). The rank-order consistency of personality traits from childhood to old age: A quantitative review of longitudinal studies. *Psychological Bulletin*, 126(1), 3-25.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.126.1.3>

R004 · CORE · Roberts, B. W.; Luo, J.; Briley, D. A.; Chow, P. I.; Su, R.; Hill, P. L. (2017). A systematic review of personality trait change through intervention. *Psychological Bulletin*, 143(2), 117-141.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/bul0000088>

R003 · CORE · Roberts, B. W.; Walton, K. E.; Viechtbauer, W. (2006). Patterns of mean-level change in personality traits across the life course: A meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. *Psychological Bulletin*, 132(1), 1-25.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.132.1.1>

R142 · Robinaugh, D. J.; Hoekstra, R. H. A.; Toner, E. R.; Borsboom, D. (2020). The network approach to psychopathology: a review of the literature 2008-2018 and an agenda for future research. *Psychological Medicine*, 50(3), 353-366.

<https://doi.org/10.1017/s0033291719003404>

R072 · Schacter, D. L.; Addis, D. R.; Buckner, R. L. (2007). Remembering the past to imagine the future: the prospective brain. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 8(9), 657-661.

<https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2213>

R160 · Schiller, D.; Monfils, M. H.; Raio, C. M.; Johnson, D. C.; LeDoux, J. E.; Phelps, E. A. (2010). Preventing the return of fear in humans using reconsolidation update mechanisms. *Nature*, 463(7277), 49-53.

<https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08637>

F031 · Schreuder, M. J.; Hartman, C. A.; George, S. V.; Menne-Lothmann, C.; Decoster, J.; van Winkel, R.; Delespaul, P.; De Hert, M.; Derom, C.; Thiery, E.; Rutten, B. P. F.; Jacobs, N.; van Os, J.; Wigman, J. T. W.; Wichers, M. (2020). Early warning signals in psychopathology: what do they tell?. *BMC Medicine*, 18(1), 269.

<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-020-01742-3>

R181 · Schut, M. S. H. (1999). THE DUAL PROCESS MODEL OF COPING WITH BEREAVEMENT: RATIONALE AND DESCRIPTION. *Death Studies*, 23(3), 197-224.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/074811899201046>

F034 · CORE · Sedikides, C.; Hong, E. K.; Wildschut, T. (2023). Self-Continuity. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 74(1), 333-361.

<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-032420-032236>

R057 · Sedikides, C.; Wildschut, T.; Cheung, W. Y.; Routledge, C.; Hepper, E. G.; Arndt, J.; Vail, K.; Zhou, X.; Brackstone, K.; Vingerhoets, A. J. J. M. (2016). Nostalgia fosters self-continuity: Uncovering the mechanism (social connectedness) and consequence (eudaimonic well-being). *Emotion*, 16(4), 524-539.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/emo0000136>

M013 · Showers, C. (1992). Compartmentalization of positive and negative self-knowledge: Keeping bad apples out of the bunch. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 62(6), 1036-1049.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.62.6.1036>

R047 · Singer, J. A. (2004). Narrative Identity and Meaning Making Across the Adult Lifespan: An Introduction. *Journal of Personality*, 72(3), 437-460.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0022-3506.2004.00268.x>

R096 · Singh, B.; Murphy, A.; Maher, C.; Smith, A. E. (2024). Time to Form a Habit: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Health Behaviour Habit Formation and Its Determinants. *Healthcare*, 12(23), 2488.

<https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare12232488>

F005 · CORE · Smit, A. C.; Helmich, M. A.; Bringmann, L. F.; Oldehinkel, A. J.; Wichers, M.; Snippe, E. (2025). Critical Slowing Down in Momentary Affect as Early Warning Signal of Impending Transitions in Depression. *Clinical Psychological Science*, 13(4), 760-773.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/21677026241305136>

F013 · Smyth, L.; Chong, J. J. S.; Banas, K. (2025). A new look at capturing social identity compatibility in transition: extending and applying the social identity model of identity change to student transition to university. *Self and Identity*, 24(8), 987-1009.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/15298868.2025.2554104>

R038 · CORE · Sosnowska, J.; Kuppens, P.; De Fruyt, F.; Hofmans, J. (2019). A dynamic systems approach to personality: The Personality Dynamics (PersDyn) model. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 144, 11-18.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2019.02.013>

S006 · Soto, C. J. (2019). How Replicable Are Links Between Personality Traits and Consequential Life Outcomes? The Life Outcomes of Personality Replication Project. *Psychological Science*, 30(5), 711-727.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797619831612>

S069 · Stemerding, L. E.; Stibbe, D.; van Ast, V. A.; Kindt, M. (2022). Demarcating the boundary conditions of memory reconsolidation: An unsuccessful replication. *Scientific Reports*, 12(1), 2285.

<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-06119-5>

S060 · Stephan, Y.; Sutin, A. R.; Bayard, S.; Križan, Z.; Terracciano, A. (2018). Personality and sleep quality: Evidence from four prospective studies. *Health Psychology*, 37(3), 271-281.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/hea0000577>

R005 · CORE · Stieger, M.; Flückiger, C.; Rüeegger, D.; Kowatsch, T.; Roberts, B. W.; Allemand, M. (2021). Changing personality traits with the help of a digital personality change intervention. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 118(8), e2017548118.

<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2017548118>

X003 · Strohmingner, N.; Knobe, J.; Newman, G. (2017). The True Self: A Psychological Concept Distinct From the Self. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 12(4), 551-560.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691616689495>

X001 · Strohminger, N.; Nichols, S. (2014). The essential moral self. *Cognition*, 131(1), 159-171.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cognition.2013.12.005>

X002 · Strohminger, N.; Nichols, S. (2015). Neurodegeneration and Identity. *Psychological Science*, 26(9), 1469-1479.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797615592381>

R170 · Subhani, M.; Talat, U.; Knight, H.; Morling, J. R.; Jones, K. A.; Aithal, G. P.; Ryder, S. D.; Llewellyn-Beardsley, J.; Rennick-Egglestone, S. (2022). Characteristics of alcohol recovery narratives: Systematic review and narrative synthesis. *PLOS ONE*, 17(5), e0268034.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0268034>

R017 · Syed, M.; Eriksson, P. L.; Frisé, A.; Hwang, C. P.; Lamb, M. E. (2020). Personality development from age 2 to 33: Stability and change in ego resiliency and ego control and associations with adult adaptation. *Developmental Psychology*, 56(4), 815-832.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/dev0000895>

M010 · Tang, T. Z.; DeRubeis, R. J.; Hollon, S. D.; Amsterdam, J.; Shelton, R.; Schalet, B. (2009). Personality Change During Depression Treatment. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 66(12), 1322.

<https://doi.org/10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2009.166>

R177 · Tedeschi, R. G.; Calhoun, L. G. (2004). Posttraumatic Growth: Conceptual Foundations and Empirical Evidence. *Psychological Inquiry*, 15(1), 1-18.

https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327965pli1501_01

S014 · Thielmann, I.; de Vries, R. E. (2021). Who wants to change and how? On the trait-specificity of personality change goals. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 121(5), 1112-1139.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/pspp0000304>

F007 · CORE · Ture, S.; Lam, P. H.; Ouellet, L.; Simpson, A.; Hassinen, K.; McAdams, D. P.; Reischer, H. N. (2026). Agency and Communion Across Nine Years of Middle Adulthood: Between- and Within-Person Associations with Well-being and Developmental Outcomes. *Journal of Adult Development*, 33(3), 488-504.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10804-026-09553-1>

R135 · van de Leemput, I. A.; Wichers, M.; Cramer, A. O. J.; Borsboom, D.; Tuerlinckx, F.; Kuppens, P.; van Nes, E. H.; Viechtbauer, W.; Giltay, E. J.; Aggen, S. H.; Derom, C.; Jacobs, N.; Kendler, K. S.; van der Maas, H. L. J.; Neale, M. C.; Peeters, F.; Thiery, E.; Zachar, P.; Scheffer, M. (2014). Critical slowing down as early warning for the onset and termination of depression. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111(1), 87-92.

<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1312114110>

R126 · Van Dongen, H. P. A.; Maislin, G.; Mullington, J. M.; Dinges, D. F. (2003). The Cumulative Cost of Additional Wakefulness: Dose-Response Effects on Neurobehavioral Functions and Sleep Physiology From Chronic Sleep Restriction and Total Sleep Deprivation. *Sleep*, 26(2), 117-126.

<https://doi.org/10.1093/sleep/26.2.117>

T006 · Vanden Poel, L.; Hermans, D. (2019). Narrative Coherence and Identity: Associations With Psychological Well-Being and Internalizing Symptoms. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 10, 1171.

<https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.01171>

R088 · Verplanken, B.; Roy, D. (2016). Empowering interventions to promote sustainable lifestyles: Testing the habit discontinuity hypothesis in a field experiment. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 45, 127-134.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2015.11.008>

R188 · CORE · Vignoles, V. L.; Owe, E.; Becker, M.; Smith, P. B.; Easterbrook, M. J.; Brown, R.; González, R.; Didier, N.; Carrasco, D.; Cadena, M. P.; Lay, S.; Schwartz, S. J.; Des Rosiers, S. E.; Villamar, J. A.; Gavreliuc, A.; Zinkeng, M.; Kreuzbauer, R.; Baguma, P.; Martin, M.; Tatarko, A.; Herman, G.; de Sauvage, I.; Courtois, M.; Garðarsdóttir, R. B.; Harb, C.; Schweiger Gallo, I.; Prieto Gil, P.; Lorente Clemares, R.; Campara, G.; Nizharadze, G.; Macapagal, M. E. J.; Jalal, B.; Bourguignon, D.; Zhang, J.; Lv, S.; Chybicka, A.; Yuki, M.; Zhang, X.; Espinosa, A.; Valk, A.; Abuhamdeh, S.; Amponsah, B.; Özgen, E.; Güner, E. Ü.; Yamakoğlu, N.; Chobthamkit, P.;

Pyszczyński, T.; Kesebir, P.; Vargas Trujillo, E.; Balanta, P.; Cendales Ayala, B.; Koller, S. H.; Jaafar, J. L.; Gausel, N.; Fischer, R.; Milfont, T. L.; Kusdil, E.; Çağlar, S.; Aldhafri, S.; Ferreira, M. C.; Mekonnen, K. H.; Wang, Q.; Fülöp, M.; Torres, A.; Camino, L.; Lemos, F. C. S.; Fritsche, I.; Möller, B.; Regalia, C.; Manzi, C.; Brambilla, M.; Bond, M. H. (2016). Beyond the 'east-west' dichotomy: Global variation in cultural models of selfhood. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 145(8), 966-1000.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/xge0000175>

R015 · CORE · Vukasović, T.; Bratko, D. (2015). Heritability of personality: A meta-analysis of behavior genetic studies. *Psychological Bulletin*, 141(4), 769-785.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/bul0000017>

S030 · Waters, T. E. A.; Fivush, R. (2015). Relations Between Narrative Coherence, Identity, and Psychological Well-Being in Emerging Adulthood. *Journal of Personality*, 83(4), 441-451.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/jopy.12120>

F033 · Webb, L.; Clayson, A.; Duda-Mikulin, E.; Cox, N. (2022). 'I' m getting the balls to say no ': Trajectories in long-term recovery from problem substance use. *Journal of Health Psychology*, 27(1), 69-80.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/1359105320941248>

F029 · Welker, C.; Wheatley, T.; Cason, G.; Gorman, C.; Meyer, M. (2024). Self-views converge during enjoyable conversations. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 121(43), e2321652121.

<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2321652121>

F032 · Wichers, M.; Smit, A. C.; Snippe, E. (2020). Early Warning Signals Based on Momentary Affect Dynamics can Expose Nearby Transitions in Depression: A Confirmatory Single-Subject Time-Series Study. *Journal for Person-Oriented Research*, 6(1), 1-15.

<https://doi.org/10.17505/jpor.2020.22042>

R086 · Wood, W.; Neal, D. T. (2007). A new look at habits and the habit-goal interface. *Psychological Review*, 114(4), 843-863.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295x.114.4.843>

R092 · Wood, W.; Quinn, J. M.; Kashy, D. A. (2002). Habits in everyday life: Thought, emotion, and action. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 83(6), 1281-1297.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.83.6.1281>

R085 · CORE · Wood, W.; R nger, D. (2016). Psychology of Habit. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 67(1), 289-314.

<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-122414-033417>

R093 · CORE · Wood, W.; Tam, L.; Witt, M. G. (2005). Changing circumstances, disrupting habits. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 88(6), 918-933.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.88.6.918>

S012 · Wright, A. J.; Jackson, J. J. (2023). Do changes in personality predict life outcomes?. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 125(6), 1495-1518.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/pspp0000472>

M024 · CORE · Wrzus, C.; Roberts, B. W. (2017). Processes of Personality Development in Adulthood: The TESSERA Framework. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 21(3), 253-277.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/1088868316652279>

X004 · Wylie, J.; Lindauer, M.; Gantman, A. (2026). People can find their true selves outside moral pursuits. *Cognition*, 267, 106325.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cognition.2025.106325>

S041 · CORE · Ye, J. y.; Ding, Q. y.; Cui, J. f.; Liu, Z.; Jia, L. x.; Qin, X. j.; Xu, H.; Wang, Y. (2022). A meta-analysis of the effects of episodic future thinking on delay discounting. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 75(10), 1876-1891.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/17470218211066282>

F010 · CORE · Zhu, L.; Tao, Y.; Guo, Y.; Zhang, X.; Wang, T.; Zhou, B.; Li, G.; Zhang, L. (2025). The relationship between habit and identity in health behaviors: A systematic review and three-level meta-analysis. *Applied Psychology: Health and Well-Being*, 17(2), e70017.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/aphw.70017>